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UR MISLEADERS PROMISE US A HOT SUMMER. SOME ALREADY CALL IT “the Summer of Rage”. The very fact that they knew in advance what was going to happen, when, where, and at which scale, indicates that the “rage” has been planned long before and is now being carefully stimulated and directed. Already Obama calls the troops back home from Iraq to ensure “law enforcement” in the US (a question of “saving America from Americans”), while the European Politburo pushes countries to vote for the Lisbon Treaty, which in a footnote would introduce throughout Europe the death penalty “in the case of war, riots, upheaval”. The mainstream media tirelessly stress upon the economic and financial nature of the current crisis and of the revolts it causes. Of course, when a car runs out of gas and stops, it wouldn’t be wrong to say that it stops because there is no more gas in the tank. But the real question is: why there is no more gas? Is it because we’ve been driving too fast and too long, or because there is a leak in the tank or, even worse, in the motor itself? It’s important to know because, if there is a leak, simply refilling the tank is not going to help for a long time and may even cause an explosion. Just as in this example, where the problem of gas is not a “gas problem”, the true nature of the current crisis is not economic and/or financial. It is the crisis of the entire System of Power, or the Matrix of Power, as Jordan Maxwell calls it.

The three cornerstones of this System, in its modern version, are usury, lie and corruption. The honest-outside-but-theft-inside practice of usury is, simply put, lending money on interest, then lending interest disguised as money on more interest, thus getting interest on interest, and so on and on. This way money is being created out of nothing, without producing anything. Computers and internet gave birth to the so-called “electronic money”, which has accelerated the process of “money cloning” so dramatically that in just a couple of decades the sum total of non-existing interest money has not only exceeded the sum total of existing money – it has also exceeded the total value of all the goods and services produced not just at any national but at the global scale. The result of it is what we are living through today.

As for two other cornerstones – lie and corruption – the System has now arrived to the point where, to keep up appearances, its managers (aka presidents, queens, ministers, etc.) have to lie not just occasionally, not just about “certain things”, but all the time and about everything. Corruption, which had spread from top downwards for centuries, has finally touched the bottom of the pyramid. To go further would mean bribing workers, which is not at all what the System was designed for.

Those in the know were always aware that the System would finally die of exhaustion. Having created it themselves and for themselves only, they have also long known that no more improvement would keep it alive. But although for them this System was nothing but a tool (however powerful and sophisticated it was), for the rest of us it was, and still is, the world we are living in.

This summer the managers of the dying System are going to put into practice drastic measures of saving the world from its habitants. But no panic and no riots, please! What they are trying to save is their world – the false image of the real world they have put in our minds. They want us to believe that fighting back we would defend our freedom and life, but it’s the System that we would fight for if we did!

So, what to do? What to do not? What to expect? What to get ready for? The current issue of The Dot Connector is all about that. Its articles have nothing to do with “fear mongering” – they expose the danger to make it avoidable.

Don’t riot! It’s the System that you would fight for if you did.

They act, we re-act. This way we always lose.

Don’t destroy – create!
Don’t react – act!

Paul Bondarovsky
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IN BRIEF

US Budget Director Peter R. Orszag: From Russia with Debts

One of the key players in the Obama administration’s $900 billion economic stimulus package is Obama’s budget director Peter R. Orszag. Orszag, 40, is the director of the Office of Management and Budget, the arm of the White House responsible for crafting the federal budget and overseeing the effectiveness of federal programs. He worked closely with Rahm Emanuel in the Clinton administration – when the disastrous NAFTA was passed – and was one of the first Obama appointees.

Christopher Bollyn
www.bollyn.info

“In the coming years, no bureaucrat will be as decisive as Peter Orszag,” Ezra Klein wrote in The American Prospect. So, who is Peter Richard Orszag and what kind of decisions will he make with the budget he controls? (Based on his background one would be well advised to prepare for a financial trainwreck.)

Oddly, Orszag’s background has received virtually no attention in the media. At this critical moment, however, it would be foolish to ignore the troubling background of Obama’s budget director, which deserves to be looked at very carefully. Orszag, for example, could start by explaining exactly what happened to the Icelandic economy. Orszag was, after all, the founder and president of the economic consultancy firm which advised the Central Bank of Iceland – before it went bankrupt. How did Icelandic banks become so indebted? Ask Peter Orszag.

Orszag is an economist who served six years in the Clinton administration (1993-1998) under Robert E. Rubin, the former treasury secretary who recently resigned from his senior position at the woefully mismanaged and nearly bankrupt Citigroup. The fact that Orszag was a protégé of the now disgraced Rubin certainly does not bode well for the Obama administration.

Rubin strongly opposed the regulation of derivatives when such regulation was proposed in 1997. Credit derivatives of mortgage-backed securities were the key reason for the recent failure of a number of large financial institutions, including AIG and Citigroup.

In 1999, Rubin joined Citigroup as a board member and a participant “in strategic managerial and operational matters of the Company.” The Wall Street Journal noted that Citigroup shareholders suffered losses of more than 70 percent since Rubin joined the firm and that he encouraged changes that led the firm to the brink of collapse. In December 2008, investors filed a lawsuit contending that Citigroup executives, including Rubin, sold shares at inflated prices while concealing the firm’s risks.

Orszag, served on the president’s Council of Economic Advisers in 1993, under Rubin, when Rahm Emanuel, Clinton’s senior adviser, was pushing the disastrous NAFTA legislation through Congress. Prior to joining the Clinton team Orszag was an economic adviser for the Russian Ministry of Finance in Moscow from 1992-1993. This was a period of rampant financial criminality during which many Russian mineral assets came under the control of the so-called Jewish oligarchs who became instant billionaires. Most of these oligarchs fled Russia when their crimes were exposed and now live in Britain or Israel, where they obtained citizenship.

Born in Boston on December 16, 1968, Orszag graduated from Princeton University in 1991. He then attended the London School of Economics, where he earned a degree in 1992 and where he obtained his Ph.D. in 1997. The London School of Economics was established by members of the Fabian Society, who believed in advancing socialism through gradual reforms.

The Fabian Society is a British socialist movement, whose purpose is to advance the principles of Social democracy via gradualist and reformist, rather than revolutionary means. The ideology of the Fabians is said to be described in the quote, “Fabianism feeds on Capitalism, but excretes Communism.”

In 1998, after serving in the Clinton administration, Orszag, with his brother and Joseph Stiglitz, co-founded an economic consulting group company called Sebago Associates, where he served as president through 2007. The firm’s clients have included the World Bank, the Nordic Council of Ministers, and most notably, the Central Bank of Iceland.

The once prosperous economy of Iceland has been devastated by the current economic crisis, which its citizens say was carried out by a gang of financial criminals who followed disastrous policies and advice — provided by Peter Orszag and Company.

Americans need to be vigilant with dangerous “Young Turks” like Peter Orszag and Rahm Emanuel running the Obama White House and the U.S. budget.
The Vatican and UN are working for the same one world government and religion under a one world leader. In years past, Pope Paul VI once read a papal encyclical that boldly called on the nations of the world to ban sovereignty and form a world government.

Called the father of the New Age movement, Muller further states that de Chardin always viewed the UN “as the progressive insitutional embodiment of his philosophy” (Jesuit philosophy). De Chardin wrote in 1955: “Although the form is not yet discernible, mankind will one day awaken to a ‘pan-organized world.’”

Muller further links his ties to Luciferianism with this quote in the foreword to his World Core Curriculum: “The underlying philosophy upon which the Robert Muller School is based will be found in the teachings set forth in the books of Alice A. Bailey.” Bailey was a high priestess of the New Age with ties to H. P. Blavatsky as a former head of Blavatsky’s Theosophical Society. In the following startling quote, Bailey tells us of the occult agenda behind the formation of the UN: “… The effects of the UN in the formulation of a world plan can be seen in the planning and preparation of the New Age. From the very start of this unfoldment, three occult factors have governed the development of these plans.”

In the work, entitled The Reappearance of Christ, Bailey added: “The major effect of his appearance will surely be to demonstrate in every lands the effects of inclusiveness … All who see no true or basic difference between religion and religion or between man and man or nation and nation will rally around him; those who embody the spirit of exclusiveness and separateness will stand automatically and equally revealed and all men will know them for what they are.”

It is clear that Bailey is not talking about Jesus, but about Lucifer, who is worshipped by the few “enlightened men” at the UN controlling world policy through occult teachings.

Here is a quote by Brock Chisolm, former director of the World Health Organization as published in the Christian World Report in March 1991: “To achieve world government it is necessary to remove from the minds of men their individualism, loyalty to family tradition, national patriotism and religious dogmas.”

Greg Szymanski,
www.arcticbeacon.com
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Hulu, A Quantum Leap in Electronic Mind Control

Hulu is the new digital high definition interface between home computers and television networks. They would like you to believe this is all wonderful and completely free. Don’t be fooled, nothing is free. Somebody is paying billions of dollars to bring this technology “home” to you.

What is Hulu? A digital streaming video that allows anybody with a personal computer hooked to the internet to access and watch popular television programs.

Who funded this “free service”? The U.S. military and intelligence agencies, primarily. Why? Ostensibly, so the military and other civilian contractors can now access American “programming” anywhere on the planet.

Where does the name Hulu come from? On December 3, 2002, US Patent #6,488,617 was issued to a man named Hendrickus (knicknamed Hu for short) Loos (pronounced Loo – the s is silent,) Could this be the reason for calling this “HuLu”?

Loos’ patent abstract describes using computer monitors and HD TV screens as broadcast medium for digital, pulsed “electromagnetic fields capable of exciting sensory resonances in nearby human subjects” – while the “displayed images are pulsed with subliminal intensity” to bring about a specific emotional and even physical response in humans viewing the medium.

These “electromagnetic fields” are capable of mind control programming of specific individuals. It is even possible to cause physical illnesses by this method – including flu symptoms, heart attacks, or strokes.

A specific digital frequency can be customed designed to be sent to the end user of Hulu to produce a specified physical event. All that is needed for the computer to design this frequency is a sample of the victim’s DNA.

Questions to discuss:
Can latent designer-flu and other killer viruses be activated by means of Hulu in civilian populations?

Can Hulu interface with Langley’s master Promis ai (artificial intelligence) computer to work with drone spy-bots and robots via computer screens on selected individuals?

Will this be the DHS’ (Department of Homeland Security) primary tool to quell civilian unrest when the U.S. banking system is collapsed? Is this why over 20% of the new digital bandwidth was given to the DHS – in the name of “public safety” – i.e., crowd control?

In the commercial, the Hollywood sign (the center of Zionist witchcraft & mind control) is shown from the air, then the camera pans to the base of the “H” where we see Alec Baldwin telling us some very strange info about Hulu after entering into a secret, hidden door in the “H,” revealing a covert human performance laboratory.

Baldwin explains that Hulu will more effectively turn your brain to mush, when combined jointly with TV and computers.

He then says that it is perfectly fine to have a mushy brain, because “we are aliens” and “we like them that way” as they “scoop the mushy brains” away from the skulls.

This isn’t funny folks. I personally have witnessed victims of Satanic ritual abuse testify in hypnotic regression sessions that demon “reptilians” appear in the center of the pentagram at the point of human sacrifice, and – based on hierarchical position – are given the best human delicacies to consume with eager anticipation. The number one delicacy? You guessed it folks – the brain. Number two? The heart, bowels and visceral, in that order.

This isn’t funny when one understands the technology for completely controlling the human brain (i.e., turning it to “mush”) does in fact exist today. It isn’t funny that many of the new shows broadcast over Hulu center on human mind control and programming (see Fox’s Dollhouse, 24, and Fringe).

Actual patent downloaded from US Patent office website says:

Nervous System Manipulation by EM Fields from Monitors

Issued to: Loos, Hendricus.

Abstract: Physiological effects have been observed in a human subject in response to stimulation of the skin with weak electromagnetic fields that are pulsed with certain frequencies near 1/2 Hz or 2.4 Hz, such as to excite a sensory resonance. Many computer monitors and HD TV screens, when displaying pulsed images, emit pulsed electromagnetic fields of sufficient amplitudes to cause such excitation. It is therefore possible to manipulate the nervous system of a subject by pulsing images displayed on a nearby computer monitor or digital TV set. For the latter, the image pulsing may be embedded in the program material, or it may be overlaid by modulating a video stream, either as an RF signal or as a video signal. The image displayed on a computer monitor may be pulsed effectively by a simple computer program. For certain monitors, pulsed electromagnetic fields capable of exciting sensory resonances in nearby subjects may be generated even as the displayed images are pulsed with subliminal intensity. (USP # 6,488,617, December 3, 2002).

Can this technology turn a human brain to “mush” just like the Hulu ad shows? You betcha! Just when you think it can’t get any crazier...

A. True Ott, Ph.D.
educate-yourself.org
February 16, 2009
**Hormone Replacement Therapy Doubles Risk of Breast Cancer**

Drug companies can no longer deny the science: Breast cancer risk is doubled for women who take conventional Hormone Replacement Therapy (HRT) drugs. That’s the conclusion of a new study conducted at Georgetown University’s Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center. Researchers discovered that risk drops rapidly once women stop using the HRT drugs.

Notably, these increased cancer risk findings only apply to synthetic HRT drugs produced by Big Pharma. They do not apply to bio-identical hormones offered by compounding pharmacies and naturopathic physicians.

But bio-identical hormones are under assault by the FDA, which recently tried to outlaw them by threatening compounding pharmacies that were selling them as an alternative to conventional HRT drugs. Guess who was behind the FDA’s effort to crush the alternative hormones market? Wyeth, the maker of Prempro, the conventional HRT drug. Wyeth petitioned the FDA to go after bio-identical hormones, and the FDA quickly obliged.

An investigation by Sen. Charles Grassley’s office revealed documentation showing the drug company was engaged in highly unethical and deceptive practices regarding medical journal articles. What drug those ghost-written medical journal articles were promoting? Prempro, the HRT drug!

So let’s put the pieces of this puzzle together. We have a drug company that sells a synthetic HRT drug known to double the risk of breast cancer. But instead of pulling the drug off the market, Wyeth files a petition with the FDA to eliminate the competition (bio-identical hormones). At the same time, Wyeth organizes a campaign of articles in medical journals, touting the many benefits of HRT drugs and ignoring the 2002 research showing them to be correlated with higher risks of breast cancer.

Women should be up in arms over this. They were lied to by yet another drug company and by the very government agency that was supposed to protect them.

Mike Adams, NaturalNews.com
Industrial Animal Farming Linked to Increased Human Disease

Many health conscious people have made the decision not to eat meat, viewing the consumption of animal flesh as having negative consequences on the body, the spirit, and even the personality. Animal rights activists have been quite vocal in pointing out the deplorable conditions under which animals destined to become part of the traditional food chain are raised.

Tough economic times have given rise to a chorus of budget minded columnists reminding people that giving up animal protein will make their food dollars stretch farther. Today, there is one more aspect to consider about the consumption of animal protein: the connection between industrialized animal farming and human disease.

Factory farms are breeding grounds for virulent disease and disease resistant strains of antibiotics, according to the 2008 report from the Pew Commission on Industrial Farm Animal Production, in conjunction with the Pew Charitable Trusts and Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. The commission’s report highlights the risks to the public resulting from the growth of the industrialization of farm animal production. It is the result of two and one half years of investigation centered in four areas: public health, environmental impact, effects on farm communities, and animal health and welfare.

Fifteen commissioners, each with impressive credentials, concluded that while factory animal farming and production is increasing worldwide at an exponential rate, the rates of new forms of infectious diseases have been concurrently on the increase. There is clearly a link between factory farming and human illness.

Although the number of farms producing animals for food has declined dramatically in the past five decades as small independent farmers have been pushed out of the way by the giant food conglomerates, the number of food animals produced has stood fairly constant. It is this concentration of farm animals in larger and larger numbers in ever closer proximity to one another that has increased the risks of pathogens and created more opportunities for disease transmission to humans. Of particular concern is the increase in antibiotic use, needed to keep animals alive under such deplorable conditions. Excessive use of antibiotics has given rise to antibiotic-resistant microbes that pose a threat to the health of humans as well as animals.

Communities near industrial farms’ animal production facilities are seen as particularly at risk, with children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic health conditions in the greatest danger of the health threats posed by such methods of farming.

Zoonotic Pathogenes Are on the Increase

Prior to the 20th century, many humans lived short life spans relative to their potential. Many died at young ages as the result of infections. With the implementation of better hygiene and sanitation systems people began to live longer. But it wasn’t until the discovery of penicillin that the life span increased dramatically. During the golden age of America that began in the early 1950s, penicillin and its derivatives kept most Americans in the picture of health. When polio was finally conquered in the 1960s, everyone believed the threat of infectious disease was history.

That golden age was short lived. New diseases began to show up at a pace previously unknown in history. A new pathogen has sprung up almost every year for the past three decades. Most of these pathogens are zoonotic, meaning they can jump the gap from animals to infection of humans. Of the documented human pathogens, about 64% are zoonotic.

According to reporter Laura Sayer in an article for Mother Earth News, the total U.S. hog population numbered 53 million in 1965. This number was spread over more than 1 million pig farms in the United States, many of which were small family operations. Today, 65 million hogs are raised on just over 65,000 farms across the nation. Many of these factory farms are raising 5,000 hogs at any given time.

Sayer notes that broiler chicken production has risen from 366 million in 1945 to 8,400 million in 2001. Most industrialized chicken raising facilities house tens of thousands of birds together. Fifty-five billion chickens are raised each year on a worldwide basis. The global pig industry is close to 1 billion, half of which are raised in confinement. Some countries house as many as 30,000 animals together.

Raising animals in such a fashion violates the principles of animal husbandry accepted as good practice by people for thousands of years and practiced on family farms. Intensive confinement often severely restricts movement and natural behaviors, such as the ability to walk or lie on natural materials, having enough floor space to move with some freedom, and rooting behaviors in pigs. The most intensive confinement systems, such as restrictive veal crates, hog gestation pens, restrictive farrowing crates, and battery cages for poultry all prevent animals from normal range of movement and are particularly inhumane treatments.

The outcome is animals in severe distress. Animals cannot be cared for by tried and true traditional methods...
when they are crammed together in factory farms in confined conditions. Animals raised under the industrial model experience no quality of life and live in constant stress as the result of overcrowding. This results in weakened immune systems and susceptibility to infection. Lack of sunlight and fresh air guarantees any disease will spread like wildfire.

Good animal husbandry helps protect the safety of the food supply. Scientists have recognized that food safety is linked to the health of animals that produce meat, dairy and egg products. They know that intensive confinement production systems produce increased pathogen shedding in animals.

To prevent and treat the diseases that arise from such conditions, the lords of factory animal farms have relied on antibiotics to the point of injecting chicken eggs with them. Animal feed is laced with antibiotics and so are the tissues and organs of these animals when they appear in the butcher’s case. Producers of ‘natural’ chickens that are claimed to be free of antibiotics can get by with that claim because the chicken embryos are soaked in antibiotics while they are inside the eggs. Today, the majority of antibiotic use is preventative.

**OVERUSE OF ANTIBIOTICS IN ANIMALS**

Some members of the medical establishment and many critics outside of the medical profession have been concerned for many years about the excessive prescribing of antibiotics for diseases that offer inconvenience as their major threat. These warnings have generally fallen on deaf ears within both the medical profession and government regulatory agencies. Antibiotics are now often the first choice treatments for diseases that are not even affected by them, like the common cold.

This excessive use of antibiotics pales in comparison with their use in the industrialized raising of animals for food. According to Sayers, “it’s a simple fact that the more antibiotics are used, especially prolonged use at low doses as in factory farms, the more antibiotic-resistant microbes will become. Bacteria and viruses are also notoriously promiscuous, swapping genes across species and even across genera, creating what the Johns Hopkins researchers call reservoirs of resistance. In some pathogens, selection for resistance also results in increased virulence… In other cases, otherwise harmless microbes can transfer resistance genes to pathogenic species.”

Bio-containment procedures are geared toward protecting livestock from disease outbreaks. There appears to be little concern about preventing human pathogens from escaping into the wider environment through the many routes available that include the food itself, water, and air. A worker in such facilities can carry pathogens home on his body or clothing without being aware of it, allowing microbes to be released miles away from the factory farm where he works. Globalization means that pathogens can be spread anywhere.

Human health is further threatened by the likelihood of animals to excrete pathogenic microbes. The tremendous quantities of waste that concentrate on the premises of industrial animal producers may exceed the capacity of the landscape to absorb the nutrients and neutralize the pathogens. The annual production of manure produced by animal confinement facilities exceeds that produced by the human population of the country by at least three times. And unlike human sewage, the majority of waste from factory farms is spread upon the ground untreated.

Such large quantities of manure carry excess nutrients and chemicals including antibiotics, hormones and heavy metals into waterways, lakes, groundwater, soils and airways. Land application of untreated animal waste on cropland contributes to excessive nutrient loading, and ultimately to plant growth so dense around water that aquatic animal life is suffocated.

Greenhouse gas emissions from livestock operations account for 18% of all anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, exceeding emissions from the transportation sector. Air quality degradation is also a problem near industrial farm animal production because of the localized release of toxic gases, and particulates and bioaerosols that contain microorganisms including human pathogens. Livestock emission of ammonia from factory farms adds to the acidification of soil and water, while species diversity is threatened by nutrient overload.

**FACTORY ANIMAL FARMS LINKED WITH FOOD BORNE ILLNESS**

“When dioxin-contaminated chicken feed led to the removal from the market of all chicken and eggs in Belgium for several weeks in June of 1999, doctors there noted a 40 percent decline in the number of human Campylobacter infections,” according to Sayer. Most chicken sold in the U.S. are contaminated with this bacterium. Eggs from factory farm chickens contaminated with salmonella caused 2,000 hospitalizations and 60 deaths in the year 2000. A host of infections not thought of as food-related may be the result of overuse of antibiotics at factory farms.

The MRSA bacterium, responsible for difficult or impossible to treat infections in humans, seemed to come out of nowhere when it was first seen in hospitals. Now the Veterinary Microbiology study has shown that industrialized North American pig farms and farmers commonly carry MRSA, including a strain that infects humans. Around 9 million Canadian raised hogs are imported into the U.S. every year.

Healthy people are developing MRSA infections. Medical, agricultural, and environmental experts have called for Congress to compel the FDA to study whether use of antibiotics in animal agriculture is contributing to the surge in MRSA deaths in the U.S., which now exceed the number of deaths from HIV/AIDS. Since the government is not systematically testing U.S. livestock for MRSA, it is not known whether farms in the U.S. are also sources.

The excessive use of antibiotics in factory farms can select for resistant bacteria, such as MRSA. A European study has documented that industrial pig farms routinely using antibiotics were more likely to have MRSA than farms with limited antibiotic use.

---
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**FACTORY ANIMAL FARMS LINKED WITH FOOD BORNE ILLNESS**

When dioxin-contaminated chicken feed led to the removal from the market of all chicken and eggs in Belgium for several weeks in June of 1999, doctors there noted a 40 percent decline in the number of human Campylobacter infections, according to Sayer. Most chicken sold in the U.S. are contaminated with this bacterium. Eggs from factory farm chickens contaminated with salmonella caused 2,000 hospitalizations and 60 deaths in the year 2000. A host of infections not thought of as food-related may be the result of overuse of antibiotics at factory farms.

By Barbara Minton, NaturalNews.com
Vaccine Contamination with Avian Flu Virus is Not Accidental

Czech newspapers are questioning if the shocking discovery of vaccines contaminated with the deadly avian flu virus, distributed to 18 countries by the American company Baxter, were part of a conspiracy to provoke a pandemic. The claim holds weight because, according to the lab protocols that are routine for vaccine makers, mixing a live virus biological weapon with vaccine material by accident is virtually impossible.

Initially, Baxter attempted to stone-wall questions by invoking “trade secrets” and refused to reveal how the vaccines were contaminated. Later they claimed that pure H5N1 batches were sent by accident. This was seemingly an attempt to hide the fact that the accidental contamination of a vaccine with a deadly biological agent like avian flu is virtually impossible.

According to a compiled translation from Czech newspapers, the media over there is asking tough questions about whether the contamination was part of a deliberate attempt to start a pandemic.

“Was this just a criminal negligence or it was an attempt to provoke pandemic using vaccination against flu to spread the disease (as happened with the anti-B hepatitis vaccination with vaccines containing the HIV virus in US!) and then cash for the vaccines against H5N1 which Baxter develops?” states the translation.

The fact that Baxter mixed the deadly H5N1 virus with seasonal flu viruses is the smoking gun. The H5N1 virus on its own has killed hundreds of people, but it is less airborne and more restricted in the ease with which it can spread. However, when combined with seasonal flu viruses, which are super-airborne and easily spread, the effect is a potent, super-airborne, super deadly biological weapon.

The key aspect of this story is that it is virtually impossible for live avian flu virus to find its way into a vaccine by “accident”. As health expert Mike Adams points out, “The shocking answer is that this couldn’t have been an accident. Why? Because Baxter International adheres to something called BSL3 (Biosafety Level 3) – a set of laboratory safety protocols that prevent the cross-contamination of materials.

…Laboratory personnel have specific training in handling pathogenic and potentially lethal agents, and are supervised by competent scientists who are experienced in working with these agents. This is considered a neutral or warm zone. All procedures involving the manipulation of infectious materials are conducted within biological safety cabinets or other physical containment devices, or by personnel wearing appropriate personal protective clothing. The laboratory has special engineering and design features.”

If under the BSL3 code of conduct it is impossible for live avian flu viruses to contaminate production vaccine materials that are shipped out to vendors around the world, this leaves only two possibilities that explain these events:

1) Baxter isn’t following BSL3 safety guidelines or is so sloppy in following them that it can make monumental mistakes that threaten the safety of the entire human race.

2) A rogue employee (or an evil plot from the top management) is present at Baxter, whereby live avian flu viruses were intentionally placed into the vaccine materials in the hope that such materials might be injected into humans and set off a global bird flu pandemic. Spreading bird flu would create an instantaneous surge of demand for bird flu vaccines. The profits that vaccine companies such as Baxter International could reap out of such a panic are astronomical.

Those that have a stake in the Tamiflu vaccine include top globalists and Bilderberg Club members like George Shultz, Lodewijk J. R. de Vink and former U.S. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld. Authorities in both Europe and the U.S. have openly detailed plans for martial law, quarantine and internment should a bird flu pandemic occur.

The other motivation is the fact that elites throughout history have openly stated that they want to see a world population reduction of around 80 per cent. Shocking stories like this take the plausibility of that narrative out of the realms of conspiracy theory and into the dangerous reality of conspiracy fact.

“Baxter is acting a whole lot like a biological terrorism organization these days, sending deadly viral samples around the world. If you mail an envelope full of anthrax to your Senator, you get arrested as a terrorist. So why is Baxter, which mailed samples of a far more deadly viral strain to labs around the world, getting away with saying, essentially, ‘Oops?’”, Mike Adams concludes.

This is not the first time that vaccine companies have been caught distributing vaccines contaminated with deadly viruses. In 2006 Bayer Corporation had discovered that their injection drug, which was used by hemophiliacs, was contaminated with the HIV virus. Internal documents prove that after they positively knew that the drug was contaminated, they took it off the U.S. market only to dump it on the markets in Europe, Asia and Latin America, knowingly exposing thousands, most of them children, to the live HIV virus.

Government officials in France went to prison for allowing the drug distribution. The documents show that the FDA colluded with Bayer to cover-up the scandal and allowed the deadly drug to be distributed globally. No Bayer executives faced prosecution in the U.S.

Paul Joseph Watson
Prison Planet.com
March 5, 2009
Please Don’t Riot!
It’s Just What ‘They’ Want

By David Icke

‘What you fight, you become.’ – David Icke

As I travel around America it is clear that this country is on the brink of an economic catastrophe the like of which it has never seen. And, of course, it is not alone. A similar story can be told around the world. The foreclosure figures are fantastic as families and others lose their homes through no fault of their own on a scale that, according to current projections, is destined to become a tidal wave.
The credit explosion was orchestrated through Illuminati agents and Satanists like Alan Greenspan, who represents the interests of the Illuminati’s Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission and other far more exclusive and sinister secret societies. Greenspan was the long-time head of the privately-owned “US central bank”, the Federal Reserve. Once his policies had manipulated as much personal and commercial debt as possible the plug was pulled on the system to trigger what is designed to be global economic meltdown.

Greenspan, who led “the Fed” under Reagan, Father Bush, Clinton and Boy Bush, operates at a deeper level within the Illuminati network than most of their public figures, and I was told by a former Satanist, an unofficial offspring of the Rothschild family, how he remembered Greenspan at sacrifice rituals he attended:

“I can recall the Rockefellers and the Bushes attending rituals, but never having the supremacy to lead them. I still regard them as lackeys and not real brokers of occult power. Except for Alan Greenspan, most of these fellows were camp followers in the occult, primarily for the economic power and prestige. Greenspan, I recall, was a person of tremendous spiritual, occult power and could make the Bushes and the younger Rockefellers cover with just a glance.”

This, then, is the man who was controlling the United States economy from 1987 to 2006 and who, as planned, oversaw the insane economic policies that led to the current global collapse. Go to another level of understanding and you can see that Greenspan and other Illuminati place-men throughout the world knew exactly what they were doing and what the outcome was planned to be.

Zionist Greenspan got out just before the true scale and consequences of his manipulation came to light. It has been left to others, including his Zionist successor at the “Fed”, Ben Shalom Bernanke, to offer the “solutions” to the problems that Greenspan and his like created. All of these “solution” people are controlled by the same force that was, and is, behind Greenspan. This is why Obama has named blatant insiders to his “economic team” who were fundamentally culpable in the very collapse they have been appointed to “address”.

The Zionists Tim Geitner, Larry Summers, Paul Volcker, etc., who are all stalwarts of the same Bilderberg Group – Council on Foreign Relations – Trilateral Commission network as Greenspan, have no intention of finding a “solution” that will benefit the people of America or anywhere else.

Neither does Obama’s Budget Director, the Zionist Peter R. Orszag. It was Orszag who advised the Russian finance ministry at the time when Zionist oligarchs were raping the Russian economy and stealing its resources and he was a financial advisor to the Icelandic central bank just before the Iceland banking system crashed. Great “choice”, Barack.

During the Clinton administration, Orszag worked with Obama’s White House Chief of Staff, the ultra-Zionist Rahm Emanuel, on imposing the NAFTA “free trade” agreement that has cost incredible numbers of American jobs – exactly as planned. Emanuel served in the Israeli army and is the son of a terrorist with the notorious Irgun, one of the terror groups which bombed Israel into existence after World War Two. It was Emanuel who said after Obama’s election: “You never let a serious crisis go to waste”. Emanuel, a cold and vicious piece of work, is directly controlling Obama and the White House in league with senior White House advisor, the Zionist David Axelrod, who ran the puppet “president’s” election campaign and oversees the writing of the speeches that he reads from the teleprompter screens.

At least most of “Obama’s” economic place-men are fundamentally connected to the Zionist Robert E. Rubin, the Treasury Secretary under Bill Clinton, who oversaw financial deregulation that led ultimately to the current crisis. Rubin recently resigned from Citigroup after encouraging the policies that led the company to the brink of collapse. In January 2009, Rubin was named by Marketwatch as one of the “10 most unethical people in business” and his proteges and close associates are now running the US economy under Obama.

Another massive blow to economic confidence came when the Zionist hedge fund crook, Bernard Madoff, revealed that he’d “lost” $50 billion of his clients’ money. He says he acted alone. No bloody chance. Other financial disasters which have collapsed the markets include American International Group (AIG), the insurance giant headed by the Zionist, Maurice Greenberg. It has just announced losses of $61.7 billion for the final three months of 2008 – the biggest quarterly loss in corporate history.

Greenberg is extremely close to the Zionist Henry Kissinger, one of the Illuminati’s most vociferous global manipulators of the last 50 years. Kissinger was appointed chairman of AIG’s International Advisory Board in 1987.
Are all these people just breathtakingly incompetent? No, no, no. Their role for the cabal is to destroy the economy and America in general by, among many other things, triggering the financial crisis and then wasting staggering amounts of borrowed money – trillions of dollars – to ensure that there is no way back.

A US TV ad apparently said that if you spent a million dollars a day every day from the alleged birth of “Christ” to today you would still not spend as much as Obama is spending in borrowed money in his “stimulus” package – let alone the other fantastic sums on top of that to “bail” the banks.

They have worked super-fast, using fear and the Obama hype as their weapons, to throw more petrol on the fire in the full knowledge that this will make matters worse, not better. Bush’s “bail out” of the banks has disappeared from the radar with nothing to show for it, and now Bush Mark II, Mr. Change, is fronting up the spending of another three trillion.

The biggest recipient of bail-out money has been Maurice Greenberg’s AIG, which has been given $180 billion in borrowed money that the American taxpayers, and their children, are now responsible for repaying. Rubin’s Citigroup is in for $50 billion of borrowed “government” money.

The idea is not only to collapse the American economy, but to throw so much money at the problem (the banks mostly) as quickly as possible so that the response options of the government are zero by the time the economy really crashes on the scale that is planned.

The same is being orchestrated around the world, as we see in Britain where the Prime Minister, Gordon Brown, is also hurling borrowed money at the banking system only for the situation to go on getting worse. Brown was Chancellor of the Exchequer, in charge of the country’s finances, right through the Blair years when he pursued policies that mirrored those of Greenspan. Now the man who was a major cause of the banking crisis in the UK is asking us to believe that he is the “saviour” of the financial system. That’s like a guy smashing someone around the head with a baseball bat and then claiming to be a hero for driving the fellah to hospital. But these people have no shame.

The global economic collapse is designed to trigger a stream of “benefits” to the Illuminati agenda. One, as I have said from the start, is to use this as an excuse to impose a global economic dictatorship controlled by a world central bank. But there are many other “solutions” and connected “benefits” that are planned to be exploited, and we need to be streetwise to them.

The one I want to emphasise here is the need to stay calm and react peacefully to what is happening. This is not easy when there is so much stress and fear around with regard to the economic present and “future”, but we need to do it all the same or we will walk straight into a massive trap that has been set for us.

Let’s get this straight: They want you to riot in response to the unfolding economic catastrophe and we are already seeing people falling for this.

Why would the authorities want people to riot? Readers of my books over the years will see the answer immediately. They want an excuse to bring in a fully-fledged Police State all over the world and people who riot in their desperation (instigated invariably by agent-provocateurs) are just the excuse they are looking for.

Be very, very aware of anyone who starts rioting, or encourages others to do so, amid peaceful demonstrations. Who are they and why are they doing this when it is handing all the aces to the system to impose a Police State? They are either stupid or agents of that State.

Regular readers of my newsletters will recall the one about the UK traffic warden who was suddenly given new cards authorising him to do the work of the police and to enter property without permission. His job was supposed to be just handing out tickets for illegal parking and so on. His boss would not discuss why these new cards were being issued, but a police officer friend explained all to him.

The officer said the government was preparing well ahead of time for a coming “war”, during which they were expecting mass protests and rioting in the streets. The police and the military were going to be so focussed on dealing with this that other uniformed pro-
essions, like traffic wardens, private security guards, CCTV operators and many others, were going to be brought forward to do the work normally done by the police.

Soon after the traffic warden approached me, the government announced that his profession was being re-designated “civil enforcement officers”, thus disconnecting them from their sole role of dealing with traffic. A few months later the government announced plans for a new group called “accredited persons” – private security guards, CCTV operators and many others who would be given authority to do some of the work currently confined to the police.

The officer told the traffic warden about a “war”, and that is planned for sure at some point. But the excuse of the war could also have been a cover-story for those people who were needed to work on the preparations, but were not to know the real reason behind it. That real reason, as we can now see, could include economic rioting after the financial collapse that the Shadow People have long known was coming because they were going to cause it – although, I stress again, a war is also being engineered involving the “West”, China and Russia.

I have been stressing this point about the plan to stir up civil unrest and rioting whenever I can and now the insiders are beginning to put that thought into the public mind. “There could be riots” is designed to communicate the theme subliminally of “go out and riot”. It is the simple power of suggestion masquerading as “concern”.

And who should do just that than Zbigniew Brzezinski, the co-founder of the Illuminati Trilateral Commission and one of the chief mentors and controllers of Barack Obama. (I won’t call him “President” Obama any longer until he produces a birth certificate to prove he is eligible for the post.)

Brzezinski told MSNBC: “… there’s going to be growing conflict between the classes and if people are unemployed and really hurting, hell, there could be even riots!”

No, Mr. Brzezinski, you know there are going to be riots because the network you represent is going to do everything it can to make them happen. More and more we are seeing this theme appearing from representatives of the State. The U.S. Army War College has made the same warnings in a document called “Known Unknowns: Unconventional ‘Strategic Shocks’ in Defense Strategy Development”. It said the military must be prepared for a “violent, strategic dislocation inside the United States”, in the light of “unforeseen economic collapse”. Unforeseen?? You must be joking. The document talks of “purposeful domestic resistance”, “pervasive public health emergencies” or “loss of functioning political and legal order”. It goes on:

“Widespread civil violence … would force the defense establishment to reorient priorities in extremis to defend basic domestic order and human security. An American government and defense establishment lulled into complacency by a long-secure domestic order would be forced to rapidly divest some or most external security commitments in order to address rapidly expanding human insecurity at home.

Under the most extreme circumstances, this might include use of military force against hostile groups inside the United States.”

As the traffic warden, now “civil enforcement officer”, found out, this is precisely what they are planning in the UK and the same will be the case in every country because they are all controlled by a world-wide web orchestrating a global agenda. The War College document said that the Department of Defense would be the “enabling hub” for the “continuity of political authority in a multi-state or nationwide civil conflict or disturbance”.

Put that through the Orwellian Translation Unit and you get “the military will control the country”. This has all been planned for a long, long time as I and others have been warning all these years, and the “hostile groups” the document talks about will be anyone who is challenging the political/military dictatorship in any way, even verbally.

In the light of this, you won’t be surprised to know that the US military is currently seeking to spend another $6 million on “riot equipment”.

Dennis C. Blair, Obama’s Director of National Intelligence and Trilateral Commission member, has also said that the economic crisis could create civil unrest in the United States and around the world. He said the crisis was “regime-threatening” if it continued over one or two years and this manufactured crisis is designed to be much bigger and longer than that. In the simple language that he seems to find so hard to summon, Blair says that there is a greater chance of war during economic turmoil and there’s no doubt they have just that planned in the wake of what is happening now.

Other establishment figures who have warned of unrest and rioting include the heads of the World Trade Organisation and the International Monetary Fund, both Illuminati organisations to their core, and anyone who heads them will be, by definition, gofers for the cabal.

In the UK, yet again confirming the validity of the traffic warden story, the head of the police branch dealing in public order has warned of a possible “Summer of Rage” over rising unemployment and the economic crash. Superintendent David Hartshorn of London’s Metropolitan Police said that “known activists” were planning to exploit the situation to mount mass protests and he pointed to the G20 Summit in London in April as a likely target, along with banks.

The London Sunday Express has also reported that UK police and “security” services are preparing to deal with rioting and “the chilling prospect of soldiers being drafted on to the streets has not been discounted …”

Mass protests around the world are no longer simply predictions because they are already happening and, with the global economy yet to collapse on anything like the scale it is intended to, we have seen nothing yet. Some 120,000 people demonstrated in Dublin over the handling of the economic crisis in Ireland, a million protestors have been on the streets in France, UK oil refinery and power station workers launched a series of strikes in protest at the use of foreign workers, and the riots across Greece late last year were partly economic in nature.

I can encapsulate what is going on here with part of a letter alleged to have been sent in 1871 by Albert Pike, a Sovereign Grand Commander of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry in America, to Giuseppe Mazzini, the Illuminati “revolutionary” in Italy. In this letter, Pike is said to have detailed the background to three world wars the Illuminati was planning to bring about their global dictatorship. The first two happened as he predicted, and this is what he said about the third:

“The Third World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences caused by the ‘agentur’ of the ‘Illuminati’ between the political Zionists and the leaders of the Islamic World. The war must be conducted in such a way that Islam (the Moslem Arabic World) and political Zionism (the State of Israel) mutually destroy each other. Meanwhile the other nations, once more divided on this issue, will be constrained to fight to the point of complete physical, moral, spiritual and economical exhaustion …

… We shall unleash the Nihilists and the atheists, and we shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil.

Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass or direction, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view. This manifestation will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time.”

Many dismiss the letter as a myth, but in the context I am using it that doesn’t matter. What it says is what is planned – an economic and social catastrophe in which the people turn on themselves in their engineered desperation and all morality is submerged in a mass battle for perceived survival.

The term “nihilism”, used in the Pike letter, has this dictionary definition:

- Rejection of all distinctions in moral or religious value and a willingness to repudiate all previous theories of morality or religious belief.
- The belief that destruction of existing political or social institutions is necessary for future improvement.

That pretty much sums it up, really, and this is why they want people to riot all over the world to destroy the existing order, which, yes, the Illuminati created, but they now seek
to replace that with the next stage of their tyranny – total global control. This means that national governments and nation states must be destroyed to allow a world government to assume its dictatorship.

Look at that definition of “nihilism” again in the light of this: “The belief that destruction of existing political or social institutions is necessary for future improvement”.

Already waiting in the wings are the agent provocateurs and the useful idiots primed to start the riots and civil unrest that the idiots believe to be challenging the existing order. But the existing order was created by the same network of Illuminati families that are seeking to create the “new order”, the New World Order, which is planned to emerge from the turmoil and chaos they are now engineering.

To achieve this, as always, they need our cooperation and let no-one be in any doubt that those who choose to riot and loot in response to what is happening, and encourage others to riot and loot, are walking straight into the trap that has been laid for them. The government and military agent provocateurs will know that, the useful idiots will not, but it is time they did.

I have met far more concrete-minded or naive people than those that are termed the extreme end of the political “Left”. They have been played like a violin for hundreds of years to change the world in the image of the Illuminati blueprint in violent revolutions to bring down the established order. Now they are being gathered again to complete the journey to global tyranny and provide the violence and chaos that is designed to open the way to a world government, world army, world central bank, world electronic currency and a microchipped population connected to the global positioning satellite system, or GPS, among many other technologies of surveillance and control.

The riots and looting they want to see, the chaos, will be met with the installation of a Police State with curfews, jail without trial, the military on the streets, and the activation of the concentration camps for “dissidents” that we have long warned about. They are officially called “military installations”, run by FEMA, the deeply-sinister Federal Emergency Management Agency, and it is no coincidence, of course, that a bill numbered HR 645 is passing through Washington “to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish national emergency centers on military installations”. No, concentration camps, and other countries will have them prepared also.

Look at what that War College document said: “Under the most extreme circumstances, this might include use of military force against hostile groups inside the United States”. Might? It’s a certainty, because that’s the plan and all the laws are in place to allow them to do it. They have been passed by governments around the world as “anti-terror” legislation, but they use the terms ‘terror’ and “terrorist” in such a non-specific way that all these laws can be applied to the entire population of the country that the “laws” were supposed to protect according to their advocates.

It was all a gigantic con, as some of us tired of pointing out. It had nothing to do with terrorists who are alleged to plant bombs and so on. All these laws have been put into place with the specific goal of controlling the mass of the people through a Police State when they triggered an economic collapse, together with new wars.

Add to all this a stream of presidential executive orders signed by successive presidents without oversight by either the House of Representatives or the Senate. These are unconstitutional and make the president a virtual dictator. Read below to see the powers that the State can activate, thanks to these orders, when martial law is declared.

This is why Obama’s Zionist Svengali, the White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel, is seeking to have gun ownership curtailed – to make the military takeover easier. Meanwhile, more people are seeking to be armed and requests for concealed weapon permits in Florida alone are up 42 per cent in 45 days with the consequences of the economic turmoil in mind.

The only way to stop all this is not to react as they want us to, with violence and hostility to both the State and each other. How many violent revolutions have led to just an another tyranny, official or unofficial, to replace the one that fell? It has to be so because what is destroyed by violence will be replaced by the same energy. As I have said in my books, what you fight, you become.

John Lennon put it perfectly when he sang:

You say you want a revolution.
Well, you know, we all want to change the world.
You tell me that it’s evolution.
Well, you know, we all want to change the world.
But when you talk about destruction,
Don’t you know that you can count me out ...

You say you got a real solution.
Well, you know, we’d all love to see the plan.
You ask me for a contribution.
Well, you know, we’re doing what we can.
But when you want money for people with minds that hate,
All I can tell is brother you have to wait.

Martin Luther King also put it brilliantly when he said of rioting:

“The limitation of riots, moral questions aside, is that they cannot win and their participants know it. Hence, rioting is not revolutionary but reactionary because it invites defeat. It involves an emotional catharsis, but it must be followed by a sense of futility”.

Those are the words of a revolutionary who succeeded through peaceful non-cooperation. Yes, they killed him, but what he created through non-violence and determination went forth to end segregation. Physical life does not matter when compared with what is right, for we are all eternal Consciousness having an illusory experience and the greatest illusion is death.

I would much rather die “early” doing what I knew to be right than to eek out a few more illusory years as a slave to a tyranny. But there is no need even for that to bring an end to this nonsense. There are billions of people being enslaved and a comparative handful doing the enslaving. Er, I think I see a way out of this.

We need to come together in mutual support, love, kindness and empathy. We need to put aside the manufactured fault-lines that divide us – religion, politics, race, culture and income bracket. That is not to say people have to reject their beliefs, just don’t let them be weapons of division.

We are all in this together and we need to meet the challenge together, not steal from each other, loot or riot, or look the other way because something happening to someone else is “not my problem”. They are not seeking to enslave Muslims, Jews, black people, or white middle class Americans and so on. They are seeking to do it to all of us and they are picking off different groups one by one, just like the Nazis did in Germany. Remember these famous words because they are so applicable now:

First they came for the Jews,
and I was not a Jew, so I did nothing.
Then they came for the communists,
and I was not a communist, so I did nothing.
Then they came for the trade unionists,
and I was not a trade unionist, so I did nothing.
Then they came for me,
and there was no-one left to speak out for me.

Let us unite behind that which affects everyone – the loss of our most basic freedoms. And if this is being planned now, what kind of world are our children and grandchildren going to live in? Can you live with that thought while doing nothing or rioting as the authorities want? I can’t.

We need to start getting organised in communities and groups to support each other and stop cooperating with the system – not to fight it. The system can only exist with our cooperation and acquiesce. We are holding it together. They have their men and women of violence, called the military and “Swat” teams, to deal with violent resistance. But their worst nightmare is our non-cooperation – the refusal
to pay taxes; refusal to leave homes when banks foreclose on them; refusal to “comply” with our own enslavement in any form. The system couldn’t cope if this was done on a mass scale. And that’s the point: to do this we need to do it en-masse and those not immediately affected need to support those who are.

Instead of compliance, we need the non-comply-dance of people who beat to a different drum and will not comply with what is unfair, unjust, or targets their freedom and the freedom of others. This approach does not refuse to comply in a spirit of hostility, rage or violence, but with love, joy and laughter – and an unbreakable determination not to cooperate with their own enslavement.

We need a mass refusal join the military, especially if they try to introduce the Draft; a refusal to do the compulsory “community service” for young people that Obama’s controllers want to introduce (as does the UK government); and a refusal to join, or accept the legitimacy of, Obama’s planned civilian security force, which is nothing more than a scam to get the people to police the people on behalf of the elite in the midst of the economic collapse and war.

We need to start getting together local currency schemes that can operate outside the system and, yes, people should also have mass protests if they choose, so long as they are peaceful. But they need to be part of the campaign of non-violent, non-cooperation, not the focus of it.

How many mass protests have there been over the years around the world and yet everything just goes on as before, be it war or globalization. We need to stop posturing and then heading for the bar to feel good about ourselves and start doing what will actually make a difference.

The protests need to be targeted at non-cooperation, refusing to accept laws that ban assembly by massive numbers turning up; surrounding the homes of neighbours when the bank bailiffs come to put them on the street; and so filling the locations of government and finance with masses of people that the system cannot function. Workers who provide essential services to government, police and financial institutions etc., can refuse to do so until Orwellian laws and financial injustice are removed. In this way the perpetrators are affected, not the mass of the people, as with all-out strikes. And all of this needs to be good humoured and strictly peaceful.

I would say this also to those in uniform. You may think you have power, but you are just pawns in the game like anyone else. You don’t have the power, your uniform does, because that is an extension of the State. Those inside are just there to animate the uniform and do the bidding of those it represents. When you are useful to the cabal they’ll praise you, and when you are surplus to their requirements as part of the bigger agenda they’ll show you the door.

You have children and grandchildren, too, who will have to live in the world you are policing into existence by “following orders” and believing the manipulative nonsense fed to you by governments and cabal “training” fronts like the UK-based Common Purpose. Wake up from the trance and stop building a Police State for your own children and grandchildren – and everyone else. Think about the consequences for those you love of what you are doing – and stop doing it.

More than anything, we all need to free our minds and become conscious. From that, everything else will come, including the intuition, inspiration and knowing that will guide us on how most effectively to deal with what we face.

If there are many things you would like to do in a room, but the room is dark and you can’t see, what is the fundamental first step to anything else happening? You have to turn on the light and then all the rest becomes possible. Without that you are thrashing around in the dark and falling over the furniture.

That is what “humans” are doing today and have been for so long. They have been manipulated to believe they are their bodies and their names when those are just the experiences of who they truly are – eternal Consciousness. As the great American comedian, Bill Hicks, said:

“… all matter is merely energy condensed to a slow vibration, we are all one consciousness experiencing itself subjectively. There’s no such thing as death, life is only a dream, and we are the imagination of ourselves.”

The divisions between us are illusory to allow a certain kind of experience, but these illusions have been exploited mercilessly to divide and rule us.

ENOUGH!

When we awaken to the truth of who we are, the world looks very different and so do the challenges that are put before us – or we put before ourselves. Move your point of observation and everything changes. Try it. Try ceas—
ing to identify who you are with your body, your name and the reflection in the mirror.

Try seeing those things as experiences and not who you are.

Try observing your life and the world from the perception of the real you – eternal Consciousness, All That Is, Has Been and Ever Can Be in our illusion called “time”.

Eternal Conscious in awareness of itself doesn’t riot; it is not violent and it doesn’t loot. But nor does it ever do, or accept for itself and others, what is not fair, just, loving and kind. Crucially, Consciousness is without fear. When we operate on that level then we can truly claim to be Conscious and not trapped in the illusion called Mind. As Albert Einstein said: “You cannot solve problems with the same level of consciousness that created them.”

John Lennon also made this key point in “Revolution” about what needs to happen to really make a difference. We need to free our minds and become Conscious:

You say you’ll change the constitution.
Well, you know,
we all want to change your head.
You tell me it’s the institution.
Well, you know,
you better free your mind instead.
But if you go carrying pictures
of chairman Mao,
You ain’t going to make it
with anyone anyhow.

We are now fast heading for the eye of the storm that has been planned for so long to enslave the global population in a centralised tyranny. But we don’t have to accept it or acquiesce to it, meekly looking on as the walls of control close in by the day. But that is what is happening and it has to stop. For everyone’s sake, it has to stop.

We can come together, we MUST come together, putting aside the fault-lines of race, religion, culture and income bracket. These are just illusory labels through which we are divided and therefore ruled. Believe in them if you wish, and enjoy them if they make you happy, but don’t let them divide us any longer.

We need to come together in mutual support at this time as those with sick minds and closed hearts are poised to throw everything at us to complete their agenda for total control. Whether their insanity prevails is not in their hands, but in ours. It is we who have the power if only we would choose to use it.

We are One Consciousness deluded into thinking we are “little me”. When we realise that we are all One – and act upon that with courage, love, kindness, peace and empathy for all who need support – the walls of oppression must fall.

But sitting on your arse hoping it will all go away is no longer an option.

It never was.
In 1992 I had unprecedented access to Politburo and Central Committee secret documents which have been classified, and still are even now, for thirty years. These documents show very clearly that the whole idea of turning the European common market into a federal state was agreed between the left-wing parties of Europe and Moscow as a joint project, which [Mikhail] Gorbachev in 1988-1989 called “Our common European home.”

The idea was very simple. It first came up in 1985-1986, when the Italian communists visited Gorbachev, followed by the German social-democrats. They all complained that the changes in the world, particularly after [British Prime Minister Margaret] Thatcher introduced privatisation and economic liberalisation, were threatening to wipe out the “achievement” (as they called it) of generations of socialists and social-democrats – threatening to reverse it completely. Therefore, the only way to withstand this onslaught of “wild capitalism” (as they called it) was to try to introduce the same socialist goals in all countries at once.

Prior to that, the left-wing parties and the Soviet Union had opposed European integration very much because they perceived it as a means to block their socialist goals. From 1985 onwards they completely changed their view. The Soviets came to a conclusion and to an
agreement with the left-wing parties that if they worked together they could hijack the whole European project and turn it upside down. Instead of an open market they would turn it into a federal state.

According to the [secret Soviet] documents, 1985-1986 is the turning point. I have published most of these documents. You might even find them on the internet. But the conversations they had are really eye opening. For the first time you understand that there is a conspiracy – quite understandable for them, as they were trying to save their political hides. In the East, the Soviets needed a change of relations with Europe because they were entering a protracted and very deep structural crisis. In the West, the left-wing parties were afraid of being wiped out and losing their influence and prestige. So it was a conspiracy, quite openly made by them, agreed upon, and worked out.

In January of 1989, for example, a delegation of the Trilateral Commission came to see Gorbachev. It included [former Japanese Prime Minister Yasuhiro] Nakasone, [former French President Valéry] Giscard d’Estaing, [David] Rockefeller and [Henry] Kissinger. They had a very nice conversation where they tried to explain to Gorbachev that Soviet Russia had to integrate into the financial institutions of the world, such as GATT, the IMF and the World Bank. In the middle of it Giscard d’Estaing suddenly takes the floor and says: “Mr. President, I cannot tell you exactly when it will happen – probably within 15 years – but Europe is going to be a federal state and you have to prepare yourself for that. You have to work out with us, and the European leaders, how you would react to that, how you would allow the other East European countries to interact with it, or how to become a part of it. You have to be prepared.”

This was January 1989, at a time when the [1992] Maastricht treaty had not even been drafted. How the hell did Giscard d’Estaing know what was going to happen in 15 years time? And – surprise, surprise – how did he become the author of the European constitution [in 2002-2003]? A very good question. It does smell of conspiracy, doesn’t it?

Luckily for us the Soviet part of this conspiracy collapsed earlier and it did not reach the point where Moscow could influence the course of events. But the original idea was to have what they called a convergency, whereby the Soviet Union would mellow somewhat and become more social-democratic, while Western Europe would become social-democratic and socialist. Then there will be convergency. The structures have to fit each other. This is why the structures of the European Union were initially built with the purpose of fitting into the Soviet structure. This is why they are so similar in functioning and in structure.

It is no accident that the European Parliament, for example, reminds me of the Supreme Soviet. It looks like the Supreme Soviet because it was designed like it. Similary, when you look at the European Commission it looks like the Politburo. I mean, it does so exactly, except for the fact that the Commission now has 25 members, and the Politburo usually had 13 or 15 members. Apart from that they are exactly the same, unaccountable to anyone, not directly elected by anyone at all.

When you look into all this bizarre activity of the European Union with its 80,000 pages of regulations it looks like Gosplan. We used to have an organisation which was planning everything in the economy, to the last nut and bolt, five years in advance. Exactly the same thing is happening in the EU. When you look at the type of EU corruption, it is exactly the Soviet type of corruption, going from top to bottom rather than going from bottom to top.

If you go through all the structures and features of this emerging European monster you will notice that it more and more resembles the Soviet Union. Of course, it is a milder version of the Soviet Union.
Please, do not misunderstand me. I am not saying that it has a Gulag. It has no KGB – not yet – but I am very carefully watching such structures as Europol, for example. That really worries me a lot because this organisation will probably have powers bigger than those of the KGB. They will have diplomatic immunity. Can you imagine a KGB with diplomatic immunity? They will have to police us on 32 kinds of crimes, two of which are particularly worrying: one is called *racism*, another is called *xenophobia*. No criminal court on earth defines anything like this as a crime. So it is a new crime, and we have already been warned. Someone from the British government told us that those who object to uncontrolled immigration from the Third World will be regarded as racist and those who oppose further European integration will be regarded as xenophobes. I think Patricia Hewitt said this publicly.

Hence, we have now been warned. Meanwhile they are introducing more and more ideology. The Soviet Union used to be a state run by ideology. Today’s ideology of the European Union is social-democratic, statist, and a big part of it is also political correctness. I watch very carefully how political correctness spreads and becomes an oppressive ideology, not to mention the fact that they forbid smoking almost everywhere now.

Look at this persecution of people like the Swedish pastor who was persecuted for several months because he said that the Bible does not approve homosexuality. France passed the same law of hate speech concerning gays. Britain is passing hate speech laws concerning race relations and now religious speech, and so on and so forth.

What you observe, taken into perspective, is a systematic introduction of ideology which could later be enforced with oppressive measures. Apparently that is the whole purpose of Europol. Otherwise why do we need it? To me Europol looks very suspicious. I watch very carefully who is persecuted for what and what is happening, because that is one field in which I am an expert. I know how Gulags spring up.

It looks like we are *living in a period of rapid, systematic and very consistent dismantlement of democracy*. Look at this Legislative and Regulatory Reform Bill, which makes ministers into legislators who can introduce new laws without bothering to tell Parliament or anyone. My immediate reaction is why do we need it? Britain survived two world wars, the war with Napoleon, the Spanish Armada, not to mention the Cold War, when we were told at any moment we might have a nuclear world war, without any need for introducing this kind of legislation, without the need for suspending our civil liberties and introducing emergency powers. Why do we need it right now? This can make a dictatorship out of your country in no time.

Today’s situation is really grim. Major political parties have been completely taken in by the new EU project. None of them really opposes it. They have become very corrupt. Who is going to defend our freedoms? It looks like we are heading towards some kind of collapse, some kind of crisis. The most likely outcome is that there will be an economic collapse in Europe, which in due time is bound to happen with this growth of expenses and taxes. The inability to create a competitive environment, the overregulation of the economy, the bureaucratisation – it is going to lead to economic collapse. Particularly the introduction of the euro was a crazy idea. Currency is not supposed to be political.

I have no doubt about it. There will be a collapse of the European Union pretty much like the...
Soviet Union collapsed. But do not forget that when these things collapse they leave such devastation that it takes a generation to recover.

Just think what will happen if it comes to an economic crisis. The recrimination between nations will be huge. It might come to blows. Look to the huge number of immigrants from Third World countries now living in Europe. This was promoted by the European Union. What will happen with them if there is an economic collapse? We will probably have, like in the Soviet Union at the end, so much ethnic strife that the mind boggles. In no other country were there such ethnic tensions as in the Soviet Union, except probably in Yugoslavia. So that is exactly what will happen here, too. We have to be prepared for that. This huge edifice of bureaucracy is going to collapse on our heads.

This is why, and I am very frank about it, the sooner we finish with the European Union the better. The sooner it collapses the less damage it will have done to us and to other countries. But we have to be quick because the Eurosceptics are moving very fast. It will be difficult to defeat them. Today it is still simple. If one million people march on Brussels today these guys will run away to the Bahamas. If tomorrow half of the British population refuses to pay its taxes, nothing will happen and no-one will go to jail. Today you can still do that. But I do not know what the situation will be tomorrow with a fully fledged Europol staffed by former Stasi or Securitate officers. Anything may happen.

We are losing time. We have to defeat them. We have to sit and think, work out a strategy in the shortest possible way to achieve maximum effect. Otherwise it will be too late.

So what should I say? My conclusion is not optimistic. So far, despite the fact that we do have some anti-EU forces in almost every country, it is not enough. We are losing and we are wasting time.
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Ed Griffin: Mr. Dodd, let’s begin this interview with a brief statement. For the record, please tell us who you are, what is your background and your qualifications to speak on this subject.

Norman Dodd: Well, Mr. Griffin, as to who I am, I am just, as the name implies, an individual born in New Jersey and educated in private schools, eventually in a school called Andover in Massachusetts and then Yale university. Running through my whole period of being brought up and growing up, I have been an indefatigable reader. I have had one major interest, and that was this country as I was lead to believe it was originally founded.

Conspiracy

The testimony you are about to read represents a missing piece in the puzzle of modern history. It shows how the tax-exempt foundations of America since at least 1945 have been operating to promote a hidden agenda which has nothing to do with the surface appearance of charity, good works, or philanthropy. The real objective has been to influence American educational institutions and to control foreign policy of the federal government. The purpose of this control has been to condition Americans to accept the creation of world government. That government is to be based on the principle of collectivism, which is another way of saying socialism, and it is to be ruled from behind the scenes by those same interests which control the tax-exempt foundations. The man who tells this story is Mr. Norman Dodd, who in 1954 was the staff director of the House Special Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations and Comparable Organizations, or more popularly the Reece Committee, in recognition of its chairman, B. Carroll Reece. The interview was conducted by G. Edward Griffin in 1982.
I was fortunate enough to secure a position in one of the important banks in New York and lived there. I lived through the conditions which led up to what is known as the crash of 1929. I witnessed what was tantamount to the collapse of the structure of the United States as a whole.

Much to my surprise, I was confronted by my superiors in the middle of the panic in which they were immersed. I was confronted with the question: “Norm, what do we do now?” I was thirty at the time and I had no more right to have an answer to that question than the man in the moon. However, I did manage to say to my superiors: “Gentlemen, you take this experience as proof that there’s something you do not know about banking, and you’d better go find out what that something is and act accordingly.”

Four days later I was confronted by the same superiors with a statement to the effect that, “Norm, you go find out.” And I really was fool enough to accept that assignment, because it meant that you were going out to search for something, and nobody could tell you what you were looking for, but I felt so strongly on the subject that I consented.

I was relieved of all normal duties inside the bank and two and a half years later I felt that it was possible to report back to those who had given me this assignment. And so, I rendered such a report. And, as a result of the report I rendered, I was told the following: “Norm, what you’re saying is we should return to sound banking.” And I said, “Yes, in essence, that’s exactly what I’m saying.” Whereupon I got my first shock, which was a statement from them to this effect: “We will never see sound banking in the United States again.”

They cited chapter and verse to support that statement, and what they cited was as follows: “Since the end of World War I we have been responsible for what they call the institutionalizing of conflicting interests, and they are so prevalent inside this country that they can never be resolved.”

This came to me as an extraordinary shock because the men who made this statement were men who were deemed as the most prominent bankers in the country. The bank of which I was a part, which I’ve spoken of, was a Morgan bank and, coming from men of that caliber, a statement of that kind made a tremendous impression on me. The type of impression that it made on me was such that I wondered if, as an individual and what they call a junior officer of the bank, could with the same enthusiasm foster the progress and policies of the bank. I spent about a year trying to think this out and came to the conclusion that I would have to resign.

I did resign, and, as a consequence of that, had this experience. When my letter of resignation reached the desk of the president of the bank, he sent for me, and I came to visit with him, and he stated to me: “Norm, I have your letter, but I don’t believe you understand what’s happened in the last ten days.” And I said, “No, Mr. Cochran, I have no idea what’s happened.” “Well,” he said, “the directors have never been able to get your report to them out of their mind, and, as a result, they have decided that you as an individual must begin at once and you must reorganize this bank in keeping with your own ideas.” He then said, “Now, can I tear up your letter?” Inasmuch as what had been said to me was offering me, at the age of by then thirty-three, about as fine an opportunity for service to the country as I could imagine, I said yes. They said they wished me to begin at once, and I did.

Suddenly, in the span of about six weeks, I was not permitted to do another piece of work and, every time I brought the subject up, I was kind of patted on the back and told, “Stop worrying about it, Norm. Pretty soon you’ll be a vice president, and you’ll have quite a handsome salary and ultimately be able to retire on a very worthwhile pension. In the meantime you can play golf and tennis to your heart’s content on weekends.” Well, Mr. Griffin, I found I couldn’t do it. I spent a year figuratively with my feet on the desk doing nothing, and I couldn’t adjust to it, so I did resign and, this time, my resignation stuck.

Then I got my second shock, which was the discovery that the doors of every bank in the United States were closed to me, and I never could again get a job, as it were, in the banks. I found myself, for the first time since I graduated from college, out of a job.

From there on I followed various branches of the financial world, ranging from investment counsel to membership of the stock exchange and finally ended as an adviser to a few individuals who had capital funds to look after. In the meantime, my major interest became very specific, which was to endeavor by some means of getting the educational world to actually, you might say, teach the subject of economics realistically and move it away from the support of various speculative activities that characterize our country.

I have had that interest, and you know how, as you generate a specific interest, you find yourself gravitating toward persons with similar interests, and ultimately I found myself in the center of the world of dissatisfaction with the directions that this country was headed. I found myself in contact with many individuals who on their own had done a vast amount of studying and research in areas, which were part of the problem.

E. G.: At what point in your career did you become connected with the Reece Committee?

N. D.: 1953.

E. G.: And what was that capacity?

N. D.: That was in the capacity of what they called Director of Research.

E. G.: Can you tell us what the Reece Committee was attempting to do?

N. D.: Yes, I can tell you. It was operating and carrying out instructions embodied in a resolution passed by the House of Representatives, which was to investigate the activities of foundations as to whether or not these activities could justifiably be labeled un-American without, I might say, defining what they meant by “un-American.” That was the resolution, and the committee had then the task of selecting a counsel, and the counsel in turn had the task of selecting a staff, and he had to have somebody who would direct the work of that staff, and that was what they meant by the Director of Research.

E. G.: What were some of the details, the specifics that you told the Committee at that time?
N. D.: Well, Mr. Griffin, in that report I specifically, number one, defined what, to us, was meant by the phrase, “un-American.” We defined that in our way as being a determination to effect changes in the country by unconstitutional means. We have plenty of constitutional procedures, assuming we wish to effect a change in the form of government and that sort of thing; and, therefore, any effort in that direction which did not avail itself of the procedures which were authorized by the Constitution could be justifiably be called un-American.

That was the start of educating them up to that particular point. The next thing was to educate them as to the effect on the country as a whole of the activities of large, endowed foundations over the then past forty years.

E. G.: What was that effect?

N. D.: That effect was to orient our educational system away from support of the principles embodied in the Declaration of Independence and implemented in the Constitution, and the task now was the orientation of education away from these briefly stated principles and self-evident truths.

That’s what had been the effect of the wealth, which constituted the endowments of those foundations that had been in existence over the largest portion of this span of 50 years, and holding them responsible for this change. What we were able to bring forward, what we uncovered, was the determination of these large endowed foundations, through their trustees, to actually get control over the content of American education.

E. G.: There’s quite a bit of publicity given to your conversation with H. Rowan Gaither. Would you please tell us who he was and what was that conversation you had with him?

N. D.: Rowan Gaither was, at that time, president of the Ford Foundation. Mr. Gaither had sent for me when I found it convenient to be in New York, asked me to call upon him at his office, which I did. Upon arrival, after a few amenities, Mr. Gaither said: “Mr. Dodd, we’ve asked you to come up here today because we thought that possibly, off the record, you would tell us why the Congress is interested in the activities of foundations such as ourselves?”

Before I could think of how I would reply to that statement, Mr. Gaither then went on volubly and said:

“Mr. Dodd, all of us who have a hand in the making of policies here have had experience either with the OSS during the war or the European Economic Administration after the war. We’ve had experience operating under directives, and these directives emanate and did emanate from the White House. Now, we still operate under just such directives. Would you like to know what the substance of these directives is?”

I said, “Mr. Gaither, I’d like very much to know;” whereupon he made this statement to me:

“Mr. Dodd, we are here operate in response to similar directives, the substance of which is that we shall use our grant-making power so to alter life in the United States that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.”

Well, parenthetically, Mr. Griffin, I nearly fell off the chair. I, of course didn’t, but my response to Mr. Gaither then was: “Well, Mr. Gaither, I can now answer your first question. You’ve forced the Congress of the United States to spend $150,000 to find out what you’ve just told me.”

I said: “Of course, legally, you’re entitled to make grants for this purpose, but I don’t think you’re entitled to withhold that information from the people of the country to whom you’re indebted for your tax exemption, so why don’t you tell the people of the country what you just told me?”

And his answer was, “We would not think of doing any such thing.” So then I said, “Well, Mr. Gaither, obviously you’ve forced the Congress to spend this money in order to find out what you’ve just told me.”

E. G.: Mr. Dodd, you have spoken before about some interesting things that were discovered by Katherine Casey at the Carnegie Endowment. Can you tell us that story, please?

N. D.: Yes, I’d be glad to, Mr. Griffin. This experience that you just referred to came about in response to a letter that I had written to the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, asking certain questions and gathering certain information. On the arrival of that letter, Dr. Johnson, who was then president of the Carnegie Endowment, telephoned me and said, did I ever come up to New York. I said yes, I did more or less each weekend, and he said, “Well, when you’re next here, will you drop in and see us?” Which I did.

On arrival at the office of the endowment I found myself in the presence of Dr. Joseph Johnson, the president—who was the successor to Alger Hiss—two vice presidents, and their own counsel, a partner in the firm of Sullivan and Cromwell.

Dr. Johnson said, after again amenities, “Mr. Dodd, we have your letter. We can answer all those questions, but it would be a great deal of trouble, and we have a counter suggestion. Our counter suggestion is: if you can spare a member of your staff for two weeks and send that member up to New York, we will give to that member a room in the library and the minute books of this foundation since its inception, and we think that whatever you want to find out, or that Congress wants to find out, will be obvious from those minutes.”

Well, my first reaction was they’d lost their minds. I had a pretty good idea of what those minutes would contain, but I realized that Dr. Johnson had only been in office two years, and the other vice presidents were relatively young men, and counsel seemed to be also a young man, and I guessed that probably they’d never read the minutes themselves. So I said I had somebody and would accept their offer.

I went back to Washington and I selected a member of my staff who had been a practicing attorney in Washington. She was on my staff to see to it that I didn’t break any congressional procedures or rules, in addition to which she was unsympathetic to the purpose of the investigation. She was level-headed and a very reasonably brilliant, capable lady. Her attitude toward the investigation was: “What could possibly be wrong with foundations? They do so much good.”

Well, in the face of that sincere conviction of Katherine’s I went out of my way not to prejudice her in any way, but I did explain to her that she couldn’t possibly cover fifty years of written minutes in two weeks, so she would have to do
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what we call spot reading. I blocked out certain periods of time to concentrate on, and off she went to New York. She came back at the end of two weeks with the following on dictaphone tapes:

We are now at the year 1908, which was the year that the Carnegie Foundation began operations. In that year, the trustees, meeting for the first time, raised a specific question, which they discussed throughout the balance of the year in a very learned fashion. The question is: “Is there any means known more effective than war, assuming you wish to alter the life of an entire people?” And they conclude that no more effective means than war to that end is known to humanity.

So then, in 1909, they raised the second question and discussed it, namely: “How do we involve the United States in a war?”

Well, I doubt at that time if there was any subject more removed from the thinking of most of the people of this country than its involvement in a war. There were intermittent shows in the Balkans, but I doubt very much if many people even knew where the Balkans were.

Then, finally, they answered that question as follows: “We must control the State Department.” That very naturally raises the question of how do we do that? And they answer it by saying: “We must take over and control the diplomatic machinery of this country.” And, finally, they resolve to aim at that as an objective.

Then time passes, and we are eventually in a war, which would be World War I. At that time they record on their minutes a shocking report in which they dispatched to President Wilson a telegram, cautioning him to see that the war does not end too quickly.

Finally, of course, the war is over. At that time their interest shifts over to preventing what they call a reversion of the United States to what it was prior to 1914, when World War I broke out. At that point they came to the conclusion that, to prevent a reversion, “we must control education in the United States.”

They realize that that’s a pretty big task. It is too big for them alone, so they approach the Rockefeller Foundation with the suggestion that that portion of education which could be considered domestic be handled by the Rockefeller Foundation and that portion which is international should be handled by the Endowment. They then decide that the key to success of these two operations lay in the alteration of the teaching of American history.

So they approach four of the then most prominent teachers of American history in the country—people like Charles and Mary Byrd—and their suggestion to them is: will they alter the manner in which they present their subject? And they got turned down flat. So they then decide that it is necessary for them to do as they say, “build our own stable of historians.”

Then they approach the Guggenheim Foundation, which specializes in fellowships, and say: “When we find young men in the process of studying for doctorates in the field of American history and we feel that they are the right caliber, will you grant them fellowships on our say-so?” And the answer is yes. So, under that condition, eventually they assemble twenty, and they take this twenty potential teachers of American history to London, and there they’re briefed on what is expected of them when, as, and if they secure appointments in keeping with the doctorates they will have earned. That group of twenty historians ultimately becomes the nucleus of the American Historical Association.

Toward the end of the 1920s, the Endowment grants to the American Historical Association $400,000 for a study of our history in a manner which points to what can this country look forward to in the future. That culminates in a seven-volume study, the last volume of which is, of course, in essence a summary of the contents of the other six. The essence of the last volume is: The future of this country belongs to collectivism administered with characteristic American efficiency.

That’s the story that ultimately grew out of and, of course, was what could have been presented by the members of this Congressional committee to the Congress as a whole for just exactly what it said. They never got to that point.

E. g.: This is the story that emerged from the minutes of the Carnegie Endowment?

N. d.: That’s right. It was official to that extent.

E. g.: Katherine Casey brought all of these back in the form of dictated notes from a verbatim reading of the minutes?

N. d.: On dictaphone belts.

E. g.: Are those in existence today?

N. d.: I don’t know. If they are, they’re somewhere in the Archives under the control of the Congress, House of Representatives.

E. g.: How many people actually heard those, or were they typed up, a transcript made of them?

N. d.: No.

E. g.: How many people actually heard those recordings?

N. d.: Oh, three maybe. Myself, my top assistant, and Katherine.

I might tell you, this experience, as far as its impact on Katherine Casey was concerned, was she never was able to return to her law practice. If it hadn’t been for Carroll Reece’s ability to tuck her away into a job in the Federal Trade Commission, I don’t know what would have happened to Katherine. Ultimately, she lost her mind as a result of it. It was a terrible shock. It’s a very rough experience to encounter proof of these kinds.

E. g.: Mr. Dodd, can you summarize the opposition to the Committee, the Reece Committee, and particularly the efforts to sabotaging the Committee?

N. d.: Well, they began right at the start of the work of an operating staff, Mr. Griffin, and it began on the day in which the Committee met for the purpose of consenting to or confirming my appointment to the position of Director of Research. Thanks to the abstention of the minority members of the committee, that is, the two Democratic members, from voting, technically I was unanimously appointed.

E. g.: Wasn’t the White House involved in opposition?
N. D.: Not at this particular point. Mr. Reece ordered counsel and myself to visit Wayne Hays. Wayne Hays was the ranking minority member of the Committee as a Democrat, so we came to him, and I had to go down to Mr. Hays’s office, which I did.

Mr. Hays greeted us with the flat statement directed primarily to me, which was that “I am opposed to this investigation. I regard it as nothing but an effort on the part of Carroll Reece to gain a little prominence, so I’ll do everything I can to see that it fails.”

Well, I have a strange personality in that a challenge of that nature interests me. Our counsel withdrew. He went over and sat on the couch in Mr. Reece’s office and pouted, but I sort of took up this statement of Hays as a challenge and set myself the goal of winning him over to our point of view. I started by noticing on his desk that there was a book, and the book was of the type that—there were many in these days—that would be complaining about the spread of Communism in Hungary, that type of book. This meant to me at least he has read a book, and so I brought up the subject of the spread of the influence of the Soviet world.

For two hours, I discussed this with Hays and finally ended up with his rising from his desk and saying: “Norm, if you will carry this investigation toward the goal as you have outlined to me, I’ll be your biggest supporter.” I said: “Mr. Hays, I can assure you that I will not double-cross you.”

Subsequently Mr. Hays sent word to me that he was in Bethesda Hospital with an attack of ulcers, but would I come and see him, which I did. He then said: “Norm, the only reason I’ve asked you to come out here is I just want to hear you say again you will not double-cross me.” I gave him that assurance, and that was the basis of our relationship.

Meantime, counsel took the attitude expressed in these words: “Norm, if you want to waste your time with this guy,” as he called him, “you go ahead and do it, but don’t ever ask me to say anything to him under any conditions on any subject.” So, in a sense, that created a context for me to operate in relation to Hays on my own.

As time passed, Hays offered friendship, which I hesitated to accept because of his vulgarity, and I didn’t want to get mixed up with him socially under any conditions.

Well, that was our relationship for about three months, and then, eventually, I had occasion to add to my staff a top-flight intelligence officer.

Both the Republican National Committee and the White House were resorted to, to stop me from continuing this investigation in the directions Carroll Reece had personally asked me to do, which was to utilize this investigation, Mr. Griffin, to uncover the fact that this country had been the victim of a conspiracy. That was Mr. Reece’s conviction.

I eventually agreed to carry it out. I explained to Mr. Reece that Hays’s own counsel wouldn’t go in that direction. He gave me permission to disregard their counsel, and I had then to set up an aspect of the investigation outside of our office, more or less secret.

The Republican National Committee got wind of what I was doing and they did everything they could to stop me. They appealed to counsel to stop me, and finally they resorted to the White House.

E. G.: Was their objection because of what you were doing or because of the fact that you were doing it outside of the official auspices of the Committee?

N. D.: No, their objection was, as they put it, my devotion to what they called anti-semitism. That was a cooked up idea. In other words, it wasn’t true at all, but anyway, that’s the way they expressed it.

E. G.: Why did they do that? How could they say that?

N. D.: Well, they could say it, Mr. Griffin, but they had to have something in the way of a rationalization of their decision to do everything they could to stop the completion of this investigation in the directions that it was moving, which would have been an exposure of this Carnegie Endowment story and the Ford Foundation and the Guggenheim and the Rockefeller Foundation, all working in harmony toward the control of education in the United States.

Well, to secure the help of the White House in the picture, they got the White House to cause the liaison personality between the White House and the Hill, a Major Person, to go up to Hays and try to get him to, as it were, actively oppose what the investigation was engaged in. Hays very kindly then would listen to this visit from Major Person, then he would call me and say, “Norm, come up to my office. I have a good deal to tell you.” I would go up. He would tell me, “I’ve just had a visit from Major Person, and he wants me to break up this investigation.” I then said, “Well, what did you do? What did you say to him?” He said, “I just told him to get the hell out.” He did that three times, and I got pretty proud of him in the sense that he was, as it were, backing me up.

We finally embarked upon the hearing at Hays’s request, because he wanted to get them out of the way before he went abroad for the summer.

E. G.: Why were the hearings finally terminated? What happened to the Committee?

N. D.: What happened to the Committee or the hearings?

E. G.: The hearings.

N. D.: Oh, the hearings were terminated. Carroll Reece was up against such a furor with Hays through the activity of our own counsel. Hays became convinced that he was being double-crossed and he put on a show in a public hearing room, Mr. Griffin, that was an absolute disgrace. He called Carroll Reece publicly every name in the book, and Mr. Reece took this as proof that he couldn’t continue the hearings. He actually invited me to accompany him when he went down to Hays’s office and, in my presence with tears rolling down his face, Hays apologized to Carroll Reece for what he had done and his conduct, and apologized to me. I thought that would be enough and that Carroll would resume, but he never did.

E. G.: The charge of anti-semitism is intriguing. What was the basis of that charge? Was there a basis for it at all?

N. D.: The basis of what the Republican National Committee used was that the intelligence officer I’d taken on my staff when I oriented this investigation to the exposure and proof of a conspiracy was known to have a book, and the
book was deemed to be anti-semitic. This was childish, but this was the second in command of the Republican National Committee, and he told me I’d have to dismiss this person from my staff.

E. G.: Who was that person?
N. D.: A Colonel Lee Lelane.
E. G.: And what was his book? Do you recall?
N. D.: The book they referred to was called *Waters Flowing Eastward*, which was a castigation of the Jewish influence in the world.

E. G.: What were some of the other charges made by Mr. Hays against Mr. Reece?
N. D.: Just that Mr. Reece was utilizing this investigation for his own prominence inside the House of Representatives. That was the only charge that Hays could think of.

E. G.: How would you describe the motivation of the people who created the foundations, the big foundations, in the very beginning? What was their motivation?
N. D.: Their motivation? Well, let’s take Mr. Carnegie as an example. He has publicly declared that his steadfast interest was to counteract the departure of the colonies from Great Britain. He was devoted to just putting the pieces back together again.

E. G.: Would that have required the collectivism that they were dedicated to?
N. D.: No, no, no. These policies, the foundations’ allegiance to these un-American concepts, are all traceable to the transfer of the funds into the hands of trustees, Mr. Griffin. It’s not the men who had a hand in the creation of the wealth that led to the endowment for what we would call public purposes.

E. G.: It’s a subversion of the original intent, then?
N. D.: Oh, yes, completely, and that’s how it got into the world traditionally of bankers and lawyers.

E. G.: How do you see that the purpose and direction of the major foundations has changed over the years to the present? What is it today?
N. D.: Oh, it’s a hundred percent behind meeting the cost of education such as it is presented through the schools and colleges of the United States on the subject of our history as proving our original ideas to be no longer practicable. The future belongs to collectivistic concepts, and there’s just no disagreement on that.

E. G.: Why do the foundations generously support Communist causes in the United States?
N. D.: Well, because to them, Communism represents a means of developing what we call a monopoly, that is, an organization of, say, a large-scale industry into an administrable unit.

E. G.: Do they think that they will be the ones to benefit?
N. D.: They will be the beneficiaries of it, yes.
If you haven’t realized it until now, this whole war that has been raging in this corner of the Universe for eons is over the issue of control – the power to control the lives and energy of those beings who reside, not only on this planet, but also on all the other planets in this vicinity, and even into the other dimensions. As always, the best allegory I can find for this situation is in the book A Wrinkle in Time by Madeline L’Engle.

Simply put, the whole thing is a battle between the Darkness and the Light for the ultimate control of the Universe. If this sounds like you are living in another episode of the Star Wars series, welcome to reality and the biggest thing going on in Creation right now. This bigger war, which is raging in the macrocosm of the Universe, is also raging within each and every one of us, also called the microcosm. And the chemtrails can be a fearful weapon in this war, as long as we remain in the victim state of consciousness.

Planet Earth and its inhabitants, as the prizes in this latest and final battleground of the Galactic war, are crown jewels to the victor, not only because of the position this planet holds on the outlying edge of the galaxy, but as is explained further in my upcoming book, because it is a microcosm of the galaxy. Much like the United States is on planet Earth – Earth itself is a melting pot of so many different alien races, that its conquest represents a conquest of all the home planets as well.

The key to this penultimate battle is the coming ascension of planet Earth back into the fifth dimension, and the choice of its people to either stay here, in this dimension, or to go with it. The chemtrails fulfill that part of the Hopi prophecy spoken of about the Day of Purification when cobwebs would be spun in the skies.

But what would you do if you were already in control of this planet? What would you do if you had already spent inordinate amounts of time and energy making it into the most sophisticated prison camp in the Universe – so much so that most of the inhabitants didn’t even know they were prisoners? What lengths...
would you go to in order to prevent them from pulling off the Great Escape by beaming themselves and the whole camp up and out of your self-governing enclosure?

Two things would become necessary for you to do: close or control the doorways or portals in and out of your camp (the always crucial “head ’em off at the pass” in Earth wars); and keep the prisoners preoccupied with their health and the day-to-day grind, genetically incapable of making the jump into hyperspace.

Voilà – the chemtrails!

The ultimate purpose of the chemtrails can be seen in the most ubiquitous of symbols seen everywhere in the trails left behind over so many cities – the X. As one researcher discovered while researching the source of the X rune (Gebo) in the original Teutonic texts, it literally means a gift, or offering – the symbolic meaning being “sacrifice of the lower for the higher.” Certainly that is a familiar theme in the ways of the Illuminati here on planet Earth. All the true knowledge of Creation is withheld from us, the lower, and our lives and very existence here on the planet are sacrificed in service to those who deem themselves the higher and therefore more worthy to retain this cosmic wisdom.

The first part of the New World Order’s solution concerning the blocking of the portals into and out of the planet is dealt with in my upcoming book (available soon through my website, www.4truthseekers.org). This blocking is being done from both inside the planet’s atmosphere (at ground level), and also from outside the atmosphere through the many “secret missions” carried out over the past decades of “space exploration” by NASA and by the space shuttle, as well as many other earth-based space programs.

Part B of this second aspect of the solution has to do, obviously, with keeping the economy insecure. This involves taxing the people so heavily as to keep the power out of their hands and with little or no money left even to stay alive. If this means inflating the stock market and the economy, or plunging us all into a “recession” or a depression as they have done before, then so be it. The evidence of these things is all around us if we simply choose to open our eyes. The whole idea behind the old “company store” was to keep the workers alive and working, reaping a windfall for the company, while growing further and further into debt by buying necessities at inflated prices through the company store. The workers then became hopelessly reliant on the “social security” of their benefactors, using their own free will to sell themselves into a lifetime of self-imposed servitude.

Not only are food supplies and housing now the most expensive commodities available, but we even have to buy such things as water and even air to remain somewhat healthy and alive. The medical system, a crushing blow to freedom as it is now, is not only responsible for as many deaths as for the lives it saves – but it has also been positioned to bleed the economy dry of all its resources through high-priced sugar pills and drugs which cause more problems than they cure in the hands of ill-trained practitioners. All this, while never once dealing with what is really killing the people – fear and emotional stagnation.

Part B of this scenario is to keep the people unhealthy, all the while genetically altering them enough so that they cannot even conceive of making the proposed jump back into hyperspace along with the struggling planet.

The process of doing this began a long long time ago, with the infamous Annunaki race of aliens featured so prominently in Sumerian and Babylonian history and the work of Zecharia Sitchin. These beings were responsible for originally stripping us of our 12-strand DNA in order to make of us a slave race. By doing this, they also stripped us of our God-given connection to our Higher Selves, that part of ourselves which is truly interdimensional and in touch with its Creator.

By manipulating both the portals to the planet and the personal portals in our own DNA, the New World Order and its controllers hope to keep both us and the planet trapped here in the third dimension indefinitely for their own personal uses. This has already created a problem in that so many of those who have been passing on over this period of time have been reincarnating back onto the planet instead of going on to other places and other lessons, simply because they have been trapped here by the dense physicality created on this planet, and by their attachments to worldly things and their inability to reconnect with their Higher Selves.

As more and more children and adults become re-attuned to their original 12-strand DNA status with the increase in the planet’s frequency, and as the date for planetary ascension draws ever nearer, the urgency to control this re-connection to our original selves has become an urgent and necessary part of the New World Order’s agenda.

In a recent article by Patricia Resch circulated on the web, Dr Berrenda Fox provides her insights into these cellular and DNA changes. Dr Fox is the holistic practitioner of the Avalon Wellness Center in Mt. Shasta, California. She has proven through her own work and blood testing that some people have actually developed these new strands of DNA.

“We are making an evolutionary change, yet we don’t know what we are changing into,” says Fox. “Everyone has one double helix of DNA. What we are finding is that there are other helixes that are being formed. In the double helix there are two strands of DNA coiled into a spiral. It is my understanding that we will be developing twelve helixes. During this time, which seems to have started maybe 5 to 20 years ago, we have been mutating. This is the scientific explanation…”

What Fox is referring to is that the planet is already passing through the fourth dimension of vibration, as of about 1982, headed for a quantum leap into the fifth level in about 2012-2013, the famed end of the Mayan Calendar. Hence we have the increase in UFO sightings (dimensional phenomena), and also in people’s telepathic and intuitive abilities.
“The changes are not known publicly, because the scientific community feels it would frighten the population,” says Fox. “However, people are changing at the cellular level. I am working with three children right now who have three DNA helixes.”

Fox suggests that most people know and feel this already, and that many religions have talked about the change and know it will come about in different ways. “We know it is a positive mutation even though physically, mentally, and emotionally it can be misunderstood and frightening… You would almost think by knowing these children that they are half angelic or superhuman, but they’re not. I think they are what we are growing into during the next few decades.”

Our immune and endocrine systems are the most evident of these changes, say Fox, and that is the reason she says she works with research into immunological testing and therapy. It is also one of the chief reasons why the chemtrails and other NWO practices target our immune systems and endocrine systems so heavily.

“Some adults that I have tested actually do have another DNA helix forming. Some are even getting their third. These people are going through a lot of major shifts in their consciousness and physical bodies, because it is all one. In my opinion, the Earth and everyone here is raising its vibration. Many of the children born recently have bodies that are magnetically lighter. Those of us that are older and choose to change have to go through many physical changes.”

Fox suggests that the easiest way to mutate our DNA is through a virus. DNA viruses, like Epstein Barr and the Herpes #6, change cellular structure. This fact, as we shall see, has obviously not gone unnoticed by the New World Order.

“Most people who go through this process and come out the other side,” says Fox, “have a new profession, a new way of thinking, or at least a starting of a new way of life. Even though they may feel really sick, tired, or hopeless at times, it can be a gift… As I understand it,” she adds, “we have until about 2012 to complete this process.”

The DNA and other body changes are also spoken of in the article “The Bigger Picture”¹ by Susanna Thorpe-Clark:

“We are being changed physically from carbon-based beings with two strands of DNA into crystalline beings with 1,024 strands of DNA (eventually), because only crystalline substances can exist on higher dimensional levels.

“This new state of being requires therefore that we physically, mentally and emotionally let go of third dimensional concepts. Just as in death, the letting go is a major part of the change process, for one cannot take the old values and way of being into a new completely different afterlife. So the progression through changes compels us to let go of current relationships, jobs, careers, homes, possessions, and so on, if they are unable to support our new way of being…”

“Is it any wonder, therefore, that there is a great deal of anxiety and fear being felt because these changes are already in progress, even though most people are not conscious of it…”

“These changes are not necessarily being experienced by everyone concurrently. A very small percentage of adults have already completed the entire change into crystalline form and now embody 1,024 strands of DNA… Some people are only just starting to move through these changes, and many others have yet to start. This process of change is known as the awakening, or as the ascension process, or known as achieving the Merkabah, or light body.

“We need to transcend our fears and learn about love, real love, which has to start with the self. Because, until we can love and trust ourselves, we cannot truly love or trust anything or anybody else.”

How does all this relate to the chemtrails and to the New World Order? The original three major theories explaining the chemtrail phenomenon, i.e., weather modification, mass inoculation, and population control, have all been pretty much discounted by researchers as pointed out in Stan and Holly Deyo’s May 31st, 2006 newsletter.² None of these theories adequately explains the range of data gathered.

Furthermore, spraying is occurring worldwide, thus it has to be run by a multinational alliance of some kind, the likes of which can only put it into the class of that monolith known simply as the New World Order. The secrecy of the chemtrail phenomenon also puts it into the domain of black budget projects and shadow government operations in terms of the US, or even for any other government for that matter.

Right now, people are still recovering from chemtrail induced sicknesses. Since chemtrail related deaths have been extremely low, the possibility of chemtrails containing only one single agent is very low.

Double agent spraying is more likely. One somewhat benign agent is applied now, and its combination with an activator in the future would turn it lethal or at least trigger the desired results. The activator can then be another biological or chemical substance which triggers this new reaction in tandem with the first agent.

To see how the chemtrails are manipulating both our health and our genetic makeup, we have to take a look at the contents of samples taken after chemtrail spraying. These ingredients have been obtained from the many analyses performed upon chemtrail residue samples and listed on the web:

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* A common hardy bacteria that can be found in dirt. This could suggest possible contamination of chemtrail ground samples but also perhaps not considering that it is commonly genetically engineered by companies as *PathoGenesis* for various purposes. The natural strains have been found to contaminate hospitals and drinking water. When inhaled into immunosuppressed lungs
such as those of victims with cystic fibrosis, it forms slime clusters called biofilms which are virtual bunkers against immune system defenses and antibiotics. It can transfer horizontally, meaning between species, genetic information to the host via transduction and conjugation. Conjugation involves the bacteria injecting a genetic package called a plasmid via a “handshake” into a host cell, which receives it and in effect makes it a part of its own DNA. Transduction is similar, but instead of the bacteria sending a plasmid into the host, it sends bacteriophages (viruses) that contain some of the original bacteria’s DNA and implants it within the host’s DNA. This allows for genetic manipulation to occur.

**Aerosol Barium salts**, such as were used in Libya, Panama and Desert Storm, where they were sprayed and exploded overhead to make the people extremely sick and weak. It is a radioactive material that accelerates and magnifies the effects of other mix ingredients by altering the chemical structure of the other agents. A spectrum analysis reveals only the barium compounds and hides the “bad stuff” by placing a shell around it. After time, it releases the other agent. Think of it as a *time release death pill.*

**Ethylene dibromide** (*dibrom methane*). Banned in 1984 by the EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency), EDB (*C₂H₂Br₂*) is a carcinogenic fuel additive and insecticide with a chloroform-like odor. It is claimed to be similar to silver iodide, which was once used for cloud seeding and weather modification purposes. When absorbed, EDB causes central nervous system depression and pulmonary edema, which is fluid accumulation in the lungs. Symptoms of pulmonary edema include shortness of breath, anxiety, wheezing, and coughing. It is extremely irritant to mucous membranes and to the respiratory tract. These are all symptoms associated with the *chemtrail cough,* and with the growing lethargy noticed in populated areas, both in the United States and around the world, where spraying is taking place. If anything, EDB serves partly as a condensation nuclei around which water vapor in the atmosphere can condense, making thick chemtrails visible for satellite tracking.

**Pseudomonas fluorescens**. Another strain of the *Pseudomonas* variety found most often in soil and on plants. On plants, it produces antibiotics which shield the plant from fungal and bacterial infections. Most likely a contaminant in the analysis.

**Enterobacteriaceae.** Includes *E. coli* and *salmonella,* which are culprits in cases of food poisoning. Most interesting of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family is the *Klebsiella* genus, whose species is responsible for pneumonia infections (enough said).

**Serratia marcescens.** A dangerous pathogen that can cause pneumonia.

One link from the Canadian Intelligence Security Service formation on the San Francisco 1950 biological testing reports that “*one of the biggest experiments by the government involved the use of Serratia marcescens being sprayed over San Francisco. This organism is especially nice because it produces a red/pink pigment when grown on certain media, which makes identification very easy. At one point, 5000 particles/minute were sprayed from the coastal areas inward. During this time, one man died (in hospital) and ten others became infected in what was described as ‘a mystery to doctors.’” Although the military claimed it never did many follow up studies on these tests, one result was that it showed nearly every single person became infected with the test organism. In hindsight, now that some of this information has become declassified, it’s been shown that during periods following spraying tests, there were “*5-10 times the normal infections reported.*”

Although attributed to the military and government sources, similar experiments were revealed to have also been performed by the Australian government on its people using various bacteriological agents, a country known for its extremely high rate of asthma and chronic lung problems. This advance testing was obviously an early phase for the NWO’s “*final solution.*”

**Streptomyces.** Streptomyces are used to produce the majority of antibiotics applied in human and veterinary medicine and agriculture, as well as anti-parasitic agents, herbicides, pharmacologically active metabolites (e.g. immuno-suppressants).

A restriction enzyme used in research labs to snip and combine DNA – such an enzyme cleaves open DNA and allows desired segments to be inserted before recombining. Other bacteria and toxic molds are capable of producing heart disease, encephalitis, and meningitis – of which there are renewed outbreaks, especially in heavily sprayed cities such as Houston – as well as acute upper respiratory and gastrointestinal distress. It is known that within three days of a particular heavy spraying, outbreaks of respiratory illnesses occur in the exposed population. Some develop pneumonia, others colds, flu-like symptoms, and depressed immune functions. Instances of meningitis and encephalitis have also increased in correlation with sprayings.

Note that no viruses are listed above. This is because viruses are difficult to detect in the laboratory, much less identify. However, most of the resulting illnesses do not respond to antibiotics, suggesting their causes are indeed viral. Such viruses are most likely retroviruses, such as the adenovirus for use in the genetic manipulation.

Chemtrails now appear worldwide, though it has been claimed that none have been sighted in China. The Chinese influence on the Clinton presidency has been well established, not to mention the increasing dependence so many countries now have on China for both their manufactured goods and as a source of cheap labor. US military and scientific technology has been freely given to China under much protest in spite of its aggressive stance towards this country. The New World Order seems to have made China into a new power base for capitalism since the death of its infamous Communist leader, Mao Zedong.

Although chemtrails in foreign countries may be due to planes originating from the US, or from US military bases in those countries, the heaviness of spraying there suggests that foreign governments and commercial agencies are also heavily involved.

An answering machine tape recently given to chemtrails researcher Will Thomas’s Lifeboat News Service includes a...
message allegedly from the Victoria, British Columbia, Air-
port Authority’s Manager for Airport Planning and Envi-
enronment to city resident Mark Porter, who had inquired
about unusual contrail formations being seen in the sky over
the city. It seems to confirm that controversial “chemtrails”
are being spread over that city as a joint US-Canadian mili-
tary operation.3

Another researcher in a bedroom community of Portland,
Oregon, a city which is sprayed often, relates that chemical
tankers have been photographed on the ground at the
Oregon National Guard base adjacent to the Portland Inter-
national Airport just a few miles from his home.

Considering the frequency and density of coverage of the
chemtrails, the urgency of the NWO’s agenda to head off
the ascension process is self-evident. The project has risked
discovery by employing commercial airlines to help with the
spraying, and such a risk can only be justified if the project
itself were in the nature of an emergency. They have also
risked their secrecy by spraying during the day (especially on
weekends) to affect as many people as possible, when all
below can plainly see these “contrails” are anything but.

The altering of one’s DNA via incorporation of foreign
DNA from bacteria and viruses is the key to the genetic ma-
nipulation of our beings in order to stop the ascension process
from going on within our bodies. This process is suggested
in a Leading Edge article, quoted as follows:

“One of the indications that vaccinations may in fact be
changing the genetic structure of humans became evident in
September of 1971, when scientists at the University of Geneva
made the discovery that biological substances entering directly
into the bloodstream could become part of human genetic
structure.

“In an experiment in Geneva, scientists extracted the aur-
ciles of frog hearts and dipped them for several hours in a sus-
pension of bacteria. Afterward, they found a high percentage of
RNA-DNA hybridization between bacterial DNA extracted
from bacteria of the same species as that used in the experiment
and titrated DNA extracted from the auricles which had been
dipped in the bacterial suspension. Bacterial DNA had been
absorbed by the animal cells. This phenomenon has been dubbed
transcension. There is evidence that this kind of phenomenon is
happening all the time within the human body. It is conceiv-
able, for example, that heart damage following rheumatic fever
could be the result of the immune system reacting to its own cells
producing a foreign RNA complex after absorption of foreign
DNA.”

For those interested in the transcension capability of vac-
cines, consider this quote about gene therapy:

“Yet another technique is to simply inject naked DNA. This
approach wouldn’t work well as direct injection into the blood-
stream because the body’s DNA degrading enzymes would
quickly digest it. But some experiments have shown that when
naked DNA is injected directly into muscle tissue [as in a vac-
cine injection], say, the cells start producing whatever proteins
the DNA codes for.”

In other words, transcension is the process whereby bac-
terial DNA becomes part of a host cell’s DNA. The term
transcension is more commonly known as transduction, or
conjugation, more generally termed horizontal gene transfer.
Horizontal means between species, as opposed to vertical,
which is from parent to offspring. This is one way gene ther-
apy seeks to correct genetic diseases, but it can also obviously
be used to create genetic mutations of a different kind. Here’s
another excerpt from an article confirming the use of viruses
in gene therapy:

“To turn viruses into gene ferries, scientists have developed
ways of stripping the genes out of a virus and substituting copies
of the genes they want to transfer into cells. The virus is then
mixed with cells taken out of the body that are then returned.
Or they can be injected directly into the body itself, homing in
on the cells of interest.

“Retroviruses, which insert their genetic code directly into
the chromosomes of the host cell, have been used a lot because
of their ability to break into chromosomes and insert the DNA.
Other viruses are now also being used. One of the big draw-
backs of viruses is that the immune system can’t tell the differ-
ence between bad viruses and those that carry a beneficial gene.
So, many of the viruses are rapidly wiped out [that is, of course,
unless the immune system is weakened by other chemi-
cal/biological agents, such that these viruses survive long
enough to transduce their DNA into the host].”

The article which first got me interested in this whole field
was one I found in a newspaper about viruses being found
in the tails of comets passing through space. The theory was
that this might be how “life” and diseases could be spread
from one planet to another, like in some weird science fic-
tion movie such as The Day of the Triffids or Invasion of the
Body Snatchers. To exist in such an environment as space,
however, viruses would not be considered a life form as such.
Viruses tend to travel through the nervous system, and are
more like a parasite, living off its biological host – a true
alien invasion indeed, energy vampires, much like those crea-
tures portrayed in the recent hit movie, The Matrix, for the
nervous system is in fact the electromagnetic system of the
body.

Non-invasive methods of gene therapy are already well es-
established. A team at the Thomas Jefferson University in Phil-
adelphia accomplished just that using an oral method of gene
therapy. Even MadSci.org4 has something to say about these
non-invasive methods:

“Gene therapy is one of the most interesting and valuable
techniques to come out of the field of genetic engineering. The
adenovirus used to deliver the CF gene to human cells for gene
therapy is known as a vector. Since most cells normally will not
take in or absorb DNA, we need a delivery system to get the gene
into the cell. Adenovirus is a good vector since it can infect cells
in vivo, or while they’re in the body, which means that the gene
can be delivered through an inhaler (instead of having to ma-
nipulate the cells in vitro, or in the laboratory, then return the
cells to the body). To use the adenovirus as a vector, its genome
was first altered by removing all the virus DNA except for the
minimum necessary for the virus to live and infect the cells. Ge-
etically engineered viral vectors like this are harmless and
usually can’t live outside of the laboratory.
“But some virus vectors are not without drawbacks. All viral approaches suffer from the drawback of introducing unwanted viral genetic information into the recipient host. Retroviral vectors and adenoviruses have additional disadvantages. Retroviruses are only suitable for delivery of DNA to replicating cells, and present a risk of reversion to replication competent infective particles, whilst DNA expression using adenovirus delivery systems tends to be short lived [thus the need for the repeated chemtrail sprayings over the past two years in the same geographic areas and the use of a host of vectors to ensure success if one fails].

“A novel vector with potential for use in gene therapy has been developed by Professor Beverly Griffin and colleagues in the Department of Infectious Diseases at the Hammersmith Hospital Campus of Imperial College. Her team has shown that pseudocapsids of the mouse polyoma virus, consisting solely of the VP1 protein, can be used to transfer DNA into mammalian cells in vivo, to give expression at clinically-relevant levels over a period of weeks.”

This work and its subsequent implications suggests a reason for the dramatically increased investment by governments and industry alike over the past few decades into research at educational institutions of higher learning – now part of the expanded military-industrial-education complex. It also should make us all stop and remember the Hanta virus carried by the deer mice of the Four Corners region of the United States and its subsequent deadly effects over the past decade.

“Polyoma virus has a very broad host range which means that the pseudocapsids will be taken up by essentially any human or other mammalian cell. Development and selection of mutant VP1 proteins, together with engineered specific labels may enable cell-specific targeting for in vivo applications. Pseudocapsids completely free of viral genetic material can be produced easily and economically.”

In other words, the technology already exists for allowing virus vectors to effectively alter the DNA of a host’s cell. This is not science fiction. One company among many, AEA Technology, is presently researching and selling aerosol products for use in gene therapy.

From the evidence available then, adenovirus vectors are the most likely culprits in chemtrail-related sicknesses, and in summary, both bacteria and viruses are capable of incorporating some of their own genetic information into the cells of a host. Bacteria do it via transduction and conjugation, and viruses via direct infection of a cell.

Conceivably then, one could become infected with either such a bacteria or virus and would feel sick as a consequence. Meanwhile, the bacteria or virus goes to work altering one’s cellular DNA slowly, before later being wiped out by the body’s immune system. After the illness is eliminated, the altered cells remain and continue to reproduce. Sometimes it becomes malignantly cancerous if the gene transfer is crude and faulty (as in vaccinations), and other times the changes are so subtle the body continues to operate as previously, or so the victim thinks (as in chemtrail exposure).
The easiest way to mutate our DNA is through a virus (in this case, the easiest way to un-mutate DNA or suppress it from mutating is also a virus). The chemtrails then are ultimately attacking our cellular DNA – its only possible explanation to head of the pending ascension process to keep us as a slave race to do the bidding of our masters.

Mutation and its implications are therefore most relevant in explaining chemtrails. The X-Men movie is a good analogy for what is happening here on planet Earth at this very time. It has also been confirmed that DNA’s crystalline helical structure makes it very sensitive to low strength but specific magnetic frequencies and wave shapes. Depending on the field strength and Fourier components, the effects can vary from rejuvenation to cancer, with one possible effect being the unlocking or suppression of junk DNA.

Since some of the “new” strands of DNA are actually electromagnetic or multi-dimensional in nature, DNA is also sensitive to not just earthly electromagnetic fields, but it also responds to the body’s soul nature. Soul nature is the vibrational etheric/astral composition or signature of a particular soul. Genetic structure and soul nature are intimately coupled; a change in one can lead to a delayed change in the other.

Manipulation or control of our earthly behavior can simply be garnered by the use of low-watt microwave transmissions, the effects to the cerebellum of the human brain when it is within three feet of such a device are devastating to the organ. FM, AM, and the microwave GWEN towers can all be used to manipulate or control the unruly masses in this way.

The author of some of this included material (the full text of which can be found at Educate-Yourself.org) gives as his conclusion the following:

“Humanity is evolving, emerging from the past millennia of abysmal darkness and oppression. The power structure, knowing its reign is about to end, has worked tirelessly in a last ditch effort to keep us suppressed. Chemtrails are but one small weapon they are employing to accomplish this.”

A very true statement. His suggestion that “their ultimate goal is a New World Order, global totalitarianism and a reinstatement of their absolute power” and that “the NWO will fail if citizens become genetically empowered to wake up and fight with superhuman powers against tyranny,” and that “this is already occurring, and the chemtrails are ultimately ineffectual at preventing the inevitable” is highly debatable, however. It is even incorrect in terms of what is truly going on. It is fairly obvious to anyone who tries to talk to the “man in the street” that, firstly, they haven’t noticed this problem; secondly, that if they have noticed it they simply don’t seem to care about it; and thirdly, that if they have seen them and do seem to care, then they certainly do not feel they have any power to do anything about them, and so must just suffer.

Despite what you read anywhere else, the general consciousness of planet Earth has decided not to go on with the ascension process – whether this be through coercion or through their own free will, does not really matter. There is now only the choice left to the individual whether he or she wants to take that next step for themselves, into a higher dimensional state.

Two ‘anonymous’ e-mails sent to Surfing The Apocalypse.com seemed to come from someone in the know on the subject of chemtrails. These e-mails talk about the “culling” of the population. This is a word I, too, have been given in guidance as another aspect of the chemtrails. It is also a word used in these e-mails as being attributed to a four-star Admiral in the Office of Naval Intelligence.

One of these e-mails has been titled “The Great Dying – The Real Reason Behind Chemtrails.” It speaks of the overall scope and agenda behind chemtrails (Operation Clover Leaf, Operation Red Sky, Operation Rain Dance being the code names for these operations), and harkens back to what it calls the beginnings “way back in the late 1950s with fluoridation, aspartame, and illegal street narcotics.” It also speaks of the fact that the agenda is “at the 80% completion point in these CT operations” (when the e-mails were written) with the final completion date to be “by March of 2001.”

The e-mails list as the main and ancillary operational objectives of chemtrails of Operation Red Sky to be:

“Phase One main objective: Creative cleavages in spatial perceptions. Creating blockage in the brain of the interaction of various amino acids that relate to higher consciousness and the increase of dopamine in the brain producing a listless, euphoric state of lower, reactive mind.” (Thereby trapping us in the third dimension – the choice of the lower plane energy.)

To understand this objective necessitates an understanding of the human soul, the spiritual Self and the connection between the two as related to the endocrine system functioning within each human host, and remembering what Dr. Fox (see above) said about our immune and endocrine systems showing the most evidence of these changes – these seeming to be the most important targets of the chemtrails’ ingredients.

The endocrine system is the group of specialized organs and body tissues that produce, store, and secrete chemical substances known as hormones. As the body’s chemical messengers, hormones transfer information and instructions from one set of cells to another. Because of the hormones they produce, endocrine organs have a great deal of influence over the body. Among their many jobs are regulating the body’s growth and development, controlling the function of various tissues, supporting pregnancy and other reproductive functions, and regulating metabolism.

Endocrine organs are sometimes called ductless glands because they have no ducts connecting them to specific body parts. The hormones they secrete are released directly into the bloodstream.

The primary glands comprising the endocrine system are the hypothalamus, pituitary, thyroid, parathyroid, adrenal, pineal body, and reproductive glands – the ovary and testis. The pancreas, an organ often associated with the digestive system, is also considered part of the endocrine system.

The hypothalamus, found deep within the brain, directly controls the pituitary gland (also known to be the source of psychic vision or what spiritualists call “the third eye,” that which sees inter-dimensionally). It is sometimes described as the coordinator of the endocrine system.
When information reaching the brain indicates that changes are needed somewhere in the body, nerve cells in the hypothalamus secrete body chemicals that either stimulate or suppress hormone secretions from the pituitary gland. Acting as liaison between the brain and the pituitary gland, the hypothalamus is the primary link between the endocrine and nervous systems (remembering that viruses commonly travel through the nervous system). If changes are to take place in the body, then this system is also the guardian over those changes as well as being the director of them.

To genetically manipulate mankind through the ingredients in the chemtrails, one must first suppress the endocrine system, and, second, the natural defenses of the immune system—especially if that brain is artificially stabilized to that frequency. How can one perceive love, when the brain can only register impatience, anger, etc.?"

Fear is a key factor here, and it is through fear that we open ourselves up to control. This relates to control, simply put. If the slightest breeze makes you dizzy, what kind of defense can you be expected to put up if you can’t lift your arms above your head without getting a headache?… This is the main reason for much of the desiccated red blood cells found in chemtrails: biowarfare. This also allows the parasite to latch onto the human host with little resistance from the organism so attached."

The e-mails also say that “the immune system of the host must be depleted. This relates to control, simply put. If the slightest breeze makes you dizzy, what kind of defense can you be expected to put up if you can’t lift your arms above your head without getting a headache?… This is the main reason for much of the desiccated red blood cells found in chemtrails: biowarfare. This also allows the parasite to latch onto the human host with little resistance from the organism so attached.”

The third and last objective the e-mails point out are that the NWO needs our agreement. David Icke stresses this point in his work as well. The e-mails continue:

“This last statement is by far the most crucial stage of all. It isn’t enough to make us slaves, we must want to become slaves. Food scarcity, low wages, false idealism as propagated via network commercials, all create a state of low-level dependency to a state system, but it is not enough. To win … (they) need our permission to do what they will to us (Universal Law). The system of this biosphere has one final gate that only each of us can open or close, and it is, in fact, free will…”

How and when will they do this? According to the e-mails a fake alien invasion or a biowarfare incident on a major US, European or Australian city could be used to trigger us to give up out free will in return for the safety and protection of a seemingly benevolent, but in the end dictatorial, force. With regard to this, be careful of ‘announced disclosures’ from seemingly reputable alien-seeking organizations. The control goes deeper than you suspect, and these organizations are needed to feed this supposed threat. As any good researcher or experiencer can tell you, they are already here—in more ways than you know.

Preserve your free will. Make a choice for ascension rather than remaining. Utilize love in all your actions rather than dwelling in fear. Be an observer rather than a victim. And trust that there are those who care about what is going on here, and will take care of things as long as you do your part.

Have faith. I wish you strength.
The Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012

On February 24, 2009, from 9:00 AM to 5:15 PM, the Florida International University (FIU), the John S. and James L. Night Foundation, and AT&T presented a geopolitical summit, entitled “America and the Rising Powers,” endorsing the implementation of a global New World Order government. Attending as speakers were individuals whose influence on foreign affairs have resulted in the deaths of tens of thousands of Americans and of millions on a global scale. They are members of geopolitical think tanks – the Council on Foreign Relations and the Project for a New American Century. These organizations have an openly stated goal of forming a one world government, subservient to banking cartels.

The CFR is an extension of the American branch of the London banking syndicates, controlled by the Rothschild and Rockefeller dynasties. The Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) is one of the financial controllers of these organizations, and they serve as fronts for the influence of global banking syndicates. The London banking cartels have openly waged an economic war against the United States in an attempt to retake what they consider to be their property. They do this through proxy organizations, such as the CFR.

Also featured at this summit were distinguished professors discussing various issues regarding global governance and foreign policy. A FIU professor named Dr. Mark B. Rosenberg raised the concern about the possibility of a military coup in the United States by the year 2012. This was not theorizing, he was citing a study that took place at the Army War College.

He explained that this rebellion would be a response to the military being overextended and being put to a use by the administration in a manner that is contrary to their official purpose.

The invited speaker, Parag Khanna, treated the concern as if it was nonsense and dismissed it by asking the audience, “Have any of you heard of this? No? Okay then.”

It is interesting to note that both Dr. Rosenberg and Parag Khanna are members of the Council on Foreign Relations, a think tank dedicated to the purpose of establishing a one world government.

Could Dr. Rosenberg’s statement exposing the white paper on the coup plot have been an example of dissent within the ranks of the CFR?

You can read below the actual document that Dr. Rosenberg was referring to.
The Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012

By Lt. Col. Charles J. Dunlap, Jr., USAF

The letter that follows takes us on a darkly imagined excursion into the future. A military coup has taken place in the United States – the year is 2012 – and General Thomas E. T. Brutus, Commander-in-Chief of the Unified Armed Forces of the United States, now occupies the White House as permanent Military Plenipotentiary. His position has been ratified by a national referendum, though scattered disorders still prevail and arrests for acts of sedition are underway.

A senior retired officer of the Unified Armed Forces, known here simply as Prisoner 222305759, is one of those arrested, having been convicted by court-martial for opposing the coup. Prior to his execution, he is able to smuggle out of prison a letter to an old War College classmate discussing the "Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012." In it, he argues that the coup was the outgrowth of trends visible as far back as 1992. These trends were the massive diversion of military forces to civilian uses, the monolithic unification of the armed forces, and the insularity of the military community. His letter survives and is here presented verbatim.

It goes without saying (I hope) that the coup scenario above is purely a literary device intended to dramatize my concern over certain contemporary developments affecting the armed forces, and is emphatically not a prediction. – THE AUTHOR

Dear old friend,

It's hard to believe that 20 years have passed since we graduated from the War College! Remember the great discussions, the trips, the parties, the people? Those were the days!!! I'm not having quite as much fun anymore. You've heard about the Sedition Trials? Yeah, I was one of those arrested – convicted of “disloyal statements,” and “using contemptuous language towards officials.” Disloyal? No. Contemptuous? You bet! With General Brutus in charge it's not hard to be contemptuous.

I've got to hand it to Brutus, he's ingenious. After the President died he somehow “persuaded” the Vice President not to take the oath of office. Did we then have a President or not? A senior retired officer of the Unified Armed Forces, known here simply as Prisoner 222305759, is one of those arrested, having been convicted by court-martial for opposing the coup. Prior to his execution, he is able to smuggle out of prison a letter to an old War College classmate discussing the “Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012.” In it, he argues that the coup was the outgrowth of trends visible as far back as 1992. These trends were the massive diversion of military forces to civilian uses, the monolithic unification of the armed forces, and the insularity of the military community. His letter survives and is here presented verbatim.

It goes without saying (I hope) that the coup scenario above is purely a literary device intended to dramatize my concern over certain contemporary developments affecting the armed forces, and is emphatically not a prediction. – THE AUTHOR

* * *

The economy was in the dumps, crime was rising, schools were deteriorating, drug use was rampant, the environment was in trouble, and political scandals were occurring almost daily. Still, there was some good news: the end of the Cold War as well as America’s recent victory over Iraq.

All of this and more contributed to the situation in which we find ourselves today: a military that controls government and one that, ironically, can’t fight. It wasn’t any single cause that led us to this point. Instead, it was a combination of several different developments, the beginnings of which were evident in 1992. Here’s what I think happened:

When Congress convened that last time and managed to pass the Referendum Act, I really got my hopes up. But when the Referendum approved Brutus’s takeover, I knew we were in serious trouble. I caused a ruckus, you know, trying to organize a protest. Then the Security Forces picked me up. My quickie “trial” was a joke. The sentence? Well, let’s just say you won’t have to save any beer for me at next year’s reunion. Since it doesn’t look like I’ll be seeing you again, I thought I’d write everything down and try to get it to you.

I am calling my paper the “Origins of the American Military Coup of 2012.” I think it’s important to get the truth recorded before they rewrite history. If we’re ever going to get our freedom back, we’ve got to understand how we got into this mess. People need to understand that the armed forces exist to support and defend government, not to be the government. Faced with intractable national problems on one hand, and an energetic and capable military on the other, it can be all too seductive to start viewing the military as a cost-effective solution. We made a terrible mistake when we allowed the armed forces to be diverted from their original purpose.

I found a box of my notes and clippings from our War College days – told my keepers I needed them to write the confession they want. It’s amazing; looking through these old papers makes me realize that even back in 1992 we should have seen this coming. The seeds of this outrage were all there; we just didn’t realize how they would grow. But isn’t that always the way with things like this? Somebody once said that “the true watersheds in human affairs are seldom spotted amid the tumult of headlines broadcast on the hour.” And we had a lot of headlines back in the ’90s to distract us: the economy was in the dumps, crime was rising, schools were deteriorating, drug use was rampant, the environment was in trouble, and political scandals were occurring almost daily. Still, there was some good news: the end of the Cold War as well as America’s recent victory over Iraq.

All of this and more contributed to the situation in which we find ourselves today: a military that controls government and one that, ironically, can’t fight. It wasn’t any single cause that led us to this point. Instead, it was a combination of several different developments, the beginnings of which were evident in 1992. Here’s what I think happened:

Americans became exasperated with democracy. We were disillusioned with the apparent inability of elected government to solve the nation’s dilemmas. We were looking for someone or something that could produce workable answers. The one institution of government in which the peo-
ple retained faith was the military. Buoyed by the military’s obvious competence in the First Gulf War, the public increasingly turned to it for solutions to the country’s problems. Americans called for an acceleration of trends begun in the 1980s: tasking the military with a variety of new, non-traditional missions, and vastly escalating its commitment to formerly ancillary duties.

Though not obvious at the time, the cumulative effect of these new responsibilities was to incorporate the military into the political process to an unprecedented degree. These additional assignments also had the perverse effect of diverting focus and resources from the military’s central mission of combat training and warfighting. Finally, organizational, political, and societal changes served to alter the American military’s culture. Today’s military is not the one we knew when we graduated from the War College.

Let me explain how I came to these conclusions. In 1992 not very many people would’ve thought a military coup d’état could ever happen here. Sure, there were eccentric conspiracy theorists who saw the Pentagon’s hand in the assassination of President Kennedy, President Nixon’s downfall, and similar events. But even the most avid believers had to admit that no outright military takeover had ever occurred before now. Heeding Washington’s admonitions in his Farewell address about the dangers of overgrown military establishments, Americans generally viewed their armed forces with a judicious mixture of respect and wariness. For over two centuries that vigilance was rewarded, and most Americans came to consider the very notion of a military coup postposterous. Historian Andrew Janos captured the conventional view of the latter half of the 20th century in this clipping I saved:

“A coup d’état in the United States would be too fantastic to contemplate, not only because few would actually entertain the idea, but also because the bulk of the people are strongly attached to the prevailing political system and would rise in defense of a political leader even though they might not like him. The environment most hospitable to coups d’état is one in which political apathy prevails as the dominant style.”

However, when Janos wrote that back in 1964, 61.9 percent of the electorate voted. Since then voter participation has steadily declined. By 1988 only 50.1 percent of the eligible voters cast a ballot. Simple extrapolation of those numbers to last spring’s Referendum would have predicted almost exactly the turnout. It was precisely reversed from that of 1964: 61.9 percent of the electorate did not vote.

America’s societal malaise was readily apparent in 1992. Seventy-eight percent of Americans believed the country was on the “wrong track.” One researcher declared that social indicators were at their lowest level in 20 years and insisted “something [was] coming loose in the social infrastructure.” The nation was frustrated and angry about its problems.

America wanted solutions and democratically elected government wasn’t providing them. The country suffered from a “deep pessimism about politicians and government after years of broken promises.” David Finkle observed in The Washington Post Magazine that for most Americans “the perception of government is that it has evolved from something that provides democracy’s framework into something that provides obstacles, from something to celebrate into something to ignore.” Likewise, politicians and their proposals seemed stale and repetitive. Millions of voters gave up hope of finding answers. The “environment of apathy” Janos characterized as a precursor to a coup had arrived.

Unlike the rest of government the military enjoyed a remarkably steady climb in popularity throughout the 1980s and early 1990s. And indeed it had earned the admiration of the public. Debilitated by the Vietnam War, the US military set about reinventing itself. As early as 1988 U.S. News & World Report heralded the result: “In contrast to the dispirited, drug-ravaged, do-your-own-thing armed services of the ’70s and early ’80s, the US military has been transformed into a fighting force of gung-ho attitude, spit-shined discipline, and ten-hut morale.” After the US military dealt Iraq a crushing defeat in the First Gulf War, the ignominy of Vietnam evaporated.

When we graduated from the War College in 1992, the armed forces were the smartest, best educated, and best disciplined force in history. While polls showed that the public invariably gave Congress low marks, a February 1991 survey disclosed that “public confidence in the military soared to 85 percent, far surpassing every other institution in our society.” The armed forces had become America’s most – and perhaps only – trusted arm of government.

Assumptions about the role of the military in society also began to change. Twenty years before we graduated, the Supreme Court confidently declared in Laird v. Tatum that Americans had a “traditional and strong resistance to any military intrusion into civilian affairs.” But Americans were now rethinking the desirability and necessity of that resistance. They compared the military’s principled competence with the chicanery and ineptitude of many elected officials, and found the latter wanting.

Commentator James Fallows expressed the new thinking in an August 1991 article in Atlantic magazine. Musing on the contributions of the military to American society, Fallows wrote: “I am beginning to think that the only way the national government can do anything worthwhile is to invent a security threat and turn the job over to the military.” He elaborated on his reasoning:

“According to our economic and political theories, most agencies of the government have no special standing to speak about the general national welfare. Each represents a certain constituency; the interest groups fight it out. The military, strangely, is the one government institution that has been assigned legitimacy to act on its notion of the collective good. ‘National defense’ can make us do things – train engineers, build highways – that long-term good of the nation or common sense cannot.”

About a decade before Fallows’ article appeared, Congress initiated the use of “national defense” as a rationale to boost military participation in an activity historically the exclusive domain of civilian government: law enforcement. Congress concluded that the “rising tide of drugs being smuggled into
the United States … present[ed] a grave threat to all Americans.” Finding the performance of civilian law enforcement agencies in countering that threat unsatisfactory, Congress passed the Military Cooperation with Civilian Law Enforcement Agencies Act of 1981. In doing so Congress specifically intended to force reluctant military commanders to actively collaborate in police work.

This was a historic change of policy. Since the passage of the Posse Comitatus Act in 1878, the military had distanced itself from law enforcement activities. While the 1981 law did retain certain limits on the legal authority of military personnel, its net effect was to dramatically expand military participation in anti-drug efforts. By 1991 the Department of Defense was spending $1.2 billion on counternarcotics crusades. Air Force surveillance aircraft were sent to track airborne smugglers; Navy ships patrolled the Caribbean looking for drug-laden vessels; and National Guardsmen were searching for marijuana caches near the borders. By 1992 “combating” drug trafficking was formally declared a “high national security mission.”

It wasn’t too long before 21st-century legislators were calling for more military involvement in police work. Crime seemed out of control. Most disturbing, the incidence of violent crime continued to climb. Americans were horrified and desperate: a third even believed vigilantism could be justified. Rising lawlessness was seen as but another example of the civilian political leadership’s inability to fulfill government’s most basic duty to ensure public safety. People once again wanted the military to help.

Hints of an expanded police function were starting to surface while we were still at the War College. For example, District of Columbia National Guardsmen established a regular military presence in high-crime areas. Eventually, people became acclimated to seeing uniformed military personnel patrolling their neighborhood. Now troops are an adjunct to almost all police forces in the country. In many of the areas where much of our burgeoning population of elderly Americans live – Brutus calls them “National Security Zones” – the military is often the only law enforcement agency. Consequently, the military was ideally positioned in thousands of communities to support the coup.

Concern about crime was a major reason why General Brutus’s actions were approved in the Referendum. Although voter participation by the general public was low, older Americans voted at a much higher rate. Furthermore, with the aging of the baby boom generation, the block of American voters over 45 grew to almost 53 percent of the voters by 2010. This wealthy, older electorate welcomed an organization which could ensure their physical security. When it counted, they backed Brutus in the Referendum – probably the last votes they’ll ever cast.

The military’s constituency was larger than just the aged. Poor Americans of all ages became dependent upon the military not only for protection against crime, but also for medical care. Again we saw the roots of this back in 1992. First it was the barely defeated proposal to use veterans’ hospitals to provide care for the non-veteran poor. Next were calls to deploy military medical assets to relieve hard-pressed urban hospitals. As the number of uninsured and underinsured grew, the pressure to provide care became inexorable. Now military hospitals serve millions of new, non-military patients. Similarly, a proposal to use so-called “underutilized” military bases as drug rehabilitation centers was implemented on a massive scale.

Even the youngest citizens were co-opted. During the 1990s the public became aware that military officers had the math and science backgrounds desperately needed to revitalize US education. In fact, programs involving military personnel were already underway while we were at the War College. We now have an entire generation of young people who have grown up comfortable with the sight of military personnel patrolling their streets and teaching in their classrooms.

As you know, it wasn’t just crises in public safety, medical care, and education that the military was tasked to mend. The military was also called upon to manage the cleanup of the nation’s environmental hazards. By 1992 the armed services were deeply involved in this arena, and that involvement mushroomed. Once the military demonstrated its expertise, it wasn’t long before environmental problems were declared “national security threats” and full responsibility devolved to the armed forces.

Other problems were transformed into “national security” issues. As more commercial airlines went bankrupt and unprofitable air routes dropped, the military was called upon to provide “essential” air transport to the affected regions. In the name of national defense, the military next found itself in the seafight business. Ships purchased by the military for contingencies were leased, complete with military crews, at low rates to US exporters to help solve the trade deficit. The nation’s crumbling infrastructure was also declared a “national security threat.” As was proposed back in 1991, troops rehabilitated public housing, rebuilt bridges and roads, and constructed new government buildings. By late 1992, voices in both Congress and the military had reached a crescendo calling for military involvement across a broad spectrum of heretofore purely civilian activities. Soon, it became common in practically every community to see crews of soldiers working on local projects. Military attire drew no stares.

The revised charter for the armed forces was not confined to domestic enterprises. Overseas humanitarian and nation-building assignments proliferated. Though these projects have always been performed by the military on an ad hoc basis, in 1986 Congress formalized that process. It declared overseas humanitarian and civic assistance activities to be “valid military missions” and specifically authorized them by law. Fueled by favorable press for operations in Iraq, Bangladesh, and the Philippines during the early 1990s, humanitarian missions were touted as the military’s “model for the future.” That prediction came true. When several African governments collapsed under AIDS epidemics and famines around the turn of the century, US troops – first introduced to the continent in the 1990s – were called upon to restore basic services. They never left. Now the US military con-
studies the de facto government in many of those areas. Once again, the first whisperings of such duties could be heard in 1992.49

By the year 2000 the armed forces had penetrated many vital aspects of American society. More and more military officers sought the kind of autonomy in these civilian affairs that they would expect from their military superiors in the execution of traditional combat operations. Thus began the inevitable politicization of the military. With so much responsibility for virtually everything government was expected to do, the military increasingly demanded a larger role in policymaking. But in a democracy policymaking is a task best left to those accountable to the electorate. Nonetheless, well-intentioned military officers, accustomed to the ordered, hierarchical structure of military society, became impatient with the delays and inefficiencies inherent in the democratic process. Consequently, they increasingly sought to avoid it. They convinced themselves that they could more productively serve the nation in carrying out their new assignments if they acceded to themselves unfettered power to implement their programs. They forgot Lord Acton’s warning that “all power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”50

Congress became their unwitting ally. Because of the popularity of the new military programs—and the growing dependence upon them—Congress passed the Military Plenipotentiary Act of 2005. This legislation was the legacy of the Goldwater-Nichols Defense Reorganization Act of 1986. Among many revisions, Goldwater-Nichols strengthened the office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and mandated numerous changes intended to increase “jointness” in the armed services.51 Supporters of the Military Plenipotentiary Act argued that unity of command was critical to the successful management of the numerous activities now considered “military” operations. Moreover, many Congressmen mistakenly believed that Goldwater-Nichols was one of the main reasons for the military’s success in the First Gulf War.52 They viewed the Military Plenipotentiary Act as an enhancement of the strengths of Goldwater-Nichols.

In passing this legislation Congress added greater authority to the military’s top leadership position. Lulled by favorable experiences with Chairmen like General Colin Powell,53 Congress saw little danger in converting the office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff into the even more powerful Military Plenipotentiary. No longer merely an advisor, the Military Plenipotentiary became a true commander of all US services, purportedly because that status could better ameliorate the effects of perceived interservice squabbling. Despite warnings found in the legislative history of Goldwater-Nichols and elsewhere, enormous power was concentrated in the hands of a single, unelected official.54 Unfortunately, Congress presumed that principled people would always occupy the office.55 No one expected a General Brutus would arise.

The Military Plenipotentiary was not Congress’s only structural change in military governance. By 2007 the services were combined to form the Unified Armed Forces. Recall that when we graduated from the War College greater unification was being seriously suggested as an economy measure.56 Eventually that consideration, and the conviction that “jointness” was an unqualified military virtue,57 led to unification. But unification ended the creative tension between the services.58 Besides rejecting the operational logic of separate services,59 no one seemed to recognize the checks-and-balances function that service separatism provided a democracy obliged to maintain a large, professional military establishment. The Founding Fathers knew the importance of checks and balances in controlling the agencies of government: “Ambition must be made to counteract ambition. … Experience has taught mankind the necessity of auxiliary controls … [including] supplying opposite and rival interests.”60

Ambition is a natural trait of military organizations and their leaders.61 Whatever might have been the inefficiencies of separate military services, their very existence served to counteract the untoward desires of any single service. The roles and missions debates and other arguments, once seen as petty military infighting, also provided an invaluable forum for competitive analysis of military doctrine. Additionally, they served to ensure that unscrupulous designs by a segment of the military establishment were ruthlessly exposed. Once the services were unified, the impetus to do so vanished, and the authority of the military in relation to the other institutions of government rose.62 Distended by its pervasive new duties, monolithic militarism came to dominate the Darwinian political environment of 21st-century America.

Why did the uniformed leadership of our day acquiesce to this transformation of the military? Much of the answer can be traced to the budget showdowns of the early 1990s. The collapse of the Soviet Union left the US military without an easily articulated rationale for large defense budgets. Billions in cuts were sought. Journalist Bruce Auster put it bluntly: “Winning a share of the budget wars … require[s] that the military find new missions for a post-Cold War world that is devoid of clear military threats.”63 Capitulating, military leaders embraced formerly disdained assignments. As one commentator cynically observed, “the services are eager to talk up nontraditional, budget-justifying roles.”64 The Vietnam-era aphorism, “It’s a lousy war, but it’s the only one we’ve got,” was resuscitated.

Still, that doesn’t completely explain why in 2012 the military leadership would succumb to a coup. To answer that question fully requires examination of what was happening to the officer corps as the military drew down in the 1980s and 1990s. Ever since large peacetime military establishments became permanent features after World War II, the great lev- eler of the officer corps was the constant influx of officers from the Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC) program. The product of diverse colleges and universities throughout the United States, these officers were a vital source of liberalism in the military services.65

By the late 1980s and early 1990s, however, that was changing. Force reductions decreased the number of ROTC graduates the services accepted.66 Although General Powell called
ROTC “vital to democracy.” 62 ROTC programs were closed in 1991 and another 350 were considered for closure.67 The numbers of officers produced by the service academies also fell, but at a significantly slower pace. Consequently, the proportion of academy graduates in the officer corps climbed.68 Academy graduates, along with graduates of such military schools as the Citadel, Virginia Military Institute, and Norwich University, tended to feel a greater homogeneity of outlook than, say, the pool of ROTC graduates at large, with the result that as the proportion of such graduates grew, diversity of outlook overall diminished to some degree.

Moreover, the ROTC officers that did remain increasingly came from a narrower range of schools. Focusing on the military’s policy to exclude homosexuals from service, advocates of “political correctness” succeeded in driving ROTC from the campuses of some of our best universities.69 In many instances they also prevailed in barring military recruiters from campus.70 Little thought was given the long-term consequences of limiting the pool from which our military leadership was drawn. The result was a much more uniformly oriented military elite whose outlook was progressively conservative.

Furthermore, well-meaning attempts at improving service life led to the unintended insularity of military society, representing a return to the cloistered life of the pre-World War II armed forces. Military bases, complete with schools, churches, stores, child care centers, and recreational areas, became never-to-be-left islands of tranquillity removed from the chaotic, crime-ridden environment outside the gates.71 As one reporter put it in 1991: “Increasingly isolated from mainstream America, today’s troops tend to view the civilian world with suspicion and sometimes hostility.”72 Thus, a physically isolated and intellectually alienated officer corps was paired with an enlisted force likewise distanced from the society it was supposed to serve. In short, the military evolved into a force susceptible to manipulation by an authoritarian leader from its own select ranks.

What made this all the more disheartening was the wretched performance of our forces in the Second Gulf War.73 Consumed with ancillary and nontraditional missions, the military neglected its fundamental raison d’être. As the Supreme Court succinctly put it more than a half century ago, the “primary business of armies and navies [is] to fight or be ready to fight wars should the occasion arise.”74 When Iranian armies started pouring into the lower Gulf states in 2010, the US armed forces were ready to do anything but fight.

Preoccupation with humanitarian duties, narcotics interdiction, and all the rest of the peripheral missions left the military unfit to engage an authentic military opponent. Performing the new missions sapped resources from what most experts agree was one of the vital ingredients to victory in the First Gulf War: training. Training is, quite literally, a zero-sum game. Each moment spent performing a nontraditional mission is one unavailable for orthodox military exercises. We should have recognized the grave risk. In 1991 The Washington Post reported that in “interview after interview across the services, senior leaders and noncommissioned officers stressed that they cannot be ready to fight without frequent rehearsals of perishable skills.”75

The military’s anti-drug activities were a big part of the problem. Oh, sure, I remember the facile claims of proponents of the military’s counter narcotics involvement as to what “valuable” training it provided.76 Did anyone really think that crew members of an AWACS – an aircraft designed to track high-performance military aircraft in combat – significantly improved their skills by hours of tracking slow-moving light planes? Did they seriously imagine that troops enhanced combat skills by looking for marijuana under car seats? Did they truly believe that crews of the Navy’s sophisticated anti-air and anti-submarine ships received meaningful training by following lumbering trawlers around the Caribbean?77 Tragically, they did.

The problem was exacerbated when political pressures exempted the Guard and the Reserves from the harshest effects of the budgetary cutbacks of the early 1990s.78 The First Gulf War demonstrated that modern weapons and tactics were simply too complex for part-time soldiers to master during their allotted drill periods, however well motivated.79 Still, creative Guard and Reserve defenders contrived numerous civic-action and humanitarian assignments and sold them as “training.” Left unexplained was how such training was supposed to fit with military strategies that contemplated short, violent, come-as-you-are expeditionary war.80 Nice-to-have Guard and Reserve support-oriented programs prevailed at the expense of critical active-duty combat capabilities.81

Perhaps even more damaging than the diversion of resources was the assault on the very ethos of military service. Rather than bearing in mind the Supreme Court’s admonition to focus on warfighting, the military was told to alter its purpose. Former Secretary of State James Baker typified the trendy new tone in remarks about the military’s airlift of food and medicine to the former Soviet republics in early 1992. He said the airlift would “vividly show the peoples of the former Soviet Union that those that once prepared for war with them now have the courage and the conviction to use their military to say, ‘We will wage a new peace.’”82

In truth militaries ought to “prepare for war” and leave the “peace waging” to those agencies of government whose mission is just that. Nevertheless, such pronouncements – seconded by military leaders83 – became the fashionable philosophy. The result? People in the military no longer considered themselves warriors. Instead, they perceived themselves as policemen, relief workers, educators, builders, health care providers, politicians – everything but warfighters. When these philanthropists met the Iranian 10th Armored Corps near Daharan during the Second Gulf War, they were brutally slaughtered by a military which had not forgotten what militaries were supposed to do or what war is really all about.

The devastation of the military’s martial spirit was exemplified by its involvement in police activities. Inexplicably, we ignored the deleterious effect on combat motivation suffered by the Israeli Defense Forces as a result of their efforts to police the West Bank and Gaza.84 Few seemed to appre-
cate the fundamental difference between the police profession and the profession of arms. As Richard J. Barnet observed in The New Yorker, “The line between police action and a military operation is real. Police derive their power from their acceptance as ‘officers of the law’; legitimate authority, not firepower, is the essential element.” 85

Police organizations are understandably oriented toward the studied restraint necessary for the end sought: a judicial conviction. As one Drug Enforcement Administration agent noted: “The military can kill people better than we can [but] when we go to a jungle lab, we’re not there to move onto the target by fire and maneuver to destroy the enemy. We’re there to arrest suspects and seize evidence.” 86 If military forces are inculcated with the same spirit of restraint, combat performance is threatened.87 Moreover, law enforcement is also not just a form of low-intensity conflict. In low-intensity conflict, the military aim is to win the will of the people, a virtually impossible task with criminals “motivated by money, not ideology.” 88

Humanitarian missions likewise undermined the military’s sense of itself. As one Navy officer gushed during the 1991 Bangladesh relief operation, “It’s great to be here doing the opposite of a soldier.” 89 While no true soldier relishes war, the fact remains that the essence of the military is warfighting and preparation for the same. What journalist Barton Gellman has said of the Army can be extrapolated to the military as a whole: it is an “organization whose fighting spirit depends ... heavily on tradition.” 90 If that tradition becomes imbued with a preference for “doing the opposite of a soldier,” fighting spirit is bound to suffer. When we first heard editorial calls to “pacify the military” by involving it in civic projects,91 we should have given them the forceful rebuke they deserved.

Military analyst Harry Summers warned back in ’91 that when militaries lose sight of their purpose, catastrophe results. Citing a study of pre-World War II Canadian military policy as it related to the subsequent battlefield disasters, he observed that “instead of using the peacetime interregnum to hone their military skills, senior Canadian military officers sought out civilian missions to justify their existence. When war came they were woefully unprepared. Instead of protecting their soldiers’ lives they led them to their deaths. In today’s post-Cold War peacetime environment, this trap again looms large... Some today within the US military are also searching for relevance, with draft doctrinal manuals giving touchy-feely prewar and postwar civil operations equal weight with warfighting. This is an insidious mistake.” 92

We must remember that America’s position at the end of the Cold War had no historical precedent. For the first time the nation – in peacetime – found itself with a still-sizeable, professional military establishment that was not preoccupied with an overarching external threat.93 Yet the uncertainties in the aftermath of the Cold War limited the extent to which those forces could be safely downsized. When the military was then obliged to engage in a bewildering array of nontraditional duties to further justify its existence, it is little wonder that its traditional apolitical professionalism eventually eroded.

Clearly, the curious tapestry of military authoritarianism and combat ineffectiveness that we see today was not yet woven in 1992. But the threads were there. Knowing what I know now, here’s the advice I would have given the War College Class of 1992 had I been their graduation speaker:

• Demand that the armed forces focus exclusively on indisputably military duties. We must not diffuse our energies away from our fundamental responsibility for warfighting. To send ill-trained troops into combat makes us accomplices to murder.
• Acknowledge that national security does have economic, social, educational, and environmental dimensions, but insist that this doesn’t necessarily mean the problems in those areas are the responsibility of the military to correct. Stylishly designating efforts to solve national ills as “wars” doesn’t convert them into something appropriate for the employment of military forces.
• Readily cede budgetary resources to those agencies whose business it is to address the non-military issues the armed forces are presently asked to fix. We are not the DEA, EPA, Peace Corps, Department of Education, or Red Cross – nor should we be. It has never been easy to give up resources, but in the long term we – and the nation – will be better served by a smaller but appropriately focused military.
• Divest the defense budget of perception-skewing expenses. Narcotics interdiction, environmental cleanup, humanitarian relief, and other costs tangential to actual combat capability should be assigned to the budgets of DEA, EPA, State, and so forth. As long as these expensive programs are hidden in the defense budget, the taxpayer understandably – but mistakenly – will continue to believe he’s buying military readiness.
• Continue to press for the elimination of superfluous, resource-draining Guard and Reserve units. Increase the training tempo, responsibilities, and compensation of those that remain.
• Educate the public to the sophisticated training requirements occasioned by the complexities of modern warfare. It’s imperative we rid the public of the misperception that soldiers in peacetime are essentially unemployed and therefore free to assume new missions.94
• Resist unification of the services not only on operational grounds, but also because unification would be inimical to the checks and balances that underpin democratic government. Slow the pace of fiscally driven consolidation so that the impact on less quantifiable aspects of military effectiveness can be scrutinized.
• Assure that officer accessions from the service academies correspond with overall force reductions (but maintain separate service academies) and keep ROTC on a wide diversity of campuses. If necessary, resort to litigation to maintain ROTC campus diversity.
• Orient recruiting resources and campaigns toward ensuring that all echelons of society are represented in the military, without compromising standards.95 Accept that this kind of recruiting may increase costs. It’s worth it.
• Work to moderate the base-as-an-island syndrome by providing improved incentives for military members and families to assimilate into civilian communities. Within the information programs for our force of all-volunteer professionals (increasingly US-based), strengthen the emphasis upon such themes as the inviolability of the Constitution, ascendency of our civilian leadership over the military, and citizens’ responsibilities.

Finally, I would tell our classmates that democracy is a fragile institution that must be continuously nurtured and scrupulously protected. I would also tell them that they must speak out when they see the institution threatened; indeed, it is their duty to do so.

Richard Gabriel aptly observed in his book To Serve with Honor that “when one discusses dissent, loyalty, and the limits of military obligations, the central problem is that the military represents a threat to civil order not because it will usurp authority, but because it does not speak out on critical policy decisions. The soldier fails to live up to his oath to serve the country if he does not speak out when he sees his civilian or military superiors executing policies he feels to be wrong.”

Gabriel was wrong when he dismissed the military’s potential to threaten civil order, but he was right when he described our responsibilities. The catastrophe that occurred on our watch took place because we failed to speak out against policies we knew were wrong. It’s too late for me to do any more. But it’s not for you.

Best regards,
Prisoner 222305759.

NOTES

1. The Twenty-fifth Amendment to the Constitution provides that in the case of “death … the Vice President shall become the President.” But Section 1 of Article II requires the taking of the oath before “enter[ing] the Execution of his Office.”


5. George Washington in his “Farewell Address” dated 19 September 1796 counseled: “Overgrown military establishments … under any form of government are inauspicious to liberty and … are to be regarded as particularly hostile to republican liberty.” As quoted in The Annals of America. – Chicago, Encyclopedia Britannica, 1976, p. 609.

6. Author Geoffrey Perret expressed the traditional view as follows: “The antimilitaristic side of the American character is forever on guard. Americans are so suspicious of military ambition that even when the armed forces win wars they are criticized as robustly as if they had lost them.” Geoffrey Perret. A Country Made By War. – New York, Vintage, 1989, p. 560.


10. A 1989 Harris poll revealed that 53% of Americans believed that Congress was not effectively fulfilling its responsibilities. See: Robert R. Ivany, “Soldiers and Legislators: Common Mission.” Parameters, 21 (Spring 1991), 47.


15 A survey of 163 new Army brigadier generals revealed that their IQ was in the 92nd percentile of the population. See: Bruce W. Nelan, “Revolution in Defense,” Time, 18 March 1991, p. 25. In many instances the curricula vitae of military personnel was more impressive than that of their civilian counterparts. For example, over 88% of brigadier generals had an advanced degree compared with 19% of top civilian business leaders. See: David Gergen, “America’s New Heroes,” U.S. News & World Report, 11 February 1991, p. 76. Similarly, 97% of enlisted personnel were high school graduates, the highest percentage ever. See: Grant Willis, “DoD: Recruits in ‘91 Best Educated, Most Qualified,” Time, 16 March 1991, p. 25.


17 408 U.S. 1, 17 (1972).

18 At least one observer sensed the peril which arises when power and respect converge in the military: “Our warriors are kinder and gentler, and have not shown the slightest inclination to lust for political power. But that potential always lurks where power and respect converge, and the degree of military influence in society is something to watch carefully in the years ahead.” Martin Anderson, “The Benefits of the Warrior Class,” The Baltimore Sun, 14 April 1991, p. 3F.


23 Additional amendments were added in 1988. See: Public Law 100-456 (1988).

24 Although anti-drug spending will decrease in FY 93, the rate of decline is slower than that of the DOD budget as a whole. William Matthews, “Counternarcotics Request Increased,” Air Force Times, 24 February 1992, p. 2. See also: Lane, “Newest War,” p. 18.


29 George Will observed that “urban governments are failing to perform their primary function of protecting people from violence on streets and even in homes and schools.” George F. Will, “Stressed Out in America,” p. A27.

30 Using Guardsmen in a law enforcement capacity during riot and other emergencies was not unusual, but a regular presence in a civilian community in that role was unusual in those days. Guard members usually performed law enforcement activities in their status as state employees. This is distinct from their federalized status when they are incorporated into the US military. See: U.S. Code Congressional & Administrative News. – St. Paul West, 1988, p. 2583; and K. R. Clark, “Spotlighting the Drug Zone,” Pentagram, 30 January 1992, pp. 20-21.

31 Indeed, one of the specific purposes of the DC program was to “work with police to increase the uniformed presence in the neighborhood at night to cut down on illegal activity.” See: Clark, p. 21.

32 For example, persons over the age of 65 vote at a rate 50% higher than that of the 18-34 age group. See: George F. Will, “Stressed Out in America,” p. A27.

33 The number of baby boomers in the population is expected to peak in 2020. See Marvin J. Cetron and Owen Davies, “Trends Shaping the World,” The Futurist, September-October 1991, p. 12. Persons over 65 were estimated to constitute 18% of the electorate by 2010. This group, together with the boomers over 45 years, would constitute 53% of the electorate by 2010. These percentages were computed from statistics found in the Universal Almanac 1992, “The U.S.


40 For example, a District of Columbia National Guard unit entered into a “Partnership in Education” agreement with a local school district. Under the memorandum the Guard agreed to “institute a cooperative learning center providing tutoring in science, English, mathematics, and other basic subjects.” See: “Guard Enters Partnership with School,” Pentagram, 13 February 1992, p. 3. For another example, see: “Arlington Schools Join Forces with Defense Department Agency,” The Washington Post, 12 December 1991, p. Va. 1.


44 See Shuger, p. 25. Similarly, noting the growing obsolescence of the Guard’s combat role, a National Guard officer proposed an alternative: “The National Guard can provide a much greater service to the nation by seeking more combat support and combat service support missions and the structure to support them. Such units can participate in nation building or assistance missions throughout the world, to include the United States. … Much of our national infrastructure, streets, bridges, health care, water and sewer lines, to name just a few, particularly in the inner cities of the United States, are in disrepair. Many of the necessary repairs could be accomplished by National guard units on a year-round training basis.” Colonel Philip Drew, “Taking the National Guard Out of Combat,” National Guard, April 1991, p. 38. Also jumping on the bandwagon are National Guard officers Colonel Philip A. Brehm and Major Wilbur E. Gray in “Alternative Missions for the Army,” SSI Study, Strategic Studies Institute, USAWC, 17 July 1992.


48 It was predicted that the AIDS epidemic would hit Africa especially hard with infection rates in some cities as high as 40% by the year 2000. See: Marvin J. Cetrn and Owen Davies, “Trends Shaping the World,” The Futurist, September-October 1991, p. 12. Some experts have predicted that African famine might present a requirement for a military humanitarian mission (Weiss and Campbell, pp. 451-52). See also: Richard H. P. Sia, “U.S. Increasing Its Special Forces Activity in Africa,” The Baltimore Sun, 15 March 1992, p. 1. Long-term military commitments to humanitarian operations have been recommended by some experts (Weiss and Campbell, p. 457).

49 US troops assigned to African countries in the early 1990s were tasked to “help improve local health-care and economic conditions.” See: Sia, p. 1. Similarly, the notion of using
the expertise of US military personnel to perform governmental functions in foreign countries was also suggested in the 1990s. For example, when the food distribution system in the former Soviet Union broke down during the winter of 1991-92, there were calls for Lieutenant General Gus Pagonis, the logistical wizard of the First Gulf War, to be dispatched to take charge of the system. See: “A Man Who Knows How,” editorial, Los Angeles Times, 5 February 1992, p. 10.

50 As quoted in Dictionary of Military and Naval Quotations, Robert Debs Heinl, Jr., ed. – Annapolis, US Naval Institute, 1966), p. 245.

51 Public Law 99-433 (1986). Under the Goldwater-Nichols Defense Reorganization Act, the Chairman of the JCS was given much broader powers. Not only is he now the primary military advisor to the President, he is also responsible for furnishing strategic direction to the armed forces, strategic and contingency planning, establishing budget priorities, and developing joint doctrine for all four services. Edward Luttwak and Stuart L. Koehl, eds. The Dictionary of Modern War. – New York, Harper Collins, 1991, p. 320. The law also mandated that joint duty be a requirement for promotion to flag rank. See: Vincent Davis, “Defense Reorganization and National Security,” The Annals of the American Academy of Political Science, September 1991, pp. 163-65. This facilitated development of senior military cliques which transcended service lines.

52 Many praised Goldwater-Nichols as the source of success in the Gulf War. See, e.g., “Persian Gulf War's Unsung Hero,” editorial, Charleston, S.C., News & Courier, 4 April 1991, p. 6. See also: Sam Nunn, “Military Reform Paved Way for Gulf Triumph,” Atlanta Constitution, 31 March 1991, p. G5. But the Gulf War was not a true test of either Goldwater-Nichols or joint warfare. About all that conflict demonstrated was that poorly trained and miserably led conscript armies left unprotected from air attack cannot hold terrain in the face of a modern ground assault.

53 One study concluded that because of Powell’s background he was “especially well qualified” for the politically sensitive role as CJCS. See: Preston Niblock, ed. Managing Military Operations in Crises. – Santa Monica, RAND, 1991, p. 51.

54 Representative Denton stated as to Goldwater-Nichols: “This legislation proposes to reverse 200 years of American history by, for the first time, designating by statute … a single uniformed officer as the ‘Principal Military Advisor’ to the President. That change in the role of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff is profound in its implications. Similar proposals have been specifically and overwhelmingly rejected in the past – in 1947, 1949, 1958 – on the grounds that, in a democracy, no single military officer, no matter what his personal qualifications, should have such power.” U.S. Code Congressional & Administrative News. – St. Paul, Minn., West, 1986, p. 2248. See also: Robert Previdi, Civilian Control versus Military Rule. – New York, Hippocrene Books, 1988.

55 In The Federalist No. 51 the Founding Fathers warned against the folly of constructing a governmental system based on assumptions about the good character of individuals who might occupy an office.


57 This belief was enshrined in Joint Pub 1, Joint Warfare of the United States. – Washington, Office of the JCS, 11 November 1991. It states (p. iii) that “joint warfare is essential to victory.” While joint warfare might usually be essential to victory, it cannot be said that it is essential in every instance. For example, rebels – composed entirely of irregular infantry – defeated massive Soviet combined-arms forces in Afghanistan. Equipped only with light arms, Stinger missiles, and light anti-aircraft guns, they triumphed without benefit of any air or naval forces, and indeed without unity among themselves. Furthermore, even in the case of Western nations, there are likely to be plenty of hostilities involving single-service air or naval campaigns.

58 Former Secretary of the Navy John Lehman described the value of this creative tension in discussing his criticism of the “unified” Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff occasioned by Goldwater-Nichols. According to Lehman: “Franklin Roosevelt … wanted to hear Admiral King argue with Marshall in front of him. He wanted to hear MacArthur argue against Nimitz, and the Air Corps against the Army, and the Navy against all in his presence, so that he would have the option to make the decisions of major strategy in war. He knew that any political leader, no matter how strong, if given only one military position, finds it nearly impossible to go against it. Unfortunately … now the president does not get to hear arguments from differing points of view.” John Lehman, “U.S. Defense Policy Options: The 1990s and Beyond,” The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1991, pp. 199-200.


61 Shakespeare called ambition “the soldier’s virtue.” Antony and Cleopatra, Act III, Scene 1, as reprinted in the Great Books of the Western World, Robert M. Hutchins, ed. – Chicago, Encyclopedia Britannica, 1952, XXVII, 327.

62 Samuel P. Huntington, The Soldier and the State. – Cambridge, Harvard Univ. Press, 1959, p. 87, said “If the officer corps is originally divided into land, sea, and air elements, and then is unified under the leadership of a single, overall staff and military commander in chief, this change will tend to increase its authority with regard to other institutions of government. It will speak with one voice instead of three. Other groups will not be able to play off one of the officer corps against another.”

Military analyst Harry Summers insists that ROTC is a key reason military coups have not occurred in the United States as they have in other countries. He notes: "ROTC was designed to produce a well-rounded officer corps inculcated with the principles of freedom, democracy, and American values through close contact with civilian students on an open college campus, and through a liberal education taught by a primarily civilian academic faculty. And that’s just what has happened." Harry Summers, "Stalking the Wrong Quarry," Washington Times, 7 December 1989, p. F-3.


According to one report, the effort was futile and wasteful: "We’re getting so little of the drug traffic for such a great expenditure of effort," lamented one Navy officer; "We’re pouring money into the ocean, at a time when resources are scarce." William Matthews, "Drug War Funds Would Shrink Under Budget Proposal," Air Force Times, 17 February 1992, p. 33.

Optimism about reform, with the 1990s, was illusory. As John Lancaster reported that proposals to cut Guard and reserve funding "inflame passions on Capitol Hill," causing Congress to resist cutting the part-time forces. "Pentagon Cuts Hill-Favored Targets," The Washington Post, 24 January 1992, p. A6. Art Pine reported that the Guard and reserves "exercise stunning political power and influence, both among state and local governments and in the power centers of Washington." Pine quoted Brookings Institute expert Martin Binkin as saying that the Guard/Reserve lobby "makes the gun lobby led by the National Rifle Association look like amateurs." Art Pine, "In Defense of 2nd Line Defenders," Los Angeles Times, 13 March 1992, p. 1.


A article by journalist David Wood grasped this trend. He quoted an Army officer as stating, "We are isolated – we don't have a lot of exposure to the outside world." Wood goes on to observe: "The nation’s 2 million active duty soldiers are a self-contained society, one with its own solemn rituals, its own language, its own system of justice, and even its own system of keeping time. … Only a decade ago, life within the confines of a military base might have seemed a Spartan existence. But improving the garrison life has been a high priority. As a result, many bases have come to resemble an ideal of small-town America. … There is virtually no crime or poverty. Drug addicts and homeless are mere rumors from the outside." David Wood, "Duty, Honor, Isolation: Military More and More a Force Unto Itself," The Star-Ledger (Newark, N.J.) 21 April 1991, p. 1. See also: Laura Elliot, "Behind the Lines," The Washingtonian, April 1991, p. 160.

Studies indicate that defeat in war may actually increase the likelihood of a military coup. Ekkart Zimmermann, "Toward a Causal Model of Military Coups d'Etat," Armed Forces and Society, 5 (Spring 1979), 399.

United States ex rel. Toth v. Quarles, 350 U.S. 11, 76 S.Ct. 1 (1955). Of course, Carl von Clausewitz had put it even better: "The end for which a soldier is recruited, clothed, armed, and trained, the whole object of his sleeping, eating, drinking, and marching, is simply that he should fight at the right place and the right time." Clausewitz, On War, Michael Howard and Peter Paret, eds. – Princeton, Princeton Univ. Press, 1976, p. 95.
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bly incorrect" views.
military responsibilities was called into question when up to 80% of the Guardsmen in California units called up for Desert Storm reported for duty unable to meet physical fitness standards. Steve Gibson, “Guards Flunked Fitness,” Sacramento Bee, 18 June 1991, p. B1.


Military analyst and decorated combat veteran David Hackworth sized up the Guard and Reserves as follows: “Except for the air and Marine combat components, these forces aren’t worth the billions paid each year to them. The combat service and support units are great, but there are too many of them.” “A Pentagon Dreamland,” Washington Post, 23 February 1992, p. C3.


The Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff also saw the military’s future role in non-combat terms. Stating that there was “no plausible scenario” in which the United States would be involved in a military conflict in Europe or with elements of the former Soviet Union, he maintained that the likeliest use of military forces would be to address instability that could arise from migrations by poor peoples of the world to wealthier regions. He envisioned the military’s role: “You would like to deal with this on a political and social level. The military’s role should be subtle, similar to the role it plays now in Latin America – digging wells, building roads, and teaching the militaries of host nations how to operate under a democratic system. … When prevention fails, the military can be called to the more active role of running relief operations like the current one at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for fleeing Haitians. Operation Provide Comfort, the giant US military rescue mission to save Kurdish refugees who fled from the Iraqi army to the snow-covered mountains of southeastern Turkey last spring, may have been a precursor of what we can look forward to in the next decade if not the next century.” As quoted by William Matthews, “Military Muscle to Shift to Humanitarian Help,” Air Force Times, 6 January 1992, p. 14.

Leon Hader, “Reforming Israel – Before It’s Too Late,” Foreign Policy, No. 81 (Winter 1990/91), 111.


87 Newsweek reported the following incident: When a Marine reconnaissance patrol skirmished with smugglers near the Arizona-Mexico border last December – firing over their heads to disperse them – one colonel near retirement age shook his head. He argued that combat-trained Marines shouldn’t be diminishing hard-learned skills by squeezing off warning shots. “That teaches some very bad habits,” he said, Bill Torque and Douglas Waller, “Warriors Without War,” Newsweek, 19 March 1990, p. 18.
89 As quoted by David Morrison in the National Journal.
This relief operation involved 8,000 sailors and marines tasked to help millions of Bangladeshi survivors of a 30 April 1991 cyclone. See: Morrison, “Operation Kinder and Gentler,” p. 1260.
91 Shuger, “Pacify the Military,” p. 25.
93 See “Warnings Echo from Jefferson to Eisenhower to Desert Storm,” USA Today, 1 March 1991, p. 10A.
94 A caller to a radio talk show typified this view. She stated that while she appreciated the need for a military in case “something like Iraq came up again,” she believed that the military ought to be put to work rebuilding the infrastructure and cleaning up the cities instead of “sitting around the barracks.” “The Joel Spevak Show,” Station WRC, Washington, D.C., 11 March 1992.
95 One example of the dangers of lowering standards to achieve social goals is “Project 100,000.” Conceived as a Great Society program, youths with test scores considered unacceptably low were nevertheless allowed to enter the armed forces during the 1966-1972 period. The idea was to give the disadvantaged poor the chance to obtain education and discipline in a military environment, but the results were a fiasco. See: Marilyn B. Young, The Vietnam Wars, 1945-1990. – New York, Harper Collins, 1991, p. 320.
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CONTRARY TO COMMON BELIEF, THE mission of the World Bank under the umbrella of the UN was not and is not to reduce poverty. It was 1) to rebuild Europe post second world war, and 2) to promote the economic growth of the South through development.

As a part of the World Bank Group, the World Bank is (supposedly) bound by the UN Charter and, according to the International Court of Justice, it is the duty of the World Bank to respect human rights and customary law in general. However, nowhere is this obligation seen to be incorporated in the implementation of their policies. In fact examples abound as to how readily and easily these obligations have been circumvented or simply disregarded. In strict violation of a UN right of people to self-determination the World Bank granted loans in the 1950s to Belgium, France and Britain to finance projects in their colonies, mostly for mining for the benefit of the colonial powers, and then, following independence, the debt was transferred to the newly emerging nations. This “odious debt” is a violation of international law, which Eric Toussaint describes as having been imposed on “the Bank, with the connivance of its main colonial shareholders and the blessing of the US.”

The Bank’s mandate was to be purely economic, not to be involved in politics, but even the first loan it granted in 1947, to France, was held up by the US government until Communist Party members were ousted from the coalition government.

As to the answers to criticisms of the Bank’s succession of errors or bad management, Toussaint reveals them to be “a deliberate part of a coherent, carefully thought out, theoretical plan, taught with great application in most universities.” The strategy, in a nutshell, is that providing infrastructure should fall on the state sector and anything that might prove profitable should be given to the private sector (preferably favouring multinational corporations), i.e., privatisation of profits combined with the socialisation of the cost of anything not profitable. Within the indebted country failing private companies would have their debt transferred to the state (as the military junta in Argentina transferred $12 billion of private debt to the state). Thus the capitalists in developing countries escape their debt, having it paid instead by the Treasury at the expense of the workers (Toussaint’s analysis). In Argentina in the 80s (just one typical example) even subsidiaries of transnational corporations indebted to their parent companies had their debts transferred to the Argentina Treasury: Renault, Mercedes-Benz, CityBank, Chase Manhattan, Société Générale, etc., etc., all transferred their debt, and as the government had no access to their accounts, one might raise an eyebrow!

Describing the demise of Mexico in the 80s, Toussaint is of the opinion that “Mexico has lost control of its destiny which, historically, has been the US’s objective since the nineteenth century.” By the end of the 90s all six major developing regions showed negative net transfer meaning simply that their debt to the World Bank was continuing to grow because they couldn’t keep up with the payments. Reports and internal memos reveal the Bank saw the crisis on the horizon but their “double discourse” informed the public and indebted countries that there was nothing to worry about. When the subject of debt reduction was eventually raised (in 1989) by the US government, the Bank complied. This consisted of indebted nations buying US Treasury bonds in exchange for a reduction of their debt. In effect, now the indebted countries were financing the policy of indebtedness of the US itself. As for the Bank’s own accounts, since its founding in 1946, they have consistently produced positive net results. Since 1985 each year has exceeded $1 billion in profits whilst all developing countries’ net transfers since 1987 have been negative, resulting in increasing debt.

Eric Toussaint is the President of the Committee for the Abolition of Third World Debt (CADTM) whose mission is “to contribute to the emergence of a world based on the sovereignty of its peoples, on international solidarity, equality and social justice.”

Throughout the book he promotes “a break with the capitalist system.” Point 30 of 31 indictments of the World Bank says, “a new international, democratic institution must urgently be found to promote a redistribution of wealth and to support people’s efforts towards development that is socially just and respectful of nature.” Then he goes on to talk of 21st century socialism, without addressing what this means, except to break away from the Washington Consensus, the World Bank and the IMF in favour of new financial and monetary institutions and to point to possible alternatives, such as Venezuela, Bolivia and Ecuador.

Janet Surman

The English edition of the book was published in India by:
VAK PUBLICATIONS: www.vakindia.org
CADTM WEBSITE: www.cadtm.org

ACT NOW
MOVIE CRITIC MICK LASALLE OF THE San Francisco Chronicle cannot understand why director Steven Soderbergh made the movie:

“If Soderbergh made as idol-worshiping an epic about George Washington or Abraham Lincoln – actual heroes with tangible, positive legacies – people would gag at the naive treatment. Instead of making the case for Guevara as a hero, Soderbergh just assumes we all agree. The movie is the communist guerrilla version of the Stations of the Cross, in which we see Guevara at various stages, enduring various hardships. The invitation is not to think but to admire, and maybe to worship.”

This is not the first time Hollywood has presented this ruthless killer as a saint. In 1969, Omar Sharif played “the most controversial rebel of our time” with Jack Palance as Castro. Then, there was The Motorcycle Diaries in 2004 and at least a half dozen made-for-TV films.

Communists always present their demented drive for world domination in terms of serving the common people. Surprisingly, plenty of suckers are ready to swallow this bait. But, why do these dupes include the US corporate media establishment?

THE EXPLANATION

The US mass media and most of its corporations are controlled by the central banking cartel, i.e., the Rothschilds, Warburgs, Rockefellers, etc. These are the same people who sponsor Communism.

The guiding principle behind all world events is their plan to translate their monopoly over government credit into a world monopoly of power, wealth, culture and religion.

These bankers use a Hegelian dialectic to achieve their end. They created both Capitalism and Communism as thesis and antithesis.
Their aim is a synthesis, combining the political and cultural tyranny of Communism with the appearance of Capitalist free markets. China or even Cuba may be the end model for the New World Order.

In 1953, Ford Foundation President, H. Rowan Gaither told Congressional Investigator Norman Dodd that his instructions were to use “our grant-making power so to alter life in the United States that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.”

This is why the Communist Party term “political correctness” has become part of our everyday lexicon. This is why the elite media and foundations promote feminism, homosexuality, pornography and promiscuity to destabilize society. Why they sponsor “diversity” to undermine American identity. Why the culture industries are dedicated to sex, violence, alienation, deviance and the occult. We’ll never know what we are missing in terms of cultural works that boost our sense of who we are and where we should be going.

Eustace Mullins relates this story: Early in his career, a New York publisher (who are all banker controlled) told his agent that it’s too bad Mullins had decided to go against them. Look at the success they arranged for such “high school” talents as Hemingway, Steinbeck and Faulkner. Unfortunately, Mullins would be consigned to the wilderness.

Such anecdotes confirm that we have been dispossessed and prepared for servitude. Our culture, most of our assumptions about the world, are controlled by the central bankers.

**GUEVARA, CASTRO AND THE CUBAN REVOLUTION**

Fidel Castro came to power in Cuba only because of the covert help of New World Order lies in the US State Department and mass media. They cut off arm sales to Batista while at the same time allowing Castro to be supplied, partly by Russian submarines. This told the Cuban military which way the wind was blowing and they quietly defected.

This is the conclusion of Nataniel Weyl in *Red Star Over Cuba* (1962). Weyl was a Communist in the 1930s and knew the top leaders of the Cuban Communist Party. He actually worked for the central bankers at one time, as Latin American research chief for the Federal Reserve System. He is one of many Jews who recognized Communism as a dangerous ruse and devoted his life to exposing Comintern subversion in Latin America.

Weyl says that both Ernesto Guevara and Fidel Castro were cultivated and trained as Soviet agents as teenagers. Guevara, an Argentine, was liaison between the Soviet espionage network and the Castro forces who masqueraded as an indigenous force. In fact, they were large bankrolled and supplied by the Soviet Union.

“Fidel’s secret weapon was money – incredible millions of dollars, with which he bought ‘victories.’ He bought entire regiments from Batista’s officers and, on one occasion, purchased for $650,000 cash an entire armored train, with tanks, guns, ammunition, jeeps and 500 men” (p. 141).

According to Humberto Fantova’s *Che! Hollywood’s Favorite Tyrant*, Guevara was involved in the execution of 10,000 Cubans after the revolution. He was “a bloodthirsty executioner, a military bumbler, a coward, and a hypocrite. This biographical account proves it’s no exaggeration to state that Che — who was captured and killed nearly forty years ago — was the godfather of modern terrorism. And yet Che’s fol-
lowers naively swallow Castro’s historical revisionism. They are classic ‘useful idiots’ – the name Stalin gave to foolish Westerners who parroted his lies about communism’s successes.”

Nat Hentoff met Che at the United Nations and asked “this idealist”: “Can you conceive – however far into the future – a time when there will be free elections in Cuba?” Not waiting for his interpreter, “Guevara broke into laughter at my naively ignorant question. He made it clear that I had no understanding of a true people’s revolution, firmly guided by Maximum Leader Castro.”

If the Cuban Communists were sponsored by the central bankers, how do I account for the CIA’s Bay of Pigs? This probably was designed to fail to enhance Castro’s image and reinforce the Hegelian dialectic. If the US could go to Vietnam “for the sake of democracy,” it could certainty have invaded Cuba officially. This opened the door for the missile crisis and the assassination of Kennedy, both part of the agenda.

How do I account for the CIA’s killing of Guevara? He had served his purpose and was worth more as a martyr.

CONCLUSION

The Rothschilds and Rockefellers must be laughing up their sleeves at all the young rebels who look to Che Guevara as a hero and symbol of equality. In fact, Communism is about the total concentration of all wealth in the hands of the central bankers. In theory, it is “public” but in fact, they own and control the State.

Guevara helped establish a Communist regime in Cuba that may be a harbinger for Obama’s America. Yes the people are all equal – dirt poor. Yes, they get free education and health care but education is indoctrination and people cannot toil for nothing if they are sick.

Essentially you have a prosperous island in which all the wealth seems to flow to the Communists and their sponsors. People work for pittance. Secret police are everywhere and no one can say a word against the regime.

This is where we are heading when we tolerate a government full of New World Order–lies (Communists) and movies that venerate their ruthless agents.
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By Dick Sutphen

Everything I will relate only exposes the surface of the problem. I don’t know how the misuse of these techniques can be stopped. I don’t think it is possible to legislate against that which often cannot be detected. And if those who legislate are using these techniques, there is little hope of affecting laws to govern usage. I do know that the first step to initiate change is to generate interest. In this case, that will probably only result from an underground effort.

In talking about this subject, I am talking about my own business. I know it, and I know how effective it can be. I produce hypnosis and subliminal apes and, in some of my seminars, I use conversion tactics to assist participants to become independent and self-sufficient. But, anytime I use these techniques, I point out that I am using them, and those attending have a choice to participate or not. They also know what the desired result of participation will be.

So, to begin, I want to state the most basic of all facts about brainwashing: In the entire history of man, no one has ever been brainwashed and realized, or believed, that he had been brainwashed. Those who have been brainwashed will usually passionately defend their manipulators, claiming they have simply been “shown the light”… or have been transformed in miraculous ways.

The Birth of Conversion

Conversion is a “nice” word for brainwashing, and any study of brainwashing has to begin with a study of Christian revivalism in eighteenth century America. Apparently, Jonathan Edwards accidentally discovered the techniques...
during a religious crusade in 1735 in Northampton, Massachusetts. By inducing guilt and acute apprehension and by increasing the tension, the “sinners” attending his revival meetings would break down and completely submit. Technically, what Edwards was doing was creating conditions that wipe the brain slate clean so that the mind accepts new programming. The problem was that the new input was negative. He would tell them, “You’re a sinner! You’re destined for hell!” As a result, one person committed suicide and another attempted suicide.

And the neighbors of the suicidal converts related that they, too, were affected so deeply that, although they had found “eternal salvation,” they were obsessed with a diabolical temptation to end their own lives.

Once a preacher, cult leader, manipulator or authority figure creates the brain phase to wipe the brain slate clean, his subjects are wide open. New input, in the form of suggestion, can be substituted for their previous ideas. Because Edwards didn’t turn his message positive until the end of the revival, many accepted the negative suggestions and acted, or desired to act, upon them.

Charles J. Finney was another Christian revivalist who used the same techniques four years later in mass religious conversions in New York. The techniques are still being used today by Christian revivalists, cults, human-potential training, some business rallies, and the United States Armed Services … to name just a few. Let me point out here that I don’t think most revivalist preachers realize or know they are using brainwashing techniques. Edwards simply stumbled upon a technique that really worked, and others copied it and have continued to copy it for over two hundred years. And the more sophisticated our knowledge and technology become, the more effective the conversion. I feel strongly that this is one of the major reasons for the increasing rise in Christian fundamentalism, especially the televised variety, while most of the orthodox religions are declining.

THE THREE BRAIN PHASES

The Christians may have been the first to successfully formulate brainwashing, but we have to look to Pavlov, the Russian scientist, for a technical explanation. In the early 1900s, his work with animals opened the door to further investigations with humans. After the revolution in Russia, Lenin was quick to see the potential of applying Pavlov’s research to his own ends.

Three distinct and progressive states of transmarginal inhibition were identified by Pavlov. The first is the equivalent phase, in which the brain gives the same response to both strong and weak stimuli. The second is the paradoxical phase, in which the brain responds more actively to weak stimuli than to strong. And the third is the ultra-paradoxical phase, in which conditioned responses and behavior patterns turn from positive to negative or from negative to positive.

With the progression through each phase, the degree of conversion becomes more effective and complete. The ways to achieve conversion are many and varied, but the usual first step in religious or political brainwashing is to work on the emotions of an individual or group until they reach an abnormal level of anger, fear, excitement, or nervous tension. The progressive result of this mental condition is to impair judgement and increase suggestibility. The more this condition can be maintained or intensified, the more it compounds. Once catharsis, or the first brain phase, is reached, the complete mental takeover becomes easier. Existing mental programming can be replaced with new patterns of thinking and behavior.

Other often-used physiological weapons to modify normal brain functions are fasting, radical or high sugar diets, physical discomforts, regulation of breathing, mantra chanting in meditation, the disclosure of awesome mysteries, special lighting and sound effects, programmed response to incense, or intoxicating drugs.

The same results can be obtained in contemporary psychiatric treatment by electric shock treatments and even by purposely lowering a person’s blood sugar level with insulin injections. Before I talk about exactly how some of the techniques are applied, I want to point out that hypnosis and conversion tactics are two distinctly different things, and that conversion techniques are far more powerful. However, the two are often mixed, with powerful results.

HOW REVIVALIST PREACHERS WORK

If you’d like to see a revivalist preacher at work, there are probably several in your city. Go to the church or tent early and sit in the rear, about three-quarters of the way back. Most likely repetitive music will be played while the people come in for the service. A repetitive beat, ideally ranging from 45 to 72 beats per minute (a rhythm close to the beat of the human heart), is very hypnotic and can generate an eyes-open altered state of consciousness in a very high percentage of people. And, once you are in an alpha state, you are at least 25 times as suggestible as you would be in full beta consciousness. The music is probably the same for every service, or incorporates the same beat, and many of the people will go into an altered state almost immediately upon entering the sanctuary. Subconsciously, they recall their state of mind from previous services and respond according to the post-hypnotic programming. Watch the people waiting for the service to begin. Many will exhibit external signs of trance – body relaxation and slightly dilated eyes. Often, they begin swaying back and forth with their hands in the air while sitting in their chairs. Next, the assistant pastor will probably come out. He usually speaks with a pretty good “voice roll.”

VOICE ROLL TECHNIQUE

A “voice roll” is a patterned, paced style used by hypnotists when inducing a trance. It is also used by many lawyers, several of whom are highly trained hypnotists, when they desire to entrench a point firmly in the minds of the jurors. A voice roll can sound as if the speaker were talking to the beat of a metronome or it may sound as though he were em-
phonizing every word in a monotonous, patterned style. The words will usually be delivered at the rate of 45 to 60 beats per minute, maximizing the hypnotic effect. Now the assistant pastor begins the "build-up" process. He induces an altered state of consciousness and/or begins to generate the excitement and the expectations of the audience. Next, a group of young women in "sweet and pure" chiffon dresses might come out to sing a song. Gospel songs are great for building excitement and involvement. In the middle of the song, one of the girls might be "smitten by the spirit" and fall down or react as if possessed by the Holy Spirit. This very effectively increases the intensity in the room. At this point, hypnosis and conversion tactics are being mixed. And the result is the audience’s attention span is now totally focused upon the communication while the environment becomes more exciting or tense.

Right about this time, when an eyes-open mass-induced alpha mental state has been achieved, they will usually pass the collection plate or basket. In the background, a 45-beat-per-minute voice roll from the assistant preacher might exhort, "Give to God … Give to God … Give to God …" And the audience does give. God may not get the money, but his already wealthy representative will. Next, the fire-and-brimstone preacher will come out. He induces fear and increases the tension by talking about "the devil," "going to hell," or the forthcoming Armageddon. In the last such rally I attended, the preacher talked about the blood that would soon be running out of every faucet in the land. He was also obsessed with a "bloody axe of God," which everyone had seen hanging above the pulpit the previous week. I have no doubt that everyone saw it – the power of suggestion given to hundreds of people in hypnosis assures that at least 10 to 25 percent would see whatever he suggested they see.

In most revivalist gatherings, "testifying" or "witnessing" usually follows the fear-based sermon. People from the audience come up on stage and relate their stories. "I was crippled and now I can walk!" "I had arthritis and now it's gone!" It is a psychological manipulation that works. After listening to numerous case histories of miraculous healings, the average guy in the audience with a minor problem is sure he can be healed. The room is charged with fear, guilt, intense excitement, and expectations. Now those who want to be healed are frequently lined up around the edge of the room, or they are told to come down to the front. The preacher might touch them on the head firmly and scream, "Be healed!" This releases the psychic energy and, for many, catharsis results. Catharsis is a purging of repressed emotions. Individuals might cry, fall down or even go into spasms. And if catharsis is effected, they stand a chance of being healed. In catharsis (one of the three brain phases mentioned earlier), the brain-slate is temporarily wiped clean and the new suggestion is accepted.

For some, the healing may be permanent. For many, it will last four days to a week, which is, incidentally, how long a hypnotic suggestion given to a somnambulistic subject will usually last. Even if the healing doesn’t last, if they come back every week, the power of suggestion may continually override the problem … or sometimes, sadly, it can mask a physical problem which could prove to be very detrimental to the individual in the long run. I’m not saying that legitimate healings do not take place. They do. Maybe the individual was ready to let go of the negativity that caused the problem in the first place; maybe it was the work of God. Yet I contend that it can be explained with existing knowledge of brain/mind function.

The techniques and staging will vary from church to church. Many use “speaking in tongues” to generate catharsis in some while the spectacle creates intense excitement in the observers.

The use of hypnotic techniques by religions is sophisticated, and professionals are assuring that they become even more effective. A man in Los Angeles is designing, building, and reworking a lot of churches around the country. He tells ministers what they need and how to use it. This man’s track record indicates that the congregation and the monetary income will double if the minister follows his instructions. He admits that about 80 percent of his efforts are in the sound system and lighting.

Powerful sound and the proper use of lighting are of primary importance in inducing an altered state of consciousness – I’ve been using them for years in my own seminars. However, my participants are fully aware of the process and what they can expect as a result of their participation.

SIX CONVERSION TECHNIQUES

Cults and human-potential organizations are always looking for new converts. To attain them, they must also create a brain-phase. And they often need to do it within a short space of time – a weekend, or maybe even a day. The following are the six primary techniques used to generate the conversion.

The meeting or training takes place in an area where participants are cut off from the outside world. This may be any place: a private home, a remote or rural setting, or even a hotel ballroom where the participants are allowed only limited bathroom usage. In human-potential trainings, the controllers will give a lengthy talk about the importance of "keeping agreements" in life. The participants are told that if they don’t keep agreements, their life will never work. It’s a good
idea to keep agreements, but the controllers are subverting a positive human value for selfish purposes. The participants vow to themselves and their trainer that they will keep their agreements. Anyone who does not will be intimidated into agreement or forced to leave.

The next step is to agree to complete training, thus assuring a high percentage of conversions for the organizations. They will usually have to agree not to take drugs, smoke, and sometimes not to eat … or they are given such short meal breaks that it creates tension. The real reason for the agreements is to alter internal chemistry, which generates anxiety and hopefully causes at least a slight malfunction of the nervous system, which in turn increases the conversion potential. Before the gathering is complete, the agreements will be used to ensure that the new converts go out and find new participants. They are intimidated into agreeing to do so before they leave. Since the importance of keeping agreements is so high on their priority list, the converts will twist the arms of everyone they know, attempting to talk them into attending a free introductory session offered at a future date by the organization.

The new converts are zealots. In fact, the inside term for merchandising the largest and most successful human-potential training is, “sell it by zealot!” At least a million people are graduates and a good percentage have been left with a mental activation button that assures their future loyalty and assistance if the guru figure or organization calls. Think about the potential political implications of hundreds of thousands of zealots programmed to campaign for their guru.

Be wary of an organization of this type that offers follow-up sessions after the seminar. Follow-up sessions might be weekly meetings or inexpensive seminars given on a regular basis which the organization will attempt to talk you into taking – or any regularly scheduled event used to maintain control. As the early Christian revivalists found, long-term control is dependent upon a good follow-up system.

All right. Now, let’s look at the second tip-off that indicates conversion tactics are being used. A schedule is maintained that causes physical and mental fatigue. This is primarily accomplished by long hours in which the participants are given no opportunity for relaxation or reflection.

The third tip-off: techniques used to increase the tension in the room or environment.

Number four: uncertainty. I could spend hours relating various techniques to increase tension and generate uncertainty. Basically, the participants are concerned about being “put on the spot” or encountered by the trainers, guilt feelings are played upon, participants are tempted to verbally relate their innermost secrets to the other participants or forced to take part in activities that emphasize removing their masks.

One of the most successful human-potential seminars forces the participants to stand on a stage in front of the entire audience while being verbally attacked by the trainers. A public opinion poll, conducted a few years ago, showed that the number one most-fearful situation an individual could encounter is to speak to an audience. It ranked above window washing outside the 85th floor of an office building. So you can imagine the fear and tension this situation generates within the participants. Many faint, but most cope with the stress by mentally going away. They literally go into an alpha state, which automatically makes them many times as suggestible as they normally are. And another loop of the downward spiral into conversion is successfully effected.

The fifth clue that conversion tactics are being used is the introduction of jargon – new terms that have meaning only to the “insiders” who participate. Vicious language is also frequently used, purposely, to make participants uncomfortable.

The final tip-off is that there is no humor in the communications … at least until the participants are converted. Then, merry-making and humor are highly desirable as symbols of the new joy the participants have supposedly “found.”

I’m not saying that good does not result from participation in such gatherings. It can and does. But I contend it is important for people to know what has happened and to be aware that continual involvement may not be in their best interest. Over the years, I’ve conducted professional seminars to teach people to be hypnotists, trainers, and counselors. I’ve had many of those who conduct trainings and rallies come to me and say, “I’m here because I know that what I’m doing works, but I don’t know why.” After showing them how and why, many have gotten out of the business or have decided to approach it differently or in a much more loving and supportive manner.

Many of these trainers have become personal friends, and it scares us all to have experienced the power of one person with a microphone and a room full of people. Add a little charisma and you can count on a high percentage of conversions. The sad truth is that a high percentage of people want to give away their power – they are true “believers!” Cult gatherings or human-potential trainings are an ideal environment to observe first-hand what is technically called the “Stockholm Syndrome.” This is a situation in which those who are intimidated, controlled, or made to suffer, begin to love, admire, and even sometimes sexually desire their controllers or captors.

But let me inject a word of warning here: if you think you can attend such gatherings and not be affected, you are probably wrong. A perfect example is the case of a woman who
went to Haiti on a Guggenheim Fellowship to study Haitian Voodoo. In her report, she related how the music eventually induced uncontrollable bodily movement and an altered state of consciousness. Although she understood the process and thought herself above it, when she began to feel herself become vulnerable to the music, she attempted to fight it and turned away. Anger or resistance almost always assures conversion. A few moments later she was possessed by the music and began dancing in a trance around the Voodoo meeting house. A brain phase had been induced by the music and excitement, and she awoke feeling reborn. The only hope of attending such gatherings without being affected is to be a Buddha and allow no positive or negative emotions to surface. Few people are capable of such detachment.

Before I go on, let’s go back to the six tip-offs to conversion. I want to mention the United States Government and military boot camp. The Marine Corps talks about breaking men down before “rebuilding” them as new men — as marines! Well, that is exactly what they do, the same way a cult breaks its people down and rebuilds them as happy flower sellers on your local street corner. Every one of the six conversion techniques are used in boot camp. Considering the needs of the military, I’m not making a judgement as to whether that is good or bad. It is a fact that the men are effectively brain-washed. Those who won’t submit must be discharged or spend much of their time in the brig.

**DECOGNITION PROCESS**

Once the initial conversion is effected, cults, armed services, and similar groups cannot have cynicism among their members. Members must respond to commands and do as they are told, otherwise they are dangerous to the organizational control. This is normally accomplished as a three-step Decognition Process.

**Step One** is alertness reduction: The controllers cause the nervous system to malfunction, making it difficult to distinguish between fantasy and reality. This can be accomplished in several ways. Poor diet is one; watch out for brownies and Koolaid. The sugar throws the nervous system off. More subtle is the “spiritual diet” used by many cults. They eat only vegetables and fruits; without the grounding of grains, nuts, seeds, dairy products, fish or meat, an individual becomes mentally “spacey.” Inadequate sleep is another primary way to reduce alertness, especially when combined with long hours of work or intense physical activity. Also, being bombarded with intense and unique experiences achieves the same result.

**Step Two** is programmed confusion: You are mentally assaulted while your alertness is being reduced as in Step One. This is accomplished with a deluge of new information, lectures, discussion groups, encounters or one-to-one processing, which usually amounts to the controller bombarding the individual with questions. During this phase of decognition, reality and illusion often merge and perverted logic is likely to be accepted.

**Step Three** is thought stopping: Techniques are used to cause the mind to go “flat.” These are altered-state-of-consciousness techniques that initially induce calmness by giving the mind something simple to deal with and focusing awareness. The continued use brings on a feeling of elation and eventually hallucination. The result is the reduction of thought and eventually, if used long enough, the cessation of all thought and withdrawal from everyone and everything except that which the controllers direct. The takeover is then complete. It is important to be aware that when members or participants are instructed to use “thought-stopping” techniques, they are told that they will benefit by so doing: they will become “better soldiers” or “find enlightenment.”

There are three primary techniques used for thought stopping. The first is marching: the thump, thump, thump beat literally generates self-hypnosis and thus great susceptibility to suggestion.

The second thought stopping technique is meditation. If you spend an hour to an hour and a half a day in meditation, after a few weeks, there is a great probability that you will not return to full beta consciousness. You will remain in a fixed state of alpha for as long as you continue to meditate. I’m not saying this is bad — if you do it yourself. It may be very beneficial. But it is a fact that you are causing your mind to go flat. I’ve worked with meditators on an EEG machine and the results are conclusive: the more you meditate, the flatter your mind becomes until, eventually and especially if used to excess or in combination with decognition, all thought ceases. Some spiritual groups see this as nirvana — which is bullshit. It is simply a predictable physiological result. And if heaven on earth is non-thinking and non-involvement, I really question why we are here.

The third thought-stopping technique is chanting, and often chanting in meditation. “Speaking in tongues” could also be included in this category. All three-stopping techniques produce an altered state of consciousness.

This may be very good if you are controlling the process, for you also control the input. I personally use at least one self-hypnosis programming session every day and I know how beneficial it is for me. But you need to know if you use these techniques to the degree of remaining continually in alpha that, although you’ll be very mellow, you’ll also be more suggestive.
TRUE BELIEVERS AND MASS MOVEMENTS

Before ending this section on conversion, I want to talk about the people who are most susceptible to it and about Mass Movements. I am convinced that at least a third of the population is what Eric Hoffer calls “true believers.” They are joiners and followers, people who want to give away their power. They look for answers, meaning, and enlightenment outside themselves.

Hoffer, who wrote The True Believer, a classic on mass movements, says, “true believers are not intent on bolstering and advancing a cherished self, but are those craving to be rid of unwanted self. They are followers, not because of a desire for self-advancement, but because it can satisfy their passion for self-renunciation!” Hoffer also says that true believers “are eternally incomplete and eternally insecure”!

I know this from my own experience. In my years of communicating concepts and conducting trainings, I have run into them again and again. All I can do is attempt to show them that the only thing to seek is the True Self within. Their personal answers are to be found there and there alone. I communicate that the basics of spirituality are self-responsibility and self-actualization.

But most of the true believers just tell me that I’m not spiritual and go looking for someone who will give them the dogma and structure they desire.

Never underestimate the potential danger of these people. They can easily be molded into fanatics who will gladly work and die for their holy cause. It is a substitute for their lost faith in themselves and offers them as a substitute for individual hope.

The Moral Majority is made up of true believers. All cults are composed of true believers. You’ll find them in politics, churches, businesses, and social cause groups. They are the fanatics in these organizations.

Mass Movements will usually have a charismatic leader. The followers want to convert others to their way of living or impose a new way of life – if necessary, by legislating laws forcing others to their view, as evidenced by the activities of the Moral Majority. This means enforcement by guns or punishment, for that is the bottomline in law enforcement.

A common hatred, enemy, or devil is essential to the success of a mass movement. The Born-Again Christians have Satan himself, but that isn’t enough – they’ve added the occult, the New Age thinkers and, lately, all those who oppose their integration of church and politics, as evidenced in their political reelection campaigns against those who oppose their views. In revolutions, the devil is usually the ruling power or aristocracy. Some human-potential movements are far too clever to ask their graduates to join anything, thus labeling themselves as a cult – but, if you look closely, you’ll find that their devil is anyone and everyone who hasn’t taken their training.

There are mass movements without devils but they seldom attain major status. The True Believers are mentally unbalanced or insecure people, or those without hope or friends. People don’t look for allies when they love, but they do when they hate or become obsessed with a cause. And those who desire a new life and a new order feel the old ways must be eliminated before the new order can be built.

PERSUASION TECHNIQUES

Persuasion isn’t technically brainwashing but it is the manipulation of the human mind by another individual, without the manipulated party being aware what caused his opinion shift. I only have time to very basically introduce you to a few of the thousands of techniques in use today, but the basis of persuasion is always to access your right brain. The left half of your brain is analytical and rational. The right side is creative and imaginative. That is overly simplified but it makes my point. So, the idea is to distract the left brain and keep it busy. Ideally, the persuader generates an eyes-open altered state of consciousness, causing you to shift from beta awareness into alpha; this can be measured on an EEG machine.

First, let me give you an example of distracting the left brain. Politicians use these powerful techniques all the time; lawyers use many variations which, I’ve been told, they call “tightening the noose.”

Assume for a moment that you are watching a politician give a speech. First, he might generate what is called a “yes set.” These are statements that will cause listeners to agree; they might even unknowingly nod their heads in agreement. Next come the truisms. These are usually facts that could be debated but, once the politician has his audience agreeing, the odds are in the politician’s favor that the audience won’t stop to think for themselves, thus continuing to agree. Last comes the suggestion. This is what the politician wants you to do and, since you have been agreeing all along, you could be persuaded to accept the suggestion. Now, if you’ll listen closely to my political speech, you’ll find that the first three are the "yes set," the next three are truisms and the last is the suggestion.

“Ladies and gentlemen: are you angry about high food prices? Are you tired of astronomical gas prices? Are you sick of out-of-control inflation? Well, you know the Other Party allowed 18 percent inflation last year; you know crime has increased 50 percent nationwide in the last 12 months, and you know your paycheck hardly covers your expenses any more.
Well, the answer to resolving these problems is to elect me, John Jones, to the U.S. Senate."

And I think you’ve heard all that before. But you might also watch for what are called Imbedded Commands. As an example: On key words, the speaker would make a gesture with his left hand, which research has shown is more apt to access your right brain. Today’s media-oriented politicians and spellbinders are often carefully trained by a whole new breed of specialist who are using every trick in the book – both old and new – to manipulate you into accepting their candidate.

The concepts and techniques of neuro-linguistics are so heavily protected that I found out the hard way that to even talk about them publicly or in print results in threatened legal action. Yet neuro-linguistic training is readily available to anyone willing to devote the time and pay the price. It is some of the most subtle and powerful manipulation I have yet been exposed to. A good friend who recently attended a two-week seminar on neuro-linguistics found that many of those she talked to during the breaks were government people.

Another technique that I’m just learning about is unbelievably slippery; it is called an interspersal technique and the idea is to say one thing with words but plant a subconscious impression of something else in the minds of the listeners and/or watchers.

Let me give you an example. Assume you are watching a television commentator make the following statement: “Senator Johnson is assisting local authorities to clear up the stupid mistakes of companies contributing to the nuclear waste problems.” It sounds like a statement of fact, but, if the speaker emphasizes the right word, and especially if he makes the proper hand gestures on the key words, you could be left with the subconscious impression that Senator Johnson is stupid. That was the subliminal goal of the statement and the speaker cannot be called to account for anything.

Persuasion techniques are also frequently used on a much smaller scale with just as much effectiveness. The insurance salesman knows his pitch is likely to be much more effective if he can get you to visualize something in your mind. This is right-brain communication. For instance, he might pause in his conversation, look slowly around your livingroom and say, “Can you just imagine this beautiful home burning to the ground?” Of course you can! It is one of your unconscious fears and, when he forces you to visualize it, you are more likely to be manipulated into signing his insurance policy.

The Hare Krishnas, operating in every airport, use what I call shock and confusion techniques to distract the left brain and communicate directly with the right brain. While waiting for a plane, I once watched one operate for over an hour. He had a technique of almost jumping in front of someone. Initially, his voice was loud then dropped as he made his pitch to take a book and contribute money to the cause. Usually, when people are shocked, they immediately withdraw. In this case they were shocked by the strange appearance, sudden materialization and loud voice of the Hare Krishna devotee. In other words, the people went into an alpha state for security because they didn’t want to confront the reality before them. In alpha, they were highly suggestible so they responded to the suggestion of taking the book. The moment they took the book, they felt guilty and responded to the second suggestion: give money. We are all conditioned that if someone gives us something, we have to give them something in return – in that case, it was money. While watching this hustler, I was close enough to notice that many of the people he stopped exhibited an outward sign of alpha – their eyes were actually dilated.

SUBLIMINAL PROGRAMMING

Subliminals are hidden suggestions that only your subconscious perceives. They can be audio, hidden behind music, or visual, airbrushed into a picture, flashed on a screen so fast that you don’t consciously see them, or cleverly incorporated into a picture or design.

Most audio subliminal reprogramming tapes offer verbal suggestions recorded at a low volume. I question the efficacy of this technique – if subliminals are not perceptible, they cannot be effective, and subliminals recorded below the audible threshold are therefore useless. The oldest audio subliminal technique uses a voice that follows the volume of the music so subliminals are impossible to detect without a parametric equalizer. But this technique is patented and, when I wanted to develop my own line of subliminal audiocassettes, negotiations with the patent holder proved to be unsatisfactory. My attorney obtained copies of the patents which I gave to some talented Hollywood sound engineers, asking them to create a new technique. They found a way to psycho-acoustically modify and synthesize the suggestions so that they are projected in the same chord and frequency as the music, thus giving them the effect of being part of the music. But we found that in using this technique, there is no way to reduce various frequencies to detect the subliminals. In other words, although the suggestions are being heard by the subconscious mind, they cannot be monitored with even the most sophisticated equipment.

If we were able to come up with this technique as easily as we did, I can only imagine how sophisticated the technology has become, with unlimited government or advertising funding. And I shudder to think about the propaganda
and commercial manipulation that we are exposed to on a daily basis. There is simply no way to know what is behind the music you hear. It may even be possible to hide a second voice behind the voice to which you are listening.

The series by Wilson Bryan Key, Ph.D., on subliminals in advertising and political campaigns well documents the misuse in many areas, especially printed advertising in newspapers, magazines, and posters.

The big question about subliminals is: do they work? And I guarantee you they do. Not only from the response of those who have used my tapes, but from the results of such programs as the subliminals behind the music in department stores. Supposedly, the only message is instructions to not steal: one East Coast department store chain reported a 37 percent reduction in thefts in the first nine months of testing.

A 1984 article in the technical newsletter, *Brain-Mind Bulletin*, states that as much as 99 percent of our cognitive activity may be “non-conscious,” according to the director of the Laboratory for Cognitive Psychophysiology at the University of Illinois. The lengthy report ends with the statement, “These findings support the use of subliminal approaches such as taped suggestions for weight loss and the therapeutic use of hypnosis and neuro-linguistic programming.”

**MASS MISUSE**

I could relate many stories that support subliminal programming, but I’d rather use my time to make you aware of even more subtle uses of such programming.

I have personally experienced sitting in a Los Angeles auditorium with over ten thousand people who were gathered to listen to a current charismatic figure. Twenty minutes after entering the auditorium, I became aware that I was going in and out of an altered state. Those accompanying me experienced the same thing. Since it is our business, we were aware of what was happening, but those around us were not. By careful observation, what appeared to be spontaneous demonstrations were, in fact, artful manipulations. The only way I could figure that the eyes-open trance had been induced was that a 6- to 7-cycle per second vibration was being piped into the room behind the air conditioner sound. That particular vibration generates alpha, which would render the audience highly susceptible. Ten to 25 percent of the population is capable of a somnambulistic level of altered states of consciousness; for these people, the suggestions of the speaker, if non-threatening, could potentially be accepted as “commands.”

**VIBRATO**

This leads to the mention of vibrato. Vibrato is the tremulous effect imparted in some vocal or instrumental music, and the cycle-per-second range causes people to go into an altered state of consciousness. At one period of English history, singers whose voices contained pronounced vibrato were not allowed to perform publicly because listeners would go into an altered state and have fantasies, often sexual in nature.

People who attend opera or enjoy listening to singers like Mario Lanza are familiar with this altered state induced by the performers.

**ELFs**

Now, let’s carry this awareness a little farther. There are also inaudible ELFs (extra-low frequency waves). These are electromagnetic in nature. One of the primary uses of ELFs is to communicate with our submarines. Dr. Andrija Puharich, a highly respected researcher, in an attempt to warn U.S. officials about Russian use of ELFs, set up an experiment. Volunteers were wired so their brain waves could be measured on an EEG. They were scaled in a metal room that could not be penetrated by a normal signal.

Puharich then beamed ELF waves at the volunteers. ELFs go right through the earth and, of course, right through metal walls. Those inside couldn’t know if the signal was or was not being sent. And Puharich watched the reactions on the technical equipment: 30 percent of those inside the room were taken over by the ELF signal in six to ten seconds.

When I say “taken over,” I mean that their behavior followed the changes anticipated at very precise frequencies. Waves below 6 cycles per second caused the subjects to become very emotionally upset, and even disrupted bodily functions. At 8.2 cycles, they felt very high, an elevated feeling, as though they had been in masterful meditation, learned over a period of years. Eleven to 11.3 cycles induced waves of depressed agitation leading to riotous behavior.

**THE NEUROPHONE**

Dr. Patrick Flanagan is a personal friend of mine. In the early 1960s, as a teenager, Pat was listed as one of the top scientists in the world by *Life* magazine. Among his many inventions was a device he called the neurophone – an electronic instrument that can successfully program suggestions directly through contact with the skin. When he attempted to patent the device, the government demanded that he prove it worked. When he did, the National Security Agency confiscated the neurophone. It took Pat two years of legal battle to get his invention back.
In using the device, you don’t hear or see a thing; it is applied to the skin, which Pat claims is the source of special senses. The skin contains more sensors for heat, touch, pain, vibration, and electrical fields than any other part of the human anatomy.

In one of his recent tests, Pat conducted two identical seminars for a military audience – one seminar one night and one the next night, because the size of the room was not large enough to accommodate all of them at one time. When the first group proved to be very cool and unwilling to respond, Patrick spent the next day making a special tape to play at the second seminar. The tape instructed the audience to become “tingly.” The tape was played through the neurophone, which was connected to a wire he placed along the ceiling of the room. There were no speakers, so no sound could be heard, yet the message was successfully transmitted from that wire directly into the brains of the audience. They were warm and receptive, their hands tingled and they responded, according to programming, in other ways that I cannot mention here.

**TELEVISION**

The more we find out about how human beings work through today’s highly advanced technological research, the more we learn to control human beings. And what probably scares me the most is that the medium for takeover is already in place! The television set in your living room and bedroom is doing a lot more than just entertaining you.

Before I continue, let me point out something else about an altered state of consciousness. When you go into an altered state, you transfer into right brain, which results in the internal release of the body’s own opiates: enkephalins and Beta-endorphins, chemically almost identical to opium. In other words, it feels good, and you want to come back for more.

Recent tests by researcher Herbert Krugman showed that, while viewers were watching TV, right-brain activity outnumbered left-brain activity by a ratio of two to one. Put more simply, the viewers were in an altered state, in trance more often than not. They were getting their beta-endorphin “fix.”

To measure attention spans, psychophysiologicalist Thomas Mulholland of the Veterans Hospital in Bedford, Massachusetts, attached young viewers to an EEG machine that was wired to shut the TV set off whenever the children’s brains produced a majority of alpha waves. Although the children were told to concentrate, only a few could keep the set on for more than 30 seconds!

Most viewers are already hypnotized. To deepen the trance is easy. One simple way is to place a blank, black frame every 32 frames in the film that is being projected. This creates a 45 beat per minute pulsation perceived only by the subconscious mind – the ideal pace to generate deep hypnosis.

The commercials or suggestions presented following this alpha-inducing broadcast are much more likely to be accepted by the viewer. The high percentage of the viewing audience that has somnambulistic-depth ability could very well accept the suggestions as commands – as long as those commands did not ask the viewer to do something contrary to his morals, religion, or self-preservation.

The medium for takeover is here. By the age of 16, children have spent 10,000 to 15,000 hours watching television – that is more time than they spend in school! In the average home, the TV set is on for six hours and 44 minutes per day – an increase of nine minutes from last year and three times the average rate of increase during the 1970s. It obviously isn’t getting better. We are rapidly moving into an alpha-level world – very possibly the Orwellian world of 1984 – placid, glassy-eyed, and responding obediently to instructions.

A research project by Jacob Jacoby, a Purdue University psychologist, found that of 2,700 people tested, 90 percent misunderstood even such simple viewing fare as commercials and “Barnaby Jones.” Only minutes after watching, the typical viewer missed 23 to 36 percent of the questions about what he or she had seen. Of course they did – they were going in and out of trance! If you go into a deep trance, you must be instructed to remember – otherwise you automatically forget.

I have just touched the tip of the iceberg. When you start to combine subliminal messages behind the music, subliminal visuals projected on the screen, hypnotically produced visual effects, sustained musical beats at a trance-inducing pace – you have extremely effective brainwashing.

Every hour that you spend watching the TV set you become more conditioned. And, in case you thought there was a law against any of these things, guess again. There isn’t! There are a lot of powerful people who obviously prefer things exactly the way they are. Maybe they have plans for?
My soul showed me that the world in which I live is made up of lies and illusions. Reality can only be perceived with the soul’s eyes. With her help, I learned that behind the income tax system – and all other systems – we find slaves without chains, human beings who are ignorant and unconscious of being the property of the State. They trust their government enough to entrust it with their children, their health, their property, their entire lives. Citizens cannot guess that the State is a mere legislator and tax collector working for the Bank of Canada, a cartel of private bankers.

When I became aware of this situation, I decided to put an end to my life as the subject of a ’higher’ authority. How could I do this? By doing the exact opposite of what I had been doing before. How does a slave behave? Like a domestic dog. He submits to the will of his master who uses it as he wishes. In return, the master feeds it and brings it security. The more docile is the dog, the better he gets treated.

I decided to escape from slavery at all costs. I renounced the rights and privileges guaranteed by the State and stopped fulfilling the required duties and obligations. Concretely, I let go of health and other insurance, bank accounts, credit cards, driving license, property, investment, etc. I did not ask for retirement savings or old age pension. Simultaneously, I
After Prison: The Slave’s Escape

LEGAL AFTERTHOUGHTS
May 28th to June 23rd, 2008

Since my unconditional release on May 2008, I enjoy saying to everyone who wants to hear the following quote, “Real freedom is inside.” Nowadays, I add, “... but it’s much better outside!” I savour every moment, whatever the weather. I slowly go through all the mail that I received. There are many moving testimonials. At night, I still try to lean against the wall when I sleep. Surprise! There is no wall. Jail life is still very present in my mind. I often dream about it.

On Thursday, June 5th, I go back to Maison Tanguay to get my money back from the commissary account. I deposit the amount in Martha’s account. I also leave a letter for Frankie. I meet her parents on my way out. They have come to visit their daughter. Right away, I guess that it must be them – aged, Italian-looking. They take a while before realizing who I am. Finally, they understand and Frankie’s mother embraces me. It feels strange to be able to get in and out of the jail’s front door, rather than through the garage in a prison van!

Assessing the Situation

To anyone who asks me what I have gained from my time in jail, I answer, “It was an incredible experience for the evolution of my consciousness and it convinced me once and for all that the legal-police-judicial-detention system is ABSURD.”

I knew it intellectually, but now I know it in my own cells. I felt it distinctly. My true identity, asserting, and honouring it.

This book is infinitely more than just a moving prison story. Of course, you will dive with me right into the heart of the jail environment, living the daily turmoil, suffering and confusion of those who often spend a good part of their lives in such a place. But you will also discover your own true identity as a sovereign being. You will understand why a human being, once it has reached a certain point in his evolution, cannot continue to behave as an intelligent and fearful animal. You will learn that the human species has finally reached the end of a long process in the evolution of consciousness.

Our species is presently undergoing a huge mutation!

Before diving with me into this adventure with the legal and prison systems, you need to understand the various parts of the book. The first section explains my life after learning, on March 3rd, 2008, that an arrest warrant was issued in the name of LANCTÔT GHISLAINE. It stops with my release from jail on May 28th, 2008. By leafing through the pages of my journal, you will live with me the daily events of these intense few months. The second part contains a summary of my evolution of consciousness between 1993 and 2007 and talks about human nature and the legal world. The third part includes the discoveries I have made since my release from jail, which brought me to celebrate, on July 31st, 2008, the death of the slave Marie Eva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt.
suading them that it is for their own good. It is the law of animality, the law of the strongest, the law of the fishbowl of death, where every one eventually dies, sooner or later.

As we all wait for this fatal outcome, we try to save our hide as long as possible. Some attack, others defend themselves. There is a permanent state of war and violence. This fishbowl of death represents the WORLD OF ILLUSION in which we live, a world of lies and deceit.

The person who obeys her soul – the bearer of truth – and who acts according to her conscience goes against the law of the fishbowl and gets eliminated by the authorities. George Orwell, the author of the famous book 1984, explains it well, “In times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act.” In conclusion, to survive in this realm of illusion, lying and cheating become compulsory. In order to succeed and climb the social ladder, one must lie and cheat more than the others. Is this the only solution available? Inside the fishbowl, yes!

Is this situation present outside the fishbowl? No! In the WORLD OF REALITY, truth and harmony reign. There are no laws, policemen, courts, prisons – nor any tax office. Slavery and hierarchy become ancient, obsolete concepts. It is the same planet, but seen with another consciousness, through the eyes of individuals who know who they really are. They have found their true identity and behave as sovereign beings. As they are conscious of being the creatrix of all, they are no longer the slaves of fear. They know that their soul has created and will continue to create whatever happens to them. That is why they agree to give their soul free rein, and to accept what she will decide. They no longer worry about results and live the present moment serenely. They need no security or protection. They are free!

There is an old belief in the world of illusion that, as a human being, I only have two options – either I live inside society and I agree to be a slave or I behave as a free person, leaving society to go live in a cave, deep in the bush. This booby-trap belief is typical of the world of illusion and its manipulative lies. It makes me think of politicians who give us the choice between an increase in taxes and a reduction of services. It is exactly the same as being given the choice between a slap in the face and a kick in the butt. My answer is always the same; “I want neither. Thanks!” True freedom is internal.

As long as human beings remain separated from their identity as unlimited creators, they will continue to believe that there is someone bigger than they. They will live in the duality of creator/creature, good/bad, right/wrong. It is this separation that brings about laws, policemen, courts and prisons. The poor powerless sheep keeps maintaining disastrous systems that he creates in his image and likeness, and of which he remains the slave. True prison is internal. The problem is to find a way out of this vicious circle.

**Evading From the Inner Prison**

During the months that separate the two court hearings – that of May 28th and June 23rd – I remain very calm and centred. At the same time, a constant anxiety lives inside me.

I want to find the key to this enigma of human slavery. I now realize that I am born as a slave, I live as a slave, and I die as a slave. How can I get out of this trap? Many questions come up inside me, and I find a lot of answers.

- I understand that Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt is the physical person who was born before LANCTÔT GHISLAINE, the fictitious person, was created by the government. It is that physical person who allows for the existence of her Siamese sister, the fictitious person. Without Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt, the legal fictitious person would cease to exist since she is a parasite without a life of her own.
- Why does Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt accept slavery? Because she has forgotten who she really is and thinks that she is a poor, fearful, and powerless sheep who needs the protection of a shepherd, along with the rights and privileges that he wishes to grant the sheep.
- When will LANCTÔT GHISLAINE cease to exist? When her owner, the government, will cancel her fictitious identity or when she will lose her Siamese sister, Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt.
- When will Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt leave her? When she dies. Either she dies FROM fear and passes away, or she dies TO fear and is transformed. In both cases, the fearful sheep ceases to exist. No more fear, no more sheep. Both Siamese sisters disappear.
- In reality, who is Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt? I am told that she is a baby who came into this world on the 28th of June, 1941. A poor, limited, fearful and powerless creature, born from parents who are themselves defenceless creatures fashioned by an external creator. Ewe, daughter of sheep from generation to generation, she needs a shepherd to keep her safe and secure. This shepherd declares that she is the citizen of a country and identifies her with a name in capital letters and a social security number. She is now part of his livestock and becomes his property. This ewe is able to enjoy the rights and privileges granted by the shepherd to all members of his sheepfold. At the first use of a privilege, the ewe unknowingly agrees to submit to the shepherd’s law and to fulfill the necessary duties. This includes the obligation to be cropped at the herdsman’s will. That is how ewe becomes a taxpayer.
- Are both Siamese sisters destined to stay together throughout their whole lives? Yes, because the physical person, Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt is totally immersed in fear. She is under the governance of her ego, her survival autopilot. She needs laws to guarantee her safety and her protection, and a government to insure that these laws are respected. One of the Siamese twins is given the rights of the citizen. The other supplies the privileges that are granted to her.
- Can Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt get rid of LANCTÔT GHISLAINE without dying? No, unless she accepts the new governance of her soul. Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt cannot exclude her Siamese sister, LANCTÔT GHISLAINE and hope to become free. On the contrary, it is only by accepting the fact that the fictitious person is her...
creation, and by including her, that she can finally make peace with her. In doing so, she will realize that she needed this straw woman to live the experience of human slavery – with or without chains. The suffering has to become so unbearable that she finally decides to transform from the state of an animal to that of a sovereign individual. Without this decision, she will never dissolve the wall of death, which represents her biggest fear.

1. When does Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt die?

She has the choice between two ways of committing suicide.

The first is typical of the world of illusion. It consists of dying FROM fear. The body dies because it is worn out and cannot function anymore. He calls death to his help, so that he can be freed from the exhaustion of the slave forever fighting for his survival.

The second way consists of dying TO fear, thus allowing the fearful sheep to be transformed into a sovereign mare or the crawling caterpillar to change into a free-roaming butterfly. This takes place when Marie Éva Sophie Ghislaine Lanctôt lets go of her identity as a poor, powerless creature who remains separated from her creative half. From then on, she remembers who she really is and finds her true sovereign power. Her soul now governs her body. There is no more need for safety and protection. She stops being afraid of losing what she owns or not having what she wants. The result is no longer important. Experience becomes paramount. That is how she dies to slavery and is transformed into a sovereign being. No longer a sheep, she is not afraid of the wolf and has no more need for a shepherd. She leaves the sheepfold for good and discovers freedom. It is death-without-death, the prelude of life-without-death.

1. Doesn’t a person who is no longer governed by laws become dangerous for society? “There will be total anarchy if everybody does this,” people tell me when I speak about this. “Quite the opposite!” is my answer. The soul has access to the world of truth and justness. She will never tell me to kill or steal. She is infinite love and can only order actions that are in line with her identity. Furthermore, she knows that she is ALL, and she treats everything as herself, with love. That is the real recipe for peace, order, and joy. Welcome to the world of reality!

**Breaking the Last Prison Bars**

This new understanding encourages me to continue on the road to individual sovereignty that I have been following for several years. I now know that the two Siamese sisters – the legal and physical persons – must both die to allow the birth of the sovereign being. Consequently, in order to end slavery, I need to let go of privileges and rights. Giving up all privileges is already a huge endeavour. To achieve this, I cannot own any more possessions. In my case, this is done. I have nothing any more. But to abdicate all the rights that are guaranteed by the Constitution of one’s country is to renounce the status of citizenship. In this case, I am no more – I AM EVERYTHING.

I maintain my decision to eliminate all rights and privileges. A big question soon arises. Am I going to renew my passport? The due date falls on July 30th of this year. I consult a few specialists before making the final decision. The answer becomes clear – using a passport is a privilege. In order to find my real identity, I must drop my false identity. So, I don’t renew my passport, in spite of the risk of not being able to travel outside Canada any more. By doing this, I also give up my very last ID card as a Canadian citizen.

This inspires me to answer the question, “What is a citizen?” It is the subject of a country. This status gives the person some rights and privileges and subjects her to duties and responsibilities. The word “subject” – from the Latin *subjectum* – designates one who is subordinated. Thus, a citizen is the subject of a sovereign. And who is this sovereign? It is a country or monarch – a false sovereign. Real sovereignty is individual and internal.

From now on, I belong to no one except my own sovereign soul. I am finally becoming conscious of the fact that I am no longer a citizen of Canada, or any other country, or even the world. I let go of my Canadian citizenship in favour of my individual sovereignty.

Does that mean that I am stateless? Through legal information, I learn that statelessness results from a “transfer of sovereign power”. Is that what I have done? I have transferred my subjection from the outside sovereign power of the Crown to the internal sovereign power of my soul. Does this apply to the definition of a stateless person? No. It is very different. A stateless person is still a slave, with or without an official sovereign. There is no true liberation from slavery without a transformation of consciousness.

Today, I finally understand this quote from The Mother, which had so inspired me in the past:

> “I belong to no nation, no civilization, no society, no race, but to the Divine.”
> I obey no master, no rules, no law, no social convention but the Divine. To Him, I have surrendered all will, life and self: for Him, I am ready to give all my blood, drop by drop, if such is His will, with complete joy, and nothing in his service can be sacrifice, for all is perfect delight.”

---

3 The Divine: refers here to the Supreme Being inherent in all that exists, as opposed to the outside God of the realm of illusion.
WHERE DID THE WORD ‘MARYJUANA’ COME FROM? In the mid 1930s, the M-word was created to tarnish the good image and phenomenal history of the hemp plant – as you will read. The facts cited here, with references, are generally verifiable in the *Encyclopedia Britannica* which was printed on hemp paper for 150 years:

✔ All schoolbooks were made from hemp or flax paper until the 1880s. (Jack Frazier. *Hemp Paper Reconsidered*. 1974.)

✔ It was legal to pay taxes with hemp in America from 1631 until the early 1800s. (*LA Times*. Aug. 12, 1981.)

✔ Refusing to grow hemp in America during the 17th and 18th centuries was against the law! You could be jailed in Virginia for refusing to grow hemp from 1763 to 1769 (G. M. Herdon. *Hemp in Colonial Virginia*).

✔ George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and other founding fathers grew hemp. (*Washington and Jefferson Diaries*. Jefferson smuggled hemp seeds from China to France then to America.)

✔ Benjamin Franklin owned one of the first paper mills in America, and it processed hemp. Also, the War of 1812 was fought over hemp. Napoleon wanted to cut off Moscow’s export to England. (*Jack Herer. Emperor Wears No Clothes.*)

✔ For thousands of years, 90% of all ships’ sails and rope were made from hemp. The word ‘canvas’ is Dutch for *cannabis*. (*Webster’s New World Dictionary.*)

✔ 80% of all textiles, fabrics, clothes, linen, drapes, bed sheets, etc., were made from hemp until the 1820s, with the introduction of the cotton gin.

By Doug Yurchey

THEY SAY MARIJUANA IS DANGEROUS. POT IS NOT HARMFUL TO THE HUMAN BODY OR MIND. MARIJUANA does not pose a threat to the general public. Marijuana is very much a danger to the oil companies, alcohol, tobacco industries and a large number of chemical corporations. Big businesses, with plenty of dollars and influence, have suppressed the truth from the people. The truth is, if marijuana was utilized for its vast array of commercial products, it would create an industrial atomic bomb! The super rich have conspired to spread misinformation about the plant that, if used properly, would ruin their companies.
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The first Bibles, maps, charts, Betsy Ross’s flag, the first drafts of the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution were made from hemp. (U.S. Government Archives.)

The first crop grown in many states was hemp. 1850 was a peak year for Kentucky producing 40,000 tons. Hemp was the largest cash crop until the 20th century. (State Archives.)

Oldest known records of hemp farming go back 5000 years in China, although hemp industrialization probably goes back to ancient Egypt.

Rembrandt’s, Van Gogh’s, Gainsborough’s, as well as most early canvas paintings, were principally painted on hemp linen.

In 1916, the U.S. Government predicted that by the 1940s all paper would come from hemp and that no more trees need to be cut down. Government studies report that 1 acre of hemp equals 4.1 acres of trees. Plans were in the works to implement such programs. (U.S. Department of Agriculture Archives.)

Quality paints and varnishes were made from hemp seed oil until 1937. 58,000 tons of hemp seeds were used in America for paint products in 1935. (Sherman Williams Paint Co. testimony before the U.S. Congress against the 1937 Marijuana Tax Act.)

Henry Ford’s first Model-T was built to run on hemp gasoline and the car itself was constructed from hemp! On his large estate, Ford was photographed among his hemp fields. The car, ‘grown from the soil,’ had hemp plastic panels whose impact strength was 10 times stronger than steel. (Popular Mechanics, 1941.)

In 1938, hemp was called ‘Billion Dollar Crop.’ It was the first time a cash crop had a business potential to exceed a billion dollars. (Popular Mechanics, Feb. 1938.)

Mechanical Engineering Magazine (Feb. 1938) published an article entitled ‘The Most Profitable and Desirable Crop that Can be Grown.’ It stated that if hemp was cultivated using 20th century technology, it would be the single largest agricultural crop in the U.S. and the rest of the world.

The following information comes directly from the United States Department of Agriculture’s 1942 14-minute film encouraging and instructing ‘patriotic American farmers’ to grow 350,000 acres of hemp each year for the war effort:

‘…[When] Grecian temples were new, hemp was already old in the service of mankind. For thousands of years, even then, this plant had been grown for cordage and cloth in China and elsewhere in the East. For centuries prior to about 1850, all the ships that sailed the western seas were rigged with hempen rope and sails. For the sailor, no less than the hangman, hemp was indispensable…

Now with Philippine and East Indian sources of hemp in the hands of the Japanese… American hemp must meet the needs of our Army and Navy as well as of our industries…

The Navy’s rapidly dwindling reserves. When that is gone, American hemp will go on duty again; hemp for mooring ships; hemp for tow lines; hemp for tackle and gear; hemp for countless naval uses both on ship and shore. Just as in the days when Old Ironsides sailed the seas victorious with her hempen shrouds and hempen sails. Hemp for victory!”

Certified proof from the Library of Congress, found by the research of Jack Herer, refutes claims of other government agencies that the 1942 USDA film ‘Hemp for Victory’ did not exist.

Hemp cultivation and production do not harm the environment. The USDA Bulletin #404 concluded that hemp produces four times as much pulp with at least four to seven times less pollution.

From Popular Mechanics, February 1938:

“It has a short growing season… It can be grown in any state… The long roots penetrate and break the soil to leave it in perfect condition for the next year’s crop. The dense shock of leaves, 8 to 12 feet above the ground, chokes out weeds. …Hemp, this new crop can add immeasurably to American agriculture and industry.”

In the 1930s, innovations in farm machinery would have caused an industrial revolution when applied to hemp. This single resource could have created millions of new jobs generating thousands of quality products. Hemp, if not made illegal, would have brought America out of the Great Depression.

THE CONSPIRACY

William Randolph Hearst (Citizen Kane) and the Hearst Paper Manufacturing Division of Kimberly Clark owned vast acreage of timberlands. The Hearst Company supplied most paper products. Patty Hearst’s grandfather, a destroyer of nature for his own personal profit, stood to lose billions because of hemp.

In 1937, DuPont patented the processes to make plastics from oil and coal. DuPont’s Annual Report urged stockholders to invest in its new petrochemical division. Synthetics such as plastics, cellophane, celluloid, methanol, nylon, rayon, Dacron, etc., could now be made...
from oil. Natural hemp industrialization would have ruined over 80% of DuPont's business.

Andrew Mellon became Hoover's Secretary of the Treasury and DuPont's primary investor. He appointed his future nephew-in-law, Harry J. Anslinger, to head the Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs.

Secret meetings were held by these financial tycoons. Hemp was declared dangerous and a threat to their billion dollar enterprises. For their dynasties to remain intact, hemp had to go. These men took an obscure Mexican slang word: 'marijuana' and pushed it into the consciousness of America.

MEDIA MANIPULATION

A media blitz of ‘yellow journalism’ raged in the late 1920s and 1930s. Hearst's newspapers ran stories emphasizing the horrors of marijuana. The menace of marijuana made headlines. Readers learned that it was responsible for everything from car accidents to loose morality.

Films like Reefer Madness (1936), Marijuana: Assassin of Youth (1935) and Marijuana: The Devil’s Weed (1936) were propaganda designed by these industrialists to create an enemy. Their purpose was to gain public support so that anti-marijuana laws could be passed.

Examine the following quotes from The Burning Question, aka Reefer Madness:
- a violent narcotic;
- acts of shocking violence;
- incurable insanity;
- soul-destroying effects;
- under the influence of the drug he killed his entire family with an ax;
- more vicious, more deadly even than these soul-destroying drugs (heroin, cocaine) is the menace of marijuana!

Reefer Madness did not end with the usual 'the end.' The film concluded with these words plastered on the screen: 'Tell your children.'

In the 1930s, people were very naive, even to the point of ignorance. The masses were like sheep waiting to be led by the few in power. They did not challenge authority. If the news was in print or on the radio, they believed it had to be true. They told their children, and their children grew up to be the parents of the baby boomers.

On April 14, 1937, the prohibitive Marijuana Tax Law, or the bill that outlawed hemp, was directly brought to the House Ways and Means Committee. This committee is the only one that can introduce a bill to the House floor without it being debated by other committees. The Chairman of the U.S. Senate, Ways and Means Committee, at the time, Robert Doughton, was a DuPont supporter. He insured that the bill would pass Congress.

Dr. James Woodward, a physician and attorney, testified too late on behalf of the American Medical Association. He told the committee that the reason the AMA had not denounced the Marijuana Tax Law sooner was that the Association had just discovered that marijuana was hemp.

Few people, at the time, realized that the deadly menace they had been reading about on Hearst's front pages was in fact passive hemp. The AMA understood cannabis to be a medicine found in numerous healing products sold over the last hundred years.

In September of 1937, hemp became illegal. The most useful crop known became a drug and our planet has been suffering ever since.

Congress banned hemp because it was said to be the most violence-causing drug known. Harry Anslinger, head of the Drug Commission for 31 years, promoted the idea that marijuana made users act extremely violent. In the 1950s, under the Communist threat of McCarthyism, Anslinger then said the exact opposite: marijuana will pacify you so much that soldiers would not want to fight.

Today, our planet is in desperate trouble. Earth is suffocating as large tracts of rain forests disappear. Pollution, poisons and chemicals are killing people. These great problems could be reversed if we industrialized hemp. Natural biomass could provide all of the planet's energy needs that are currently supplied by fossil fuels. We have consumed 80% of our oil and gas reserves. We need a renewable resource. Hemp could be the solution to soaring gas prices.

THE WONDER PLANT

Hemp has a higher quality fiber than wood fiber. Far fewer caustic chemicals are required to make paper from hemp than from trees. Hemp paper does not turn yellow and is very durable. The plant grows quickly to maturity in a season where trees take a lifetime.

All plastic products should be made from hemp seed oil. Hempen plastics are biodegradable! Over time, they would break down and not harm the environment. Oil-based plastics, the ones we are very familiar with, help ruin nature. They do not break down and will do
great harm in the future. The process to produce the vast array of natural (hempen) plastics will not ruin the rivers as DuPont and other petrochemical companies have done. Ecology does not fit in with the plans of the oil industry and the political machine. Hemp products are safe and natural.

**Medicines should be made from hemp.** We should go back to the days when the AMA supported cannabis cures. ‘Medical Marijuana’ is given out legally to only a handful of people while the rest of us are forced into a system that relies on chemicals. Pot is only healthy for the human body.

**World hunger could end.** A large variety of food products can be generated from hemp. The seeds contain one of the highest sources of protein in nature. Also: They have two essential fatty acids that clean your body of cholesterol. These essential fatty acids are not found anywhere else in nature! Consuming pot seeds is the best thing you could do for your body. Eat uncooked hemp seeds.

**Clothes should be made from hemp.** Hemp clothing is extremely strong and durable over time. You could hand clothing, made from pot, down to your grandchildren. Today, there are American companies that make hemp clothing; usually 50% hemp. Hemp fabrics should be everywhere. Instead, they are almost underground. Superior hemp products are not allowed to advertise on fascist television. Kentucky, once the top hemp producing state, made it illegal to wear hemp clothing! Can you imagine being thrown into jail for wearing quality jeans?

The world is crazy. But that does not mean you have to join the insanity. Get together. Spread the news. Tell people, and that includes your children, the truth. Use hemp products. Eliminate the word ‘marijuana.’ Realize the history that created it. Make it politically incorrect to say or print the M-word. Fight against the propaganda (designed to favor the agenda of the super rich) and the bullshit. Hemp must be utilized in the future. We need a clean energy source to save our planet. *Industrialize hemp!*

The liquor, tobacco and oil companies fund more than a million dollars a day to Partnership for a Drug-Free America and other similar agencies. We have all seen their commercials. Now, their motto is: ‘It’s more dangerous than we thought.’ Lies from the powerful corporations, that began with Hearst, are still alive and well today.

The brainwashing continues. Now, the commercials say: If you buy a joint, you contribute to murders and gang wars. The latest anti-pot commercials say: If you buy a joint… you are promoting terrorism! The new enemy (terrorism) has paved the road to brainwash you any way they see fit.

There is only one enemy: the friendly people you pay your taxes to, the war-makers and
nature destroyers. With your funding, they are killing the world right in front of your eyes.

Half a million deaths each year are caused by tobacco. Half a million deaths each year are caused by alcohol. No one has ever, ever died from smoking pot!!

In the entire history of the human race, not one death can be attributed to cannabis. Our society has outlawed grass but condones the use of the killers: tobacco and alcohol.

Hemp should be declassified and placed in drug stores to relieve stress. Hardening and constriction of the arteries are bad, but hemp usage actually enlarges the arteries, which is a healthy condition. We have been so conditioned to think that smoking is harmful. That is not the case for passive pot.

Ingesting THC, hemp’s active agent, has a positive effect: relieving asthma and glaucoma. A joint tends to alleviate the nausea caused by chemotherapy. You are able to eat on hemp. This is a healthy state of being.

[one personal note. During the pregnancy of my wife, she was having some difficulty gaining weight. We were in the hospital. A nurse called us to one side and said: “Off the record, if you smoke pot… you’d get something called the munchies and you’ll gain weight.” I swear that is a true story.]

The stereotype for a pothead is similar to a drunk, bubble-brain. Yet, the truth is one’s creative abilities can be enhanced under its influence. The perception of time slightly slows and one can become more sensitive. You can more appreciate all arts, be closer to nature and generally feel more under the influence of cannabis. It is, in fact, the exact opposite state of mind and body as the drunken state. You can be more aware with pot.

The pot plant is an alien plant. There is physical evidence that cannabis is not like any other plant on this planet. One could conclude that it was brought here for the benefit of humanity. Hemp is the only plant where the males appear one way and the females appear very different, physically!

No one ever speaks of males and females in regard to the plant kingdom because plants do not show their sexes. Except for cannabis. To determine what sex a certain, normal, earthly plant is, you have to look internally, at its DNA. A male blade of grass (physically) looks exactly like a female blade of grass. The hemp plant has an intense sexuality. Growers know to kill the males before they fertilize the females. Yes, folks, the most potent pot comes from ‘horny females.’

The reason this amazing, very sophisticated, ET plant from the future is illegal has nothing to do with how it physically affects us.

**Pot is illegal because billionaires want to remain billionaires!**

“And I will raise up for them a plant of renown, and they shall be no more consumed with hunger in the land.” – Ezekiel 34:29.

P.S. I think the word ‘drugs’ should not be used as an umbrella-word that covers all chemical agents. Drugs have come to be known as something bad. Are you aware there are legal drugstores?! Yep, in every city. Unbelievable. Each so-called drug should be considered individually. Cannabis is a medicine and not a drug. We should dare to speak the truth no matter what the law is.
The surgeries that are being done, the chemicals and poisons that we have been given, and the arrogance by which it is done, is weakening us and killing us as a society. We, as a society, have become “sheeple”. We follow along blindly trusting these so-called “experts” just like sheep. We trust them with our very being.

Well, Mrs. so-and-so, says Dr. Almighty, since you are reaching menopause and you are 50 years old, you really don’t need your uterus anymore and it is probably getting in the way. Meanwhile, while we are in there, we might as well take out your appendix because you don’t need it anyway and then it will prevent any possible appendix attack in the future.

Since you are a woman and many women also have gallbladder attacks, let’s take that out also just for prevention. One of my friends is a plastic surgeon, and while you are asleep and can’t feel anything, he can do a good tummy tuck and we can take out some of your wrinkles with Botox. Don’t worry, Botox is just botulinum toxin and is very, very poisonous, but we will just use it on your wrinkles, locally. No big deal! We will take your body, cut it up slice it up and redo it, and make it better.

Recently, I was on a plane flight to New York and I was reading the in-flight magazine. There was one page that listed the top 10 medical doctors in New York City. The first one did hair transplants. The second top rated doctor did liposuctions. The third rated doctor specializes in Botox.

This is what they call good medicine? I like to call it “Barbaric Cosmetology”.

By Dr. Harvey Bigelsen

State Healthcare System: an Absolute Nightmare

ENOUGH OF THIS I SAY! EVERY DAY I HEAR THE STORIES FROM THE PEOPLE, I HEAR THE LIES AND the propaganda that comes from the television and I am getting more and more outraged! What is going on today in the name of “healthcare”, is an absolute nightmare.
What arrogance! The nerve of them! It just shows you they have no respect for the human body. Let me tell you now very clearly, surgery is a big deal!

When the surgery is finished, the surgeon says that you’re all better. That’s not true. The book Holographic Blood (2007, HERF Publishing) will prove that there are long-term post-operative sequelae, which lead to chronic disease.

Surgery creates an inflammatory response by the body in order to heal itself. If you have a small cut, the body creates an inflammatory response, which heals that cut. The greater the injury to the physical body, the greater the inflammatory response will be. Even their latest journals are talking about inflammation and how important it is, however they don’t realize that they are the main cause of this inflammation.

This book will show you how trapped inflammation is the number one cause of chronic disease. Most importantly, surgeries are the most common cause of major inflammatory reactions in the body. Surgery is a legal assault with a knife on the body and taking out the uterus is a big deal.

Did the late Danny Kaye, the famous movie actor, die from hepatitis C following a transfusion from open-heart surgery or did he die from the violent attack of his open-heart surgery that created a massive inflammatory reaction and actually damaged his liver? Can hepatitis C really be traced to a transfusion or is it possibly the result of the injury that created the need for the transfusion? The real truth is that medical doctors using surgery and poisons are the number one cause of chronic disease today.

According to the medical establishment today, the physical body has no intelligence of its own. That’s why they believe it is much easier and safer to do a C-section. No big deal. It’s simpler and faster and the chances of getting sued by a lawyer are much less. C-sections, which are rising dramatically, affect the baby much more than you could believe. There are 5 diaphragms in the human body and labor contractions coming out vaginally start these diaphragms pumping.

We were taught in medical school that the appendix has no use at all as an organ that is called vestigial. Vestigial means, through the concepts of evolution, the appendix is disappearing over a period of time. If that is true, they are reasoning is that you might as well take it out now. They do not believe that nature has any law and order to it and it is just random. Forget about even discussing the concept of a creative force or a Creator with a design.

One of the things in the book Holographic Blood that we will talk about is the history of medicine and how we got to where we are today. At this point, however, I’d like to give you a simple fact that you, the reader, should stop and think about today.

It has been since 1910 that the Medical Establishment that we know of today, gained control of all the finances and research and dominating presence of our health care philosophy. Since that time, they have not found the cause of one single chronic disease or the cure of one single chronic disease. The word cure is not allowed to be used by a licensed medical doctor today because they have not found not one cure of any chronic disease in 100 years.

I am not speaking of crisis medicine or concepts of infectious disease such as polio or smallpox. I am speaking of chronic disease such as cancer, which they now call chronic, colitis, irritable bowel, arthritis, muscular dystrophy (Jerry Lewis has raised billions without success), multiple sclerosis, etc.

If a doctor offers a treatment that will cure the patient, he will lose his license. I once had charges brought against me that I cured a person with an illegal means. The head of the Medical Board stated, “we do not care if you’re patients are getting better or worse, we only care if you’re doing the work in our way, scientifically.” In other words, die in our hands, but don’t you dare get better in the way we do not know.

Amazing! This folks is the truth. Frankly, for the past 100 years you have been snookered.

The amount of brainwashing has been incredible. Watch the TV commercials. Most of the time there is a beautiful woman running through some beautiful fields, telling you how happy and wonderful it is taking this medicine even though it may cause her hair to fall out, get rare and unusual symptoms, possibly die, but look how beautiful and happy I am.

Everything has rare and unusual “side” effects. Wake up folks, these are not “side” effects but they are direct effects. If I put a foreign chemical in your mouth and you swallow it, it will have multiple direct effects, not side effects. Since it is a foreign chemical, it has to have negative effects.

A simple example of what they call a “side” effect is next time, watch a Viagra or Cialis commercial. The first thing they tell you, if there is a sudden drop in vision, call your doc-
Let’s examine that possible “side” effect. In reality, you have a man in his 70s, with a circulation of a man in his 70s, trying to act like a man in his 30s and 40s. Viagra has greatly enhanced the blood flow to his penis however remember that he has a circulation of a man in his 70s. The furthest the heart pumps is the eyes. The circulation is not reaching his eyes and therefore his vision is having problems. Also, they tell you that there are a certain percentage of men that can become deaf. Well?

Another example of how the public has been brainwashed is the concept that cholesterol is bad and causes heart disease. Cholesterol lowering drugs such as Vytorin and Lipitor, are now in the multi-billion-dollar category. Recent studies have shown that using these drugs has done nothing for heart disease. Nothing, Nada, Nyet, or any language that you use, there has been not one positive effect. In fact, again some of the latest studies, and these are their studies in their journals, the incidence of cancer is much higher in people that are taking these drugs.

The industry has everyone convinced that lean foods are good and fats are no good for you. Even though the longest study ever done on a diet and was recently published, the Atkins diet beat the Heart Associations recommended diet in every category possible. The next day on television, they were still talking about lean foods and lowering cholesterol. Remember, television is sponsored by the industry. I am proud to say that I knew the late Bob Atkins, and he was brilliant!

The book Holographic Blood will tell you the truth. There has been Nobel Prize winning work that has been cast aside. There have been two competing philosophies of disease through the centuries and one of them has been suppressed intentionally, for personal greed.

The system that we all know believes that if you have a diarrhea it should be stopped up, if you have a fever, bring it down, etc. Attack, attack, attack, kill, kill, kill, is all they do. The other philosophy states that diarrhea means that the body has its own intelligence and is trying to flush out a noxious agent and therapy should be directed at helping the body and not suppressing it. Louis Pasteur, over a century ago, came up with the germ theory of disease. His rival at that time, Antoine Bechamp, said germs live in a diseased body. Pasteur, on his deathbed, recanted and stated that the germ is nothing, the terrain is everything.

Bechamp was right. The germ theory of disease is easily adopted by the industry because if the germ theory is true, then the magic bullet philosophy of therapy is the answer. Find the germ and prescribe the magic bullet. Give the disease a name and assign a pharmaceutical bullet to treat it. The name of the game is the name. This book will prove and document that the terrain theory and a concept called Homotoxicology are the truth.

Finally, I have been involved in the politics of medicine for over thirty years. Constitutionally, medicine is a States right. In the State of California, there are licensed practitioners such as MDs, osteopaths, chiropractors, naturopaths, and Acupuncturists who are all going to school for at least seven years to earn their license. Why does the Federal Government choose to back essentially only the MDs? Who appointed them the experts? This book will show you the conspiracy behind the scenes.

Look at this book carefully because the United States now ranks 42nd in the world in longevity and for the first time in history, women’s lifespans are going down.

If the United States puts into place a health care plan for a system that has failed us, and has a monopoly that can charge anything it wants, it will bankrupt us and weaken us individually and as a nation, also. Let’s wake up and stop this madness now!
In a fascinating one-hour phone conversation, “Dr. B.” shares:

✔ which common ailments and “diseases” are actually caused by dehydration;
✔ why many doctors use water-regulating antihistamines to alleviate pain;
✔ how Dr. Batmanghelidj unintentionally discovered water’s healing properties;
✔ why most people are chronically dehydrated and suffer from symptoms of dehydration that are labeled “diseases”;
✔ which ingredients in soft drinks deplete the body’s water reserves;
✔ why thirst is not a reliable indicator of dehydration;
✔ why the body produces cholesterol and how water keeps it in balance;
✔ why Dr. Batmanghelidj believes the public is being mislead about AIDS;
✔ how dehydration impairs mental functioning;
✔ how lack of water causes depression;
✔ why some organizations want to withhold alternative health information from the public;
✔ why popular beverages are no substitute for water;
✔ how dehydration causes the vascular system to constrict, leading to hypertension;
✔ how to recognize signs that your body is starting to dehydrate;
✔ why restaurants push you to drink disease-promoting soft drinks;
✔ why and how water effectively treats pain and inflammation.

**DISCOVERY OF THE WATER CURE**

**MikE adams:** Welcome everyone, this is Mike Adams with *Truth Publishing*, and today I’m very excited to be welcoming Dr. Batmanghelidj, author of *Water For Health, For Healing, For Life*. Welcome, Dr. Batmanghelidj.

**Dr. B.:** Thank you very much for inviting me to be on the air with you and giving me
the opportunity of sharing my thoughts on the future of medicine in this country.

M. A.: I think there are many, many people who have read your books. People are intrigued by the idea that water can be a therapy, a healing substance for the human body. What is it about, water? How did you first become aware of these healing properties of water?

DR. B.: Well, it’s very bizarre. As you know, I’m a regular doctor, an M.D. I had the honor and the privilege of being selected as one of the house doctors, and I had the extreme honor of being one of the last students of Sir Alexander Fleming, the discoverer of penicillin. I mention his name so that you know I was immersed in medical school and research. And some years later, I had to give two glasses of water to a person who was doubled up in abdominal pain from his disease, because I had no other medication to give him at that moment. And he was in excruciating pain, and water performed miraculous relief for him. It gave him relief — within three minutes his pain diminished, and within eight minutes it disappeared completely, whereas he was doubled up eight minutes before and he couldn’t even walk, he completely recovered from that situation. And he started beaming from ear to ear, very happy, asked me what happens if the pain comes back? I said, “Well, drink more water.” Then I decided to instruct him to drink two glasses of water every three hours. Which he did, and that was the end of his ulcer pains for the rest of the duration that he was with me.

M. A.: And from that episode then, what happened next?

DR. B.: That woke me up, because in medical school I’d never heard that water could cure pain, that kind of pain, in fact. And so I had the occasion to test water as a medication in subsequently over 3,000 similar cases. And water proved every time to be an effective medication. I came away from that experience with the understanding that these people were all thirsty, and that thirst in the body can manifest itself in the form of abdominal pain to the level that the person can even become semi-conscious, because that’s the experience I had. And water picks them up every time.

So when I came to America in 1982, I went to the University of Pennsylvania, where I was invited to continue my research, and did research in the pain-relieving properties of water. I asked myself, why does the pharmaceutical industry insist on using antihistamines for this kind of pain medication? So I started researching the role of histamine in the body, and the answer was there — histamine is a neurotransmitter in charge of water regulation and the drought management programs of the body. When it manifests pain, in fact, it is indicating dehydration.

So, the body does manifest dehydration in the form of pain. Now, depending on where dehydration is settled, you feel pain there. Very simple, and I presented this concept at the international conference as the guest lecturer of a conference on cancer, explaining that the human body manifests dehydration by producing pain, and pain is a sign of water shortage in the body, and water shortage is actually the background to most of the health problems in our society.

Because if you look at what the pharmaceutical industry is doing, they’re producing so many different antihistamines as medication. Antidepressant drugs are antihistamines, pain medication are antihistamines, other medications are directly and indirectly antihistamines. So, that is when my work was published, the scientific secretariat of the 3rd Interscience Board Conference of Inflammation invited me to make this presentation on histamine at their conference in 1989, in Monte Carlo. And I did that, and so it became a regular understanding that histamine is a water regulator in the body. But unfortunately, this information is not reaching the public through the medical community because it’s not a money-maker.

So that’s when I began to consider writing for the public, so that the public could become aware of the problem directly without the interference of a doctor, and that’s how I have generated all my medical information for the public. Of course, I have published extensively for the scientific community, but no one is picking up. In fact, the NIH, the Office of Alternative Medicine, had its first conference when the office was created, and I was asked to make my presentation, but when the proceedings of the conference came out, my presentation was censored after the proceedings. So there is a movement afoot within the NIH group of people to keep a closed lid on my information so that it doesn’t get out, because obviously they are more in favor of the drug industry, because it is now obvious that they are getting paid by them.

M. A.: I think it is, first of all, an amazing account of what has been happening, and I think it is fair to say, too, that the pharmaceutical industry and organized medicine in general, really don’t want to promote anything that is free or near-free to the average patient.
Sunlight is available at no charge, water is available at nearly no charge — would you agree that their thinking is if people can cure their diseases, and achieve a high state of health on their own with these free substances, then that diminishes their profits and their importance?

**Dr. B.** Absolutely. That’s why I’ve created an organization now called National Association for Honesty in Medicine. Because I think it’s totally dishonest, in fact, criminal, to treat a person who is just thirsty, and give them toxic medication so that he gets sick and dies earlier than normal.

**M. A.** Can you give out the web address to that organization, by the way?

**Dr. B.** My website is www.watercure.com. It gives you the option of going to one site or the other. You can go to the National Association for Honesty in Medicine, or visit the information section of my website, where I have posted all of my scientific articles on dehydration, and lots of other free information that people can have.

### AIDS AND THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

**M. A.** I want to applaud what I call your scientific curiosity. I think this is the kind of curiosity that has been lost in so much of western medicine, where a true scientist observes nature and observes the interaction between humans and nature, and remains curious and open to all possibilities, and then forms conclusions after rigorous testing. I think so much of modern science has drawn erroneous conclusions without really remaining open-minded…

**Dr. B.** Yes, one of the worst ones is AIDS. Because everyone assumes that AIDS is actually a viral disease, which is a fraudulent statement by those people who presented it, because the human body is the product of many, many years of having fought various viral diseases, and has survived. Smallpox, polio, measles, and all the other viruses that can kill very easily, and the body has an ability to mount a defense system against these hot viruses, viruses that actually very quickly can kill. But having survived those, how is it possible that the slow virus would kill us in the name of AIDS? I can’t understand it.

I have researched this topic extensively, and I have shown in fact that AIDS is a metabolic problem, when the body begins to cannibalize its own tissue because of certain missing elements in the raw materials that it receives through food or beverages, and the body of a person who gets AIDS, actually, is short of quite a number of building block amino acids. They’re short of tyrosine, of methionine, cysteine, they’re short of histidine, and they’ve got a whole lot of others in excess. So how can we expect a body that depends on the other amino acids to survive?

**M. A.** Once again we see AIDS is a huge industry for the pharmaceutical companies.

**Dr. B.** Well, of course it is, and the whole entire existence of the pharmaceutical industry is based on presentation of false science, and advertising this false science and drumming it into the minds of gullible people who have no curiosity to find out why that is so.

### CHOLESTEROL

**Dr. B.** Another false science is the question of cholesterol. Cholesterol is one of the most essential elements in the survival of the human body. When the body begins to make more cholesterol, it has a reason to do that. It is certainly not to block the arteries of the heart. We measure the level of cholesterol in the blood taken out of the veins, but nowhere in the history of medicine is there recorded one single case of cholesterol ever having blocked the veins.

So, it is not the stickiness of the cholesterol that is the problem, which the drug industry is drumming into the minds of people — cholesterol is sticky, reduce it, otherwise you will have blockage of your arteries — it is all nonsense. Cholesterol is actually saving the lives of people, because cholesterol is a bandage, a waterproof bandage that the body has designed. When the blood becomes concentrated and acidic, and is being rushed through constricted arteries or capillaries, in dehydration, then abrasions and tears are produced in the arterial system, naturally, in the capillaries of the heart first.

Now if cholesterol wasn’t there to cover up the tear and abrasions, blood would get under the membrane and peel it off and that person would be dead instantly. Cholesterol is actually an interim lifesaver, giving the body time to recover from its problems. We never understood this. We are knee-jerk doctors. We think if something’s up, bring it down, if something’s down, bring it up. We don’t ask questions why is it down or why is it up.

**M. A.** And the pharmaceutical companies know that treating cholesterol is a huge industry…
Dr. B.: It’s a ten-billion dollar industry. Now there is a report that actually these statins, cholesterol-lowering drugs, produce amnesia. In other words, the brain totally loses track of what it is doing, and it is published by a doctor who is an eminent doctor. He was a flight surgeon, he is a researcher at NASA. And he knows what he’s talking about. He had the problem himself.

M. A.: I just wanted to comment on the number of side effects that have been recorded from using statin drugs. Some people have extreme muscular pain, and amnesia you mentioned seems to be very common. And yet a study just came out, I saw it this morning, talking about how blueberries have phytonutrients that are shown to lower so-called fatty cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, more powerfully than statin drugs.

Dr. B.: It’s interesting, because you see, the body manufactures cholesterol as an emergency situation, when it raises the level of its production. Its normal rate of production is of course to create membranes for the cell membranes and brain cells and nerve insulation, and of course the hormones of the body, vitamin D for the body. So these are the essential components that cholesterol makes in the body. We should never interfere with cholesterol without knowing why the body has started raising its level.

No Substitute for Water

M. A.: I have a question for you on water. A lot of people think that they are hydrating themselves when they consume soft drinks or milk or Gatorade or all these other liquid beverages…

Dr. B.: Gatorade is possibly okay, but Gatorade has sugar in it, and it’s not particularly good for people who might even get hypoglycemic, or might induce insulin secretion, and that insulin secretion will produce more hunger and they overeat. But as a temporary sport drink, it’s okay when you’re in the middle of a golf game to drink a Gatorade. It immediately gives you a bit of the minerals that you probably will have lost sweating.

But nothing substitutes for water – not a thing. No drink – no coffee, no tea, no alcoholic beverages. Not even fruit juices. Each one of them has its own agenda. Your body is used to a fluid that has no agenda, because the body depends on the freedom of that fluid, water, because there are two kinds of water in the body. There is already occupied and engaged water, which is no good for new function. The body needs new water, or free water, to perform new functions. Now, when you give them sugar containing beverages, or caffeine containing beverages, both sugar and caffeine have their own chemical agenda in the body. They defeat the purpose of the need for water.

M. A.: You’re also talking about soft drinks here…

Dr. B.: I’m talking about soft drinks, I’m talking about sodas, I’m talking about caffeine containing coffee or tea. I’m also talking about alcohol, because alcohol actually stops the emergency water supply systems to the important cells, such as the brain cells. In the reverse osmosis process your body filters and injects water into the cells, and this is what I call reverse osmosis. And it has to raise the blood pressure for that in order to overcome the osmotic pull of water out of the cells, and inject water into the cells. That’s why we develop high-blood pressure in dehydration. And this process of reverse osmosis is stopped by alcohol. It stops the filter system.

Chronic Disease Caused by Lack of Water

M. A.: Let me start this next section by asking you about the correlation between water consumption and chronic disease. There are many diseases you mention in your books that are related to dehydration. I wonder if you can give our readers a brief of what the major diseases are and why they are aggravated or promoted by chronic dehydration.

Dr. B.: Certainly, Mike. I have written a book called Water Cures, Drugs Kill. It’s a book that explains why dehydration is the cause of pain and disease, and how the pharmaceutical industry has camouflaged this information, or covered it up, and instead of letting people drink water, it advertises the use of their products, which actually do kill. Because recent figures have shown that prescription medications, when used according to the instruction of doctors, nonetheless kill over 106,000 people, and make 2 million people sicker than before taking the medication. And then there is another group who die from faulty prescriptions, incorrect prescriptions.

So between them, about 250,000 people die from drug-related problems, medication-related problems. This makes the use of drugs the number three killer process in the country – protected and licensed killer process. After heart disease, which kills about seven or eight hun-
dred thousand, cancer which kills about 500,000, drugs kill 250,000 people.

M. A.: So it’s fair to say that pharmaceuticals, as packaged by modern medicine, are the third leading cause of death in this country.

Dr. B.: Absolutely, and they are useless, because most of the medication they are using is to cover up symptoms and signs and complications of dehydration in the human body. The human body manifests dehydration by a series of symptoms and signs, perceptible symptoms of dehydration – in other words, brain senses dehydration, or tiredness when you haven’t done a good day’s work, or when in the morning you want to get up out of bed and you’re tired, you can’t get up – that is a sign of dehydration. Then anger, quick reaction, depression, these are all signs of dehydration, when the brain has very little energy from hydroelectricity to cope with the information or take action.

These are some of the perceptible signs of dehydration. Then the body has its drought management program, which are allergies, hypertension, diabetes, and also immune diseases.

THE LINK BETWEEN DEHYDRATION AND ASTHMA

M. A.: I’d like you to elaborate a little more on asthma, and the idea that the body is managing its water supply deliberately in a way that produces symptoms that are called asthma.

Dr. B.: Yes, well, you see, drought management means that you have to clog the holes where water is lost from the body. Water is the most precious commodity in the interior of your body, and when not enough is coming in and more is being lost, this is a no-no situation for your body. The intelligence behind the design of the body has it such that a drought management program will kick in, and then allergies are a sign of dehydration because the system that regulates water balance of the body suppresses the immune system, because it’s an energy-consuming situation. Then you get asthma.

You see, we lose about a quart of water through breathing every day. It is actually the surface tension in the alveoli of the lungs that produces contraction of these tiny membranes, and air is pushed out. And in the process, that water will leave with the air that is leaving. So you lose about a quart of water in breathing. We need to replenish that. When we don’t replenish it, the body tightens up the bronchials and plugs up the holes and we call this reduced air flow in the lungs because of dehydration, we’ve labeled it as asthma.

M. A.: I’ve always been amazed by the willingness of modern medicine, or western medicine, to come up with these complicated sounding labels or names for diseases that should really have simpler names.

Dr. B.: Jargon peddling is the way of commercial medicine. Sick-care system survives and thrives on pushing these jargons into the minds of the people, because people don’t understand what these jargons mean, they hear them and they don’t associate them with anything in the body. [Those are in fact] the jargons of fear that are drummed in the minds of people.

Now, children. There are 17 million children in America – probably more, because the numbers rise every year – who have asthma, and the reason is that, at the same time, children have been consuming more and more sodas. Three year olds to five year olds have been consuming three times as much soda in the last ten years than in the ten years before that. So these people are getting dehydrated, they are consuming more sodas, which doesn’t function in the same way as water, and that is why they get asthma. Now, give these children water, and their asthma will disappear very quickly, in a matter of a few hours, completely the breathing becomes normal. The need for these inhalers will disappear.

And when I contacted the NIH and explained all of this, the gentleman who was in charge of this said I was so ignorant on what was going on, and yet he wanted to protect his freedom, and so he ignored the information even though I had gone to Clinton, President Clinton, to ask him to intervene, and give breath back to these children. But the NIH was adamant to use medication. He wrote me, actually, and said we are satisfied with the way asthma is being treated.

So, this is the situation. 17 million children in America can recover in a matter of a few days if everyone in the country started talking to asthmatic people and saying water is what you should take. Can you imagine a solution so simple?

M. A.: Yes. Yes, I can. And there are many such solutions available to treat a great number of chronic diseases, just like you’ve been describing here. Of course, the pharmaceutical industry I think would be horrified to have that information become widespread.

Dr. B.: Yeah, well, because what I’m saying
Anyway, we were talking about drought management programs in the body. Hypertension is another one of these problems. When there isn’t enough water in the body, or the body becomes dehydrated, 66% of the water loss is from the interior of the cells, 26% is from the environment around the cells, and only 8% is lost from the vascular system. But the vascular system is an elastic system – it tightens up and takes up the slack, so you don’t see the problem that is going on inside the cells of your body by testing your blood that is being tested in so many conditions. If people drank water on a regular basis and took enough salt and minerals to expand the capillary beds, hypertension would disappear completely.

And there are 60 million Americans who don’t realize that actually hypertension is one of the manifestations of drought management programs of the body when the body begins to operate a reverse osmosis process, to deliver water into the interior of those cells which are 66% water deficient. Now the pharmaceutical industry and the medical doctors arrogantly and ignorantly are treating hypertension with diuretics.

**M. A.:** “Let’s get rid of the water!”

**DR. B.:** They are getting rid of the water in the body, at a lot of, how shall I say, effort.

**M. A.:** Isn’t this an example of the arrogance of modern medicine in believing that it knows more than nature, it knows more than the body?

**DR. B.:** We, as doctors, are really 007 agents of the pharmaceutical industry. We are totally blind and ignorant, and the pharmaceutical industry has hijacked medicine. We learn a couple of years of physiology, and soon as we go on the clinical side we are asked to forget those and begin to learn pharmacology in order to treat symptoms rather than understand the primary cause of the health problem.

**M. A.:** But you were trained in classic, conventional way…

**DR. B.:** I had to educate myself.

**THINKING OUTSIDE THE BOX**

**M. A.:** What it is that drove you to explore beyond the limited thinking of conventional medicine?

**DR. B.:** I’m always a curious person. I’ve been a curious person ever since I was born as far as I know. One day we had a thief come into the house, and I was only a two and a half year old boy. I went to him and said, “What are you doing here? Can’t you see you’re scaring every-
one? Why don’t you go?” I wasn’t scared, I was curious.

That is what I am, right throughout my life, and that is why when I discovered that water cures pain, then this was an entrance for me to get to it and find out what was the reason.

And that was when I discovered that my education was no good. I didn’t learn medicine, I learned a little bit of anatomy and a little bit of histology, which stood me in good stead in order to understand the rest of the stuff.

M. A.: You are to be applauded for being able to venture outside of conventional medicine.

Dr. B.: I’m only a healer because that’s my way of thinking. I don’t think about money, because money is, if you do a good deed, money will come as a by-product of that good deed.

M. A.: I agree with you wholeheartedly there.

Dr. B.: You don’t have to even try to take the last drop of blood out of a person who comes to you in order to get help from you. That is against my nature. That’s why I’ve put out my information for everyone to use on the internet, and it is only for further use or further education that I have produced my books and so on. And the cost of printing, distribution, it forces the price. If the pharmaceutical industry were going to sell, for example, the information in my book Your Body’s Many Cries For Water, they would ask for $10,000 a book, not $14.95.

M. A.: And, if they could, they would patent water…

Dr. B.: They would patent it.

M. A.: … And try to sell it to you at $100 a dose, right?

Dr. B.: That’s right. So, basically, this is what’s going on in medicine in America. Joint pain, back pain, arthritis caused by chronic dehydration… The human body also has its emergency calls for water, and these are localized emergency calls. We call these heartburn, rheumatoid joint pain, back pain, migraine headaches, colitis pain, fibromyalgia pain, even angina pain – signs of dehydration in the body.

And the mechanism is very simple – when there isn’t enough water to be evenly distributed and certain parts of the body, which are working but not receiving enough water to deal with the toxic waste and metabolism, the toxic waste builds up that area, the nerve endings in that area register the chemical environmental change with the brain, and the brain translates this information for the conscious mind in the form of pain.

M. A.: So it’s just the interpretation?

Dr. B.: It’s an interpretation, yes. The conscious mind gets the information that, hey, this area we can’t use anymore, it has a shortage of water. Of course, the conscious mind should have known that, but bad education has robbed us of that information. We think this pain is a disease.

M. A.: The predominant diagnosis of this, of course, I think in the minds of most people, and especially in most M.D.’s would be that there is something physically or structurally compromised in that area.

Dr. B.: Well, of course, the compromise is when the tissue is dehydrated, it’s changing structure. The plum-like cells become prune-like. Prune-like cells do not function in the same manner as a plum-like cell. So, that is how symptoms are produced. These symptoms mean, okay, let us get the ingredients that the body needs into the system. Now, water also brings a lot of other goodies to the cells.

When we are dehydrated, these goodies are not delivered. So, we need not only to correct dehydration, but also to supply the minerals and vitamins, and so on, so that the body could repair itself.

THIRST PERCEPTION NOT RELIABLE

M. A.: I’d like to talk about how people can know when they need to drink water, what are the reliable signs of dehydration, and also how much should an average person be drinking?

Dr. B.: First and foremost, don’t wait until you get thirsty, because that’s an error. Unfortunately, the National Academy of Sciences and some other people recently have been recommending to wait until we get thirsty, which is the main error that we inherited 100 years ago from a man called Walter Bradford Cannon. And that’s why, at the time, there was a Frenchman saying that dehydration or thirst is a general sensation and we should study it, and Walter Bradford Cannon said, no, thirst is only a matter of dry mouth.

When the mouth is dry we are thirsty, which is an arrogant statement, and unfortunately western medicine bought into that understanding, and that’s why we have a sick-care system, because from the age of 20 onwards, we gradually, imperceptibly become dehydrated without knowing it. We lose our perception of thirst. By the age of 70 we may be totally thirsty, and obviously thirsty, and yet not recognize the need to drink water, even when water is put next to us.
This was done as an experiment. A scientist asked a group of elderly people to withhold from drinking water for 24 hours, and similarly with young people. After 24 hours when water was made available, the elderly did not recognize that they were thirsty.

M. A.: Even after 24 hours with no water?

Dr. B.: Correct. Even when water was left next to them, some of them wouldn’t reach for it. But the young people drank water, and corrected this dehydration. Now, this is a major problem, and that’s why we have so many people in the elderly sector of our society who are sick, because they are totally dehydrated and they do not recognize it.

So, waiting to get thirsty is to die prematurely and very painfully. In fact, this is the title of an article that is posted on my website and also on that of NAFHIM, National Association For Honesty In Medicine.

We should not wait to get thirsty, because water is the main source of energy. By the time you get thirsty, you will have lost energy from the water that you should have drunk and made available before you get thirsty. So, if you don’t allow the gas tank of your car to come dry before you stop and take some gas, then why should you let your body become thirsty so that it stalls on the roadside before you drink water?

So, first thing, people should never allow themselves to get thirsty — they should drink throughout the day. An average person needs two quarts of water a day. Average person really needs four quarts of water a day, but two quarts we have to supply. Two quarts we get from food metabolism and water content in foods. We need this amount of water to manufacture at least two quarts of urine. You know, not to put pressure on the kidneys.

When we drink enough water so that the urine is colorless, that is a good sign. When the urine becomes yellow, it means that the body is beginning to become dehydrated and when it becomes orange, then the body is truly dehydrated and some part of the body is suffering from that dehydration.

M. A.: So this is a very easy sign that people can pay attention to.

Dr. B.: Absolutely… That’s why we should become observant to our urine production. And breathing — when we are short of breath, it means we are dehydrated.

M. A.: Are there other similar, simple symptoms that people can pay attention to?

Dr. B.: The skin. If the skin is nice and loose and smooth, then we are hydrated. If it becomes creasy and shriveled, it means dehydration. The crow’s feet on the face of elderly people, that’s a sign of dehydration. The turkey neck under the chin is a sign of dehydration. These are mentioned in my books, Your Body’s Many Cries for Water and Water For Health, For Healing, For Life. I recommend everyone to read Water Cures, Drugs Kill, because in this book I’ve identified over 90 health problems that we in medicine have called disease, and yet water cures them.

So, when the body is short of water and they give it medication, naturally the person will die, because the medication is silencing the many cries of the body for water. But it’s not correcting the dehydration.

So we need to understand these symptoms of dehydration, and the book Water Cures, Drugs Kill will do that. People can order online at my website or Amazon.com, or BarnesAndNoble.com, or go to the bookstore and get it.

M. A.: You have an upcoming book on obesity, cancer, and depression, right?

Dr. B.: Yes, I’ve got a book called Obesity, Cancer and Depression: The Common Cause and Actual Cure.

I’ve identified why these three diseases are actually the branches of the same tree, and each one naturally produces a different problem at different age brackets, but they are all related conditions that occur as a result of dehydration over time. Time is of essence — when incrementally we become dehydrated, the prune-like cells begin to transform. Some of them become cancerous, and I’ve explained all of this in the book. I explain how dehydration suppresses the immune system, directly or indirectly, and that’s how most diseases occur, including cancer.

M. A.: When is this book going to be published?

Dr. B.: It will be available by the end of the year. People can keep an eye on my website to know when the book is available.

SODAS CAUSE DEHYDRATION

M. A.: Now here’s another interesting question people have. When they go out to eat at a restaurant, there’s a tremendous amount of economic pressure from the point of view of the restaurant chain or restaurant owner to serve them something other than water. I remember there was a campaign at one time through Olive Garden restaurants. The campaign was called “Just Say No to H2O,” and they were rewarding waiters for making sure
people bought some soft drinks rather than drinking water.

**DR. B.** That’s because everyone is after a fast buck, even at the expense of someone else’s health. These restaurants are no different from the pharmaceutical industry when they push something that the body doesn’t need. Of course, they don’t know, they don’t do it knowingly. One can’t fault them. It’s bad education, and we think that these soft drinks are synonymous with water.

Actually, a lot of children who drink soft drinks actually become “stupid”, but once you take the soft drink away from them, their grades improve tremendously… So, there is something in caffeine that suppresses the enzymes from memory-making. And this is exactly how the plant survives, because caffeine is a toxic chemical – it’s a warfare chemical for the plant. Anything that would eat it will lose its art of camouflage, its alertness, good reaction, good response, and becomes easy prey to its own food chain predator.

**M. A.** Yes, caffeine is technically an insecticide.

**DR. B.** Caffeine is technically an insecticide. So is morphine and so is cocaine. They are the same family of drugs – neurotoxic substances.

**M. A.** Is there anything else in particular that our listeners should be aware of or should do to enhance their health through the information that you make available?

**DR. B.** Yes, they can keep in touch. They can go to my website on a regular basis, and we post information there and letters that we exchange and so on. They can become part of the movement to bring honesty back into medicine. Because if they’re young people, they’ve got many years to go, and unfortunately in a dishonest form of medical practice, they can become vulnerable…

This information is free, it’s the latest information in medical science, it’s the future science of medicine, it’s the foundation of the future science of medicine, and they have it at their use, free of charge. All they have to do is become curious and learn. And the information is in such simple language that anyone can understand it. I don’t use jargon. I use very simple English to explain complicated problems.

**M. A.** I thank you so much for sharing your wisdom with the world… It’s people like you that will revolutionize medicine, and that’s what we need today.

**DR. B.** Bernard Shaw says that normal people try to conform, and reasonable people do not conform, they for alternatives. Therefore, all progress belongs to unreasonable people!
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Written by the author of the legendary 1992 expose of Bush the elder, this book works from a New Deal point of view.
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Rare, romantic, and forever… The diamond industry depends on these myths to reap billions of dollars of profit. This sensational investigation explodes such fallacies and reveals how multimillion dollar advertising campaigns create the impression of rarity and romance. It reveals, too, a very secret and unromantic world, one that is dominated and controlled by a handful of mighty corporations.

Taking us through seven decades of intrigue and manipulation that span the globe, Janine Roberts has written the most expansive and explosive expose ever on diamonds. Among Roberts’ revelations:

• How De Beers hides away rich diamond deposits and where some of these are located.
• How a long-term companion of Jackie Onassis was a CIA-linked millionaire diamond merchant tied to coups and dictators in Central Africa.
• Just how diamonds are “fixed” to make them more expensive.
• How major diamond companies cooperated with Hitler’s Germany and how much they were paid.
• How industrial diamond supplies were artificially restricted to the United States during World War II, severely damaging its war effort, and how U.S. Intelligence came to suspect treason.
• How a major diamond deposit in Arkansas was sabotaged to stop it coming into production.
• How the White House was manipulated into buying millions of diamonds it did not need and now must sell.
• How terrorism found its way into the diamond trade, not recently, but many decades ago.
• How diamonds are secretly moved by the millions around the world. The inquiry the diamond cartel did not want and tried to stop.

If you have ever wondered what tales might lie behind the glitter of a diamond ring, read this account of the most international media investigation ever launched!
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The man’s name was Edward Leedskalnin (1887-1951). Born in Latvia, he received only a third or fourth grade education. When Ed was 28-years old, he became engaged to marry a 16-year old girl named Agnes Scuffs (Skuvst), whom he called his “Sweet Sixteen.”

The day before their scheduled wedding, however, Agnes told Ed that he was too poor and too old for her to marry. Heart-broken, Ed sailed to the New World and worked his way through Canada, down into California, east to Texas, finally arriving in southern Florida sometime between 1918 and 1920. Although Ed was just over five feet tall and weighed about 100 pounds he worked in lumber camps and on at least one cattle drive along the way.

Sometime during his travels he developed a touch of tuberculosis and decided that Florida’s sunny climate would be good for his health. He settled down in the little town of Florida City, located about 27 miles southwest of Miami. There he began to build a coral castle as a tribute to his “Sweet Sixteen.” The site was first opened to the public in 1923, but it took about twenty years to complete most of the castle structures existing today.1

How Ed supported himself and paid for the land, tools, and other items for building the castle until it was ready for paying visitors has not been explained, but it is certainly an important part of the mystery. One source says that a neighbor let him build his original castle on borrowed property in Florida City;2 another claims he bought an acre there.3 When I purchased a 20-minute video tour of Coral Castle from the castle gift shop, I received gratis an English Tour Guide pamphlet that stated that Ed purchased an acre of ground in Florida City for $12. Apparently, Ed had made and saved enough money from his labors before
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he began work on his castle to provide for his necessities (and perhaps even more).

Eventually, Ed wrote five pamphlets. Three of them were about *Magnetic Current*. One pamphlet, titled *Mineral, Vegetable and Animal Life*, contains his beliefs about life's cycle. Another, titled *A Book in Every Home*, contains his thoughts about his domestic and political views, as well as thoughts about his “Sweet Sixteen.”

He made money from giving tours of the castle for a dime to a quarter per person and from the sale of his pamphlets, but most of his money probably came from the sale of some of his land where U.S. Highway 1 passes the castle. In a 16-page color brochure I purchased from the Coral Castle, a man named E. L. Lawrence claims: “Ed told me many times that his livelihood was gained from dividends received from stocks in public utilities.”

The Coral Castle website states: “This part of Florida is composed of coral, in some places as much as 4,000 feet thick, covered with only a few inches of topsoil.” It also claims that “we cannot find any record of any person who saw Ed work.”

Apparently, he was a very private man who took great pains to avoid being seen at work. He also carved several pieces of furniture out of the native limestone, including tables, chairs, and a rocker. Ripley’s Believe It Or Not alleges that Ed’s 5,000-pound heart-shaped rock table is the world’s largest valentine. The rocking chair is said to weigh three tons.

**MOVING THE CASTLE**

Around 1936, it is alleged that Ed learned that someone was planning to build a subdivision near him. So over the next three years he moved the castle, block by block, about 10 miles north of Florida City to the town of Homestead, where he bought ten acres and reconstructed the castle.

Another source reports that Ed moved the castle “three miles north of Homestead.” Still another website states that he moved everything 10 miles away, leaving just one tower behind, and he did it in less than a month. If these sources can’t even get the geographical specifications or timing of events correct, what credence should a skeptic give to other aspects of their stories?

Today Coral Castle occupies only three of the original ten acres.

It is said that Ed didn’t own a car, but he had a bicycle, which he rode 3.5 miles to town for food and supplies. He also owned a chassis from an old Republic truck. A “chassis” is a rectangular steel frame, supported on springs and attached to the axles, that holds the body and motor of a car or truck. Ed laid two rails on his truck chassis to hold the blocks and asked a friend with a tractor to tow the “loaded trailer” to Homestead. From this, I surmise that at the time of the move the motor in Ed’s truck was absent or inoperative. Many people reported seeing the blocks being towed along the old Dixie Highway, but no one (presumably not even the friend who towed his blocks for him) ever saw how Ed loaded or unloaded the trailer.
According to one source, the castle tower is a “two-story” monolith that housed Ed’s workshop and living quarters. (How can a monolith have two stories? The tower and the monolith are two different structures.) A photo of the tower shows that its walls contain at least four layers of blocks, each block weighing 4 to 9 tons. The roof of the tower consists of 30 blocks, each weighing about one ton. The upper level of the tower contained Ed’s living quarters. His tool room was in the lower level. There are 16 steps leading up to the living quarters.

Was this designed as another tribute to Ed’s sweetheart or just a coincidence? A 40-foot tall obelisk weighing 28 tons (22 tons by another account) appears to be the only giant monolith inside the park walls. It has an opening near its top that is carved in the shape of a Latvian star. The obelisk stands alone near the east perimeter wall of the park opposite the tower. According to the video tour, the obelisk is buried in a shaft 4-5 feet underground. It bears the carved inscription: “Made 1928. Moved 1939. Born 1887, Latvia.”

Another monolithic structure with a hole near the top, called the “Polaris telescope,” is said to weigh 40,000 pounds and stands 25 feet tall (the color brochure says 20 tons on page 6, almost 30 tons on page 5, and 28 tons on page 16!). The telescope actually consists of two parts. The freestanding part is located 20 feet outside the north castle wall. The “eyepiece” is a hole located in the north wall.

Apertures in both parts of the telescope contain two crossed wires. The North Star (Polaris) can be seen on any clear night by aligning both sets of crosswires. The date 1940 is the date that Ed completed it. This leaves me wondering if construction of the telescope was begun at the Florida City site, or if its construction was both begun and completed at the Homestead site.

Some rock sculptures inside the park represent Mars, Saturn, and other celestial objects. The central block of a triad of sculptures called the “moon fountain” contains the heaviest piece in the park, weighing 30 tons. A complex sundial is carved out of rock, calibrated to noon of the summer and winter solstices, and claimed to be accurate to within two minutes. The tour guide pamphlet claims, “As far as we know, this is the only sun dial of its kind in the world.”

Data gathered by Ed’s observations through the Polaris telescope allowed him to construct the sundial. The video tour shows the details of the sundial most clearly. Some fuzzy pictures of the sundial are in Stoner’s pamphlet. Ed’s sundial was constructed to record the hours between 9 am and 4 pm – the hours he believed a man should work (yet Ed reportedly worked at night!).

According to the Coral Castle’s website, “Coral weighs approximately 125 pounds per cubic foot. Each section of the [castle] wall is 8 feet tall, 4 feet wide, 3 foot (sic!) thick, and weighs approximately 13,000 pounds.”

These exact dimensions should weigh 12,000 pounds or 6 tons, but that is still a lot of rock… It has been estimated that the Great Pyramid of Egypt at Giza was built from 2.5 million blocks of limestone, each averaging 2.5 tons (5,000 pounds). If the average limestone block at Coral Castle weighs 6 tons, it would be more than twice as heavy as an average block in the Great Pyramid.

Because a relatively slim 9-ton block of limestone (80 inches wide, 92 inches tall, and 21 inches thick) serves as a revolving door in one wall segment, the site was originally called Rock Gate Park. (Note: A block with these dimensions contains 89-44 ft³; at 125 lb/ft³ it would weigh 5.59 tons, not 9 tons as reported.) A hole was drilled through the exact center of balance and a shaft was inserted as an axis around which the gate could rotate. The bottom of the shaft rests on an old truck bearing; the top of the shaft pivots in the overhead rock roof.

No one knows how he was able to do it. The gate was repaired (new bearings, shaft replacement) in 1986 by six men and a 50-ton crane. The gate was so delicately balanced on its pivots that it was said to have moved at the touch of a finger, although today it does not move so easily. Now the gate is left permanently ajar to avoid crushing visitors, but it reportedly still fills the opening within a quarter inch on both sides. Just inside this gate there is a staircase carved out of the ground rock leading down to a subterranean fresh water well. The water table is only about six feet deep in this part of Florida.

**HOW DID HE DO IT?**

No one knows for sure, but each block of limestone in the castle walls may have required at least five major steps.

Quarrying-releasing the block from the limestone bed of which it was a part. How the blocks were moved from the quarry to the loading site is not known. Photographic evi-
The tripod of poles with a mysterious box at the top.

dence suggests that a tripod of poles (supporting a block and tackle) was used to lift the blocks. Unless the blocks could be dragged from the quarry to the tripod, it would need to be moved or rebuilt each time a new rock surface was quarried, an unlikely procedure.

When asked how he managed to move large limestone blocks, Ed simply replied that he understood the laws of weight and leverage. As an example of a first class lever, with fulcrum or pivot between the weight and the lifting force, let us assume that the limestone block weighs one ton. Theoretically (ignoring the weight of the lever arms), if all of the weight of the block could rest on the end of the “lever arm of the load” just two feet from the fulcrum, and a force of 100 pounds (Ed’s weight) is applied to the end of the “lever arm of the force,” the latter would have to exceed 40 feet in length to move an entire block off the ground.

Of course, lifting just one end or side of a block off the ground to place a rope or cable under it could be done with a shorter lever, but this illustrates one of the problems that a 100-pound man would have in raising any part of a one-ton block by use of levers, let alone a six-ton block. Inside the park walls, the tower is the only enclosed structure. There is an outdoor cookery and rock bathtub, but reportedly there never was any plumbing or electricity.

There are two small, poor quality photographs that show a tripod of wooden poles with a box at the top. A The contents of the box are subject to wild speculation. How Ed obtained these poles has not been explained in the references I consulted. If he did not have an operable truck, he must have had the poles delivered to him.

One photograph, labeled “Ed with Tripod,” shows a man pulling on the line of a pulley attached near the apex of a tripod that I estimate (from the height of 5-foot Ed, whose feet cannot be seen to be on the ground) to be at least 15 feet tall; another pulley appears also to be attached near the apex of the tripod; both lower ends of these pulley lines appear to be wrapped around the same end of a block of limestone resting on the ground.

Unless Ed somehow took a photograph of himself, someone else must have taken the picture. This, of course, would argue against the claim that “no one ever saw Ed at work on the castle.” Just constructing the tripod itself would present problems for a single worker.

The theoretical mechanical advantage of a lifting device consisting of two blocks with two pulleys or sheaves in each block is equivalent to the number of supporting ropes (4 in this case). Thus, a 100-pound man hanging on the free end of the rope could balance, but could not raise, a weight of 400 pounds. A gang of more than 30 men, each weighing 100 pounds, would be required to budge a 6-ton weight. What kind of rope could support 6 tons?

When Ed crossed the Atlantic Ocean on a ship, he might have seen winches in operation. While working as a lumberjack, he very likely became acquainted with the use of wedges and saws and ways of moving and loading heavy logs onto transport vehicles via winches. A winch consists of a rope or cable winding on a drum and may have a means for increasing the torque (e.g., reduction gears) and a brake for holding or lowering a load. On some geared winches, the smallest gear can be turned by a hand crank. However, there is no evidence that Ed used a winch to hoist his blocks.

Perhaps one of the most powerful lifting mechanisms in common use during Ed’s time was a pulley system known as a differential chain block (shown on the left), which can hoist loads up to 3 or 4 tons “by hand,” or even more if an electric or other kind of motor supplies additional power.

Two blocks (A and B) are involved that contain grooved wheels known as sheaves that have link pockets in the groove to keep the chain that passes over them from slipping. The top block (A) consists of a large sheave (C) welded to a smaller sheave (D), both sharing the same axle. An endless chain runs from a power source (perhaps muscle power), around sheave (D) to the sheave in block B whose axle supports the load, then back up to the large sheave (C), then back to the power source. Even a slight difference in the radii of sheaves C and D can produce a very large mechanical advantage. This type of pulley is often used to lift automobile engines, or even the much larger loads required in foundries and other heavy industries.

Saws, drills, wedges (handmade from the leaf springs of a truck), a hand-cranked grinding wheel, hammers (including a sledge hammer?), chisels, crowbars, and chains were reportedly found in Ed’s workshop. On viewing the video tour of Ed’s tool room, I was pleasantly surprised to see two chain hoists, at least one of which was unmistakably a differential chain block. However, the pictures were of such poor quality that I was not able to calculate even approximately their mechanical advantage. The narrator of the video tour said that these chain hoists could move up to 30
tons. What source supplied the power to lift eight to ten times more weight than could be done “by hand”?

The video also shows a clear photograph of Ed using a differential chain block supported by a tripod of poles and hoisting a block of limestone. The video narrator claimed that Ed relied more on his “come along” than on his other hoisting tools. Apparently there was a “come along” hanging on the tool room wall but it was not so identified, and how it was used was not explained. If other chains in the tool room were not part of hoists, of what use could they have been? Perhaps Ed’s truck was operational during the construction of the first castle. If the blocks were dragged by the truck from the quarry to the tripod, chains would have experienced less wear during this process than fabric straps or rope. None of the tripod poles he used to hoist the blocks are reported to exist in the park today by any of the references I consulted.

ELECTROMAGNETISM

Apparently Ed got some of his tools and other building supplies from a junkyard. The rails that Ed “laid” on the bed of his truck chassis would best be welded in place, but no welding equipment was reported in Ed’s workshop. However, copper wires, magnets, and welding rods were found there, but no batteries.

Ed apparently thought he had a good working knowledge of basic electrical principles. He is even said to have built an alternating current (AC) generator. Magnets mounted on the edge of a flywheel are visible, and they might have served as the rotor, but no stator windings can be seen. A photo on page 14 of the color brochure shows Ed with his hand on the hand crank of his AC generator.

Ed wrote three small books on magnetic phenomena (one website contains free links to Ed’s “magnetic current” books) in which he presents instructions for carrying out many kinds of experiments with magnets (both permanent and electric). In these books, he used welding rods for some of his experiments; at some time he also had a car battery, and he made 6-8 volt light bulbs shine by alternating current. Today, some 4-wheel drive vehicles have a winch mounted on the front that operates from direct current (DC) battery power.

So if Ed could have built or obtained a DC electric motor, he could have used it to run a winch. Using a battery to run a winch would deplete its energy rapidly and it would need repetitive replacement or recharging. Recharging a car battery by a hand-cranked generator would be an arduous task. So if Ed used battery power to hoist loads he would have needed a source of mechanical energy (such as an internal combustion engine) to turn the armature (windings of copper wire) of an electric generator; the generator supplies an electric current in the stationary armature that turns the rotor of an electric motor to obtain mechanical rotation.

Electric motors receiving alternating current usually run at constant speed, whereas the speed of motors receiving direct current (DC) can be controlled to some extent and the starting torque is much greater than in AC motors. Thus, electric motors used for hoists would preferably be powered by DC rather than AC. So why didn’t Ed build a DC generator instead of an AC one? Furthermore, a DC generator could be used to recharge his storage battery whereas an AC current would first need to be rectified to DC for that purpose.

Christopher Dunn claims that he actually saw Ed’s AC generator mounted on the front
end of a crankshaft from a 4-cylinder motor (now missing). What became of the rest of the engine, its generator, and battery? If Ed could generate AC electric power by a reciprocating engine, why did the device have a hand crank and why was it claimed that he often worked at night by lantern light instead of by electric light? Since he used a car battery in his electrical experiments, he could even have rigged lights to run on DC power without the need of a generator (except to recharge the battery). Dunn wonders if Ed used the crank to start an engine rather than generate AC power by hand.

THE GEOLOGY OF CORAL CASTLE

Some people have tried to connect Coral Castle to the Bermuda Triangle, because one apex of the triangle resides at or near Miami. One of the legs of the Triangle connects to the island of Bimini in the Bahamas where rows of limestone lie buried under 15 feet of water-blocks that some believers claim to be the ruins of the lost continent of Atlantis. Geologist Eugene A. Shinn presents evidence that these blocks were not carved by humans, but formed by a natural process of precipitation of calcium carbonate, explaining:

“Bleachrock forms out of sight beneath the sand. As more sand is added, the beach builds out with the rock following just beneath. However, if conditions change and the beach is eroded, the rock is exposed. …After a few years in the sun, the rock layers, usually about one-foot thick, crack much like old concrete roads and sidewalks. The pieces can be large, up to twelve feet in length and four to six feet wide [these two dimensions are coincidentally approximately the same as the blocks in the wall of Coral Castle].”

With continued erosion by wave-driven beach sand, the cracks enlarge and take on a rounded shape. The result is rows of huge pillow-shaped stones that appear to have been fitted neatly together, much like the stone walls high in the mountains at the Peruvian ruins of Machu Picchu.

After reading Shinn’s article, I wondered if he could tell me anything more about the nature of the limestone of Coral Castle. Shinn e-mailed me and said that the local Miami oolite limestone in South Florida can be cut with an ax or even a carpenters saw. As a result of my inquiries, Shinn visited the Coral Castle and reported in another e-mail:

“There is a quarry alongside of the Castle, but only part of that stone was used in its construction. Most of the castle is constructed from oolite that was quarried somewhere else, but probably not more than a mile away.”

The Florida East Coast railway (Henry Flagler’s railroad to Key West was completed in about 1912 and destroyed by the hurricane of 1935) ran very close to the castle. The tracks have been removed, but I remember when it ran right next to US 1. In fact, in the early 1940s the state obtained the rail bed and built the new US 1 on the old rail bed.

The main reason I went to see the castle was to determine if the stones might actually be Key Largo limestone. They are not. Key Largo limestone, the fossil coral reef that forms the Florida Keys, was quarried about 50 miles south of Homestead and the railroad was used to bring it to Miami. Many Miami landmarks (the downtown post office and the Coral Gables Court House and many others) were constructed with the Key’s limestone.

My suspicion was that the castle was made of that stone and simply dropped off a flat bed rail car right where the castle sits. Well, I was wrong. It is made of the local Miami oolite. There is still the possibility that the stones were moved on a flat bed car from just down the road a mile or two. So there is the challenge. Where did it come from and how did he move it?

Some types of rock can be split by chiseling or drilling holes and then pounding wedges into the holes. The photos of furniture and other structures in the Coral Castle website show limestone surfaces that appear to be pock-marked or rough-hewn rather than smooth, perhaps indicating that the blocks were split or chiseled rather than sawed. How-

A heart-shaped Feast of Love table with a live bush as a centerpiece.
ever, the tour guide pamphlet claims that “the only man made marks you will see in the Castle are some wedge marks” and almost all of the carvings inside the Castle today were done in Florida City.

A hand saw might be useful in shaping a block once it is removed from its bed, but I do not understand how it could be of much use for quarrying at depths of more than a foot or two. According to Shinn, the rock at Coral Castle is about 120,000 years old and was deposited as lime sand bars when the sea level was about 20 feet higher than at present. The large blocks are the typical size that were quarried in the area. Many old buildings in the Miami area were constructed from it. Shinn remarked, “Whether Leedskalnin quarried the blocks himself or obtained them from a commercial quarry I do not know.”

In contrast to the limestone used to build Coral Castle, Shinn’s “beachrock” is very hard – he had to use diamond tip core bits to drill into it. Carbon-14 data revealed that the Bimini beachrock was only 2,000 to 4,000 years old – much too young to be part of Atlantis, which Plato, the originator of the Atlantis story, set 9,000 years into his past. Others date the lost civilization to at least 15,000 years ago.13

CONCLUSION

Although Ed invited Agnes Scuffs to visit his castle, she never saw the monument he had erected in her honor. He never married. When he became ill in December of 1951, he took a bus to Jackson Memorial Hospital in Miami, where he died in his sleep three days later at the age of 64. He was buried in Miami Memorial Park Cemetery. His death has been variously attributed to malnutrition, kidney failure, and stomach cancer. Ed constructed his castle over a period of about 28 years, carving and sculpting over 1,100 tons of coral rock, and he was still working on it up to the time of his death.

Ed’s only living relative, a nephew named Harry Leedskalnin, inherited Rock Gate Park. When Harry sold it to another family in 1953, Rock Gate Park was renamed Coral Castle. Upon inspection of the property, a note was found containing instructions that led to the discovery of thirty-five $100 bills – Ed’s life savings. Is it possible that Ed’s nephew or those who purchased the Coral Castle removed and did not report any of the power tools left there – perhaps to enhance the mystique of Ed’s accomplishments?

Regardless, Coral Castle has been added to the National Register of Historic Places by the United States Department of the Interior. While some folks believe that Ed used miraculous powers to build his castle, scientists and skeptics do not immediately grasp at paranormal straws to explain events that have so many inconsistencies and so few indisputable facts as those in this case. We simply accept that, at the present time, how Coral Castle was built remains partially unknown. Even allowing for the possible use of power tools and the help of other people, Ed Leedskalnin apparently designed and built – not once, but twice – an awe-inspiring structure that remains an enigma to this day. I am reminded of the old adage, “Love conquers all.”

—
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Ian Crane regularly speaks at the Glastonbury Symposium and has traditionally filmed his lectures and turned them into movies.

His latest movie, of his 2008 Glastonbury Symposium lecture, is entitled *The War on Terra*, a pun because “terra” means “earth” in Latin. So the “war on terror” could be interpreted as a war against the Earth, which it is.

This is Ian’s most technically sophisticated film yet. It opens and closes with animated shorts that will (apologies for the cliché) shock and awe you! Ian illustrates his lecture with captions, posters and artwork, including the outstanding tableaux by David Dees.

Ian spent much of his adult life in the oil industry and worked at the oil field services corporation Schlumberger. His waking-up process began in the aftermath of Operation Desert Storm in 1991. He discovered that the arson attack on the oil wells in Kuwait was not perpetrated by Saddam’s retreating army, as we’ve always been told, but by US Special Forces. This was confirmed when he visited a retired Special Forces trooper in the United States.

He speaks little about his subsequent spiritual emergence – this process is often a very private and intimate one – but in the last few years he has become a front-line conspiracy researcher and is working hard, producing media and presenting his startling pre-monograph discoveries for the New World Order. Ian also introduces Project ZION and presents his startling prediction of what is being planned to accelerate implementation of the One World Government – a false flag fake alien invasion to be staged at 2012 London Olympics.

Outrageous! You might not think so after you’ve watched this thought-provoking presentation.

**WHO IS IAN R. CRANE?**

An ex-oilfield executive, Ian R. Crane now lectures and writes on the geopolitical webs that are being spun, with particular focus on US hegemony and the NWO agenda for control of global resources.

Since 2007 Ian has focused his efforts on raising public awareness of the pernicious attack on the global population in the name of corporate globalisation and harmonisation, with particular focus on the excesses of Codex Alimentarius.

Ian is an independent, completely self-funded researcher. The views expressed in his talks and DVDs are based entirely upon his personal knowledge and research.

Prior to his retirement from the corporate arena, Ian enjoyed a career of 25 years in telecommunications and international oilfield services, a career that provided the opportunity to live and work in the U.K., Continental Europe, the Middle East & Houston, Texas.
The Discovery of Freedom
Man’s Struggle Against Authority

By Rose Wilder Lane

The great majority of human beings on earth believe today that a superhuman Authority controls human beings. Italians call this pagan god Immortal Italy. Germans call it The German Race. Communists begin to believe that History is its name; that history is not a mere record of men’s acts, but a Power that controls men’s acts. The god has many names: Society (“Society is responsible.” “Society must provide…”); The Industrial Revolution (“The Industrial Revolution creates the Capitalist System.”); The Machines (“Man is the slave of The Machines.” “This is a war of The Machines.”) Some Americans lament the death of the god that created these United States and once made Americans strong and self-reliant. Its name is The Frontier. Experience contradicts this pagan superstition. Whatever the intangible Authority is called, it can not be seen nor felt nor smelled nor heard. When a man musters courage to act against or without its control, it does not strike him dead. It does nothing whatever.

From this experience, the believer rarely concludes that his god does not exist. He merely changes its name, or his idea of how it works. (Or, he supposes that it controls everyone but himself. For instance, Mr. Gallup be-
lies that a man's income dictates his opinions. He uses this discovery to make a great change in his own income. Does he find his opinions altering as his income increases?

Since history began, men in the Old World have never doubted that some Authority controls them. But ordinary experience makes it hard to believe that this superhuman Power is wholly intangible. Most men have believed that it creates a superior kind of man to act as its agent.

The Japanese today believe that their Mikado is a living God. The Tibetans believe that God incarnates Himself in their Great Lama. The Pharaohs of Egypt, and the Emperors of Rome, were believed to be Gods. Until 1911, the Empress of China was sacred.

In 1776, all continental Europeans and the descendants of Europeans living in South America and most of North America believed that a King was God's agent on earth and ruled inferior men by that Divine Right.

After the first world war, all continental Europeans except the French were obeying Kings, and still believing that anyone of Royal ancestry — though crippled, diseased, imbecile or insane — was, by his birth and nature, a superior kind of human being.

Anyone who believes that Authority controls human beings, and who does not believe that this superhuman Authority is wholly intangible, must believe that it resides in a few living men whose nature is superior to the nature of most men.

Excepting communists, men in the Old World have always believed, and still believe, that superhuman Authority gives some men — by their birth, their race, their color, or by a direct act of God upon an individual — a superior nature and a right to control their inferiors.

Therefore they obey these men, supposed to be superior, who are the Government.

Whenever and wherever any large number of persons believe that Government controls them, they always break the changeless routine of communism. Their energies work, a little, to improve their living conditions.

For instance: During some sixty centuries, human energy (already having the wheel) got a cart onto two wheels, and attached knives to these wheels, to kill men. After a lapse that almost lost the wheel, men got a cart onto four wheels. By George Washington's time, human energy had created a coach, carved and gilded, and suspended by leather straps above four iron-shod wheels. It stands today in the carriage house at Mount Vernon.

Another instance: In Ancient Greece, and perhaps earlier, men knew the principle of the steam engine. The Greeks spread over the known world after the Macedonians conquered it. Yet today on the Tigris and the Euphrates, men are still paddling logs hollowed out by fire, as the American Indians did, or drifting down these rivers in even more primitive bowls of rawhide drawn over basket-work. After thus traveling downstream, they walk back a thousand miles, as the flatboatmen, a century ago, were walking back from New Orleans to Pittsburgh.

In other places, during forty centuries, men built boats with sails. In addition to sails, the Phoenicians used ranks of oars. The Romans used two or three banks of oars, with a slave chained to each oar. Through overseers using whips, the captain thus had some control of the boat's direction and speed. But this advance was lost. Columbus sailed in boats wholly dependent upon the winds.

No one knows the future, and men who carry burdens on their backs might not imagine a wagon. But surely, men have always wanted enough to eat. Yet for six thousand years most men have been hungry. Many of them have always been dying of hunger.

Hunger is normal to nearly all Asiatics and Africans, and always has been. European working classes were hungry until less than a century ago. Only three generations of Europeans have enjoyed enough soup, bread and cheese, spaghetti. They have never yet had enough meat, butter, milk, vegetables and fruits. But no whole villages of western Europeans have starved to death since 1848.

Famines have continued as before in Africa and Asia. Normally, over the greater part of this earth, a working man gives sixteen hours of literally killing labor for one small bowl of rice. (His ancestors always have.) Every morning in peaceful Shanghai, made prosperous by its European settlements, policemen collected from the streets the bodies of men and women who had died of hunger during the night. It was a routine job.

When men try to make energy work, and it does not work, it fails to work because they are not using that energy in accordance with its own nature. A gasoline engine will never run on water, because it is not the nature of steam to explode when a spark touches it.

When for six thousand years, human energy does not work well enough to get from this earth enough food to keep human beings alive, it does not work because men are not using their energy in accordance with the nature of human energy.

Every human being, by his nature, is free; he controls himself. But in the Old World, men believe that some Authority controls them. They can not make their energy work by any such belief, because the belief is false.

But they do not question the belief, because when they submit to a living Authority’s control, and can not get food, they can always blame that Authority. This is what they have always done. The history of every group of men who ever obeyed a living Authority is a history of revolts against all forms of that Government.

Look at any available records of any people, living anywhere at any time in the whole history of the Old World.

They revolt against their King, and replace him by another King; they revolt against him, and set up another King. In time they revolt against monarchy; they set up another kind of living Authority. For generations or centuries, they revolt and change these rulers; then they revolt against that kind of Authority, and set up another kind.

From Nebuchadnezzar to Hitler, history is one long record of revolts against certain living rulers, and revolt against kinds of living Authority.
When these revolts succeed, they are called revolutions. But they are revolutions only in the sense that a wheel’s turning is a revolution. An Old World revolution is only a movement around a motionless center; it never breaks out of the circle. Firm in the center is belief in Authority. No more than the Communist or the National Socialist (Nazi) today, has any Old World revolutionist ever questioned that belief; they all take it for granted that some Authority controls individuals.

They replace the priest by a king, the king by an oligarchy, the oligarchs by a despot, the despot by an aristocracy, the aristocrats by a majority, the majority by a tyrant, the tyrant by oligarchs, the oligarchs by aristocrats, the aristocrats by a king, the king by a parliament, the parliament by a dictator, the dictator by a king, the king by – there’s six thousand years of it, in every language.

Every imaginable kind of living Authority has been tried, and is still being tried somewhere on earth now.

All these kinds have been tried, too, in every possible combination; the priest and the king, the king who is the priest, the king who is God, the king and a senate, the king and the senate and a majority, the senate and a tyrant, the tyrant and the aristocrats, a king and a parliament… Try to think of a combination; somewhere it has been tried.

In 1920 the Albanians tried four quarter-kings and aristocrats and a parliament. The Bedouin of Iraq today combine a tyrant and a majority. The Emir has absolute power of life and death; he owns all property, dictates all marriages, makes all treaties and raids and wars; if he makes one decision that the tribe does not approve, his subjects kill him and give another man his job. This works all right, too; except that the Bedouin do not get enough to eat.

Each of these kinds of living Authority, and every one of the combinations, has worked all right, except that its subjects did not get enough to eat.

Meanwhile, the thinkers from Plato to Spengler have profoundly considered the question, What Authority controls human beings? Every one of them has answered precisely that question.

Plato was a philosopher. He reasoned that the natural Authority is philosophers. He worked out in monstrous detail an ideal system, a totalitarian State (which he called a Republic) in which every human impulse is absolutely controlled by a few philosophers.

Spengler returns to the intangible Authority. He says the Authority is Civilization.

He explains that a Civilization springs (is born? or hatched?) from a changeless, formless, human protoplasm which clings to the surface of the earth, and plows and sows and reaps; this mass is The Eternal Peasant.

Each Civilization grows up, from infancy to youth to maturity. As an adult, it is Greece, or Rome, or England. Then it grows old and has cancer. The cancer appears as a small, unnoticed city; it grows, it becomes a large city, then a Megapolis. At this stage it is too far advanced for surgery; swiftly it swells into a Megapolis, and kills the Civilization.

The helpless human cells in the dying Civilization grow weak, and weaker, losing energy and courage and even desires. The Civilization dies, and they decay into the formless mass, The Eternal Peasant. From this mass another Civilization will spring, to grow up, to grow old, and to die of Megapolis.

This is brilliant and scholarly Old World thinking, now, at this moment. This view of human life is supported by an erudite analysis of all past history, and by a host of Spengler’s intellectual followers.

Of course, any American who is not an intellectual knows that this world is not inhabited by gigantic, invisible creatures called Civilizations. He knows that ordinary men and women, using their energies, make a civilization and keep on making it, every day, every hour, and that nothing but their constant, individual efforts can make a civilization and keep it existing.

I am a contributing creator of American civilization; it does not create me. I control the stem of this civilization that is within my reach; it does not control me. It can not even make me read Spengler, if I’d rather read a pulp magazine.

Yet on such reasoning as Spengler’s, men have tried to act from the beginning of recorded time. On such reasoning, most of the inhabitants of this earth are trying to act now. They do not question their infantile belief that some Authority controls all human beings (except, perhaps, themselves).

Egyptians obeyed the Pharaohs, their living Gods; now the Japanese obey their Mikado. Alexander the Great was a military despot; so was Napoleon; so is Hitler. Twenty-seven hundred years ago, Lycuragus established a commune; twenty years ago Lenin was trying to establish a commune. Nebuchadnezzar was an absolute monarch; so was Louis XV when he governed this country from Labrador to the Gulf of Mexico. Genghis Khan was a tyrant; and what is General Franco?

From time immemorial, and still over most of this earth today, men have never ceased trying to find the Authority that controls human energy. Whether you look at Pharaoh’s subjects, obeying a living God, or at Athenian Greeks obeying a majority, you see the same result: people did not get enough to eat.

Egyptians built the pyramids, and sold their children because they could not feed them. Athenians built the Parthenon, and went to their democratic elections through a thin sound of wailing from the pottery jars on their street corners, where babies were dying. Kind friends quickly put the new-born in a jar and set it in a public place, and came back again and again to listen, hoping that before the baby died someone might take her who could afford to feed a child.

If men and women do not want to live like that, then this is a fact: human energy does not work as human beings want it to work, under any kind of Authority that men are able to imagine or devise. Here is a sketch of a grain-mill and bakery, in the grandeur that was Rome two thousand years ago:

“What a poor sort of slaves were there; some had their skin bruised all over black and blue; some had their backs striped with lashes and were covered rather than clothed with torn rags; some had their members only hidden by a narrow cloth; all were such ragged cloths that you might perceive through them
in the early 1920s

Here are the English, just before Columbus sailed:

“The houses in what were called cities were built of stones put together without mortar; the roofs were often of turf. The cottages had no other floor than a dried and stiffened bull’s hide. In Scotland the peasantry lived on the coarsest food, often on the bark of trees. Bread was accounted a rare delicacy. Over the border in England it was a little better. (Aeneas Sylvius) had bread and wine. The English women gratified their curiosity by breaking the bread into fragments and handing it to one another to smell and giggle at. The cottages were constructed of stakes driven into the ground, interwoven with wattles and covered with flakes of bark or the boughs of trees. The lot of the lower, the laboring, classes for many ages had undergone no amelioration; in a political sense, they were only animals valuable for what their work could produce. They were expected to manifest loyalty to the King and obedience to The Church. There was no career open to them, except to the grave.” 2

Here are Americans, seven years after this Republic was established.

“Women and children in the month of December traveling a wilderness through ice and snow, passing over large rivers and creeks, without shoes or stocking and hardly as many rags as cover their nakedness, without money or any other provision except what the wilderness afforded. Hundreds traveling hundreds of miles, they knew not why nor whither, except it’s to Kentucky.” 3

The snow was two feet deep, for naked legs were expected to manifest loyalty to the King and obedience to The Church. There was no career open to them, except to the grave.”

Here are Americans, seven years after this Republic was established.

“Women and children in the month of December traveling a wilderness through ice and snow, passing over large rivers and creeks, without shoes or stocking and hardly as many rags as cover their nakedness, without money or any other provision except what the wilderness afforded. Hundreds traveling hundreds of miles, they knew not why nor whither, except it’s to Kentucky.”
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man is the source of all coming evil
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